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## Oakland Community College

## Introduction

The annual Oakland Community College Institutional Dashboard report reflects the extent to which the organization is achieving its Purposes and Strategic Goals. Additionally, the Institutional Dashboard provides an integrated approach to collecting and presenting information which monitor critical issues that directly impact long and short term planning.

The dashboard consists of a broad set of 115 measures which, when considered collectively, provide an objective perspective on the overall performance of the organization. As a result, we are able to determine the extent to which our initiatives, projects and resource allocations support the enhanced attainment of our Goals and Purposes. The relative impact of our efforts can be reasonably determined since over the past four years the definition of each measure, as well as the method of data collection, has remained consistent.

Throughout this report, individual measures are displayed with colors to portray areas of excellence (green), satisfactory performance (black), and areas of concern (red). The annual Institutional Dashboard report serves a variety of purposes including:

- A tool for better understanding the college.
- A process to promote strategic thinking.
- A tool for aligning long and short-term planning and budgeting priorities at the College, Campus and Departmental level.
- A means of establishing annual priorities and emphasis for college-wide and campus based councils and committees.
- A base of information for annual Initiatives.
- An information resource that can be incorporated into departmental as well as individual Goals and Objectives.
- An early warning system which highlights what is most alarming.

During 2004-05, the Institutional Dashboard underwent a detailed review by Chancellor's Cabinet. Based on this review, modifications were made and implemented prior to the preparation of the 2005 report. The 2007 report is based on the exact same set of measures, weights and associated benchmarks used in the 2005 report. As a result, comparative analysis between the 2005, 2006 and 2007 reports can be delineated. Critical to this process is a careful consideration of the specific actions the College has taken to positively impact each Goal and Purpose, the actions currently underway, and the steps the College can/should take in order to attain yet higher levels of success in the future.

## Executive Summary

## College Purposes Major Findings

- In 2007, the College attained lower levels of success within its six Purposes. The overall composite score for the six Purposes totaled 9.15, a decrease from 9.75 in 2006.
- Two of the College's six Purposes (Community Service and Workforce Development/Non-Traditional) exceeded their established benchmarks (green zone).
- However, for the third consecutive year the General Education Purpose fell below the college benchmark. Moreover, during 2007 Occupational and Technical Education also fell below the college benchmark (red zone).


## Strategic Goals Major Findings

- The composite score on the seven Strategic Goals totaled 9.17 , which reflects a decrease from the prior year (9.57).
- For the third consecutive year, the Plan Future Directions goal exceeded the college benchmark. Moreover, the goal set to Facilitate the Appropriate Use of Technology exceeded the established benchmark (green zone).
- However, the Promote a Global Perspective goal once again fell below the established benchmark and is joined by the Expand Partnerships and Collaboration goal missing the established target. (red zone).


## Specific Areas of Excellence

Measures listed in this section represent specific areas of excellence attained during 2007. In other words, these measures all exceeded their established benchmarks.

Transfer Education

- Percent of Liberal Arts and General Studies graduates who transfer within one year after receiving their OCC degree


## Workforce Development/Non-Traditional

- Number of organizations served by Workforce Development Services
- Percent of non-traditional sections


## General Education

- General Education outcomes assessed through Outcomes Assessment
- Percent of credit hours generated in General Education courses
- Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for outcome \#2 (Creative \& Critical Thinking)
- Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for outcome \#6 (Independent \& Collaborative Learning)
- Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for outcome \#2 (Creative \& Critical Thinking)
- Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for outcome \#6 (Independent \& Collaborative Learning)


## Developmental Education

- Percent of non-native English speaking FTIAC's who participate in MTELP prior to their first term


## Community Service

- Percent of county residents satisfied with OCC in comparison to state-wide ratings
- Percent of county residents who view OCC as a quality provider of cultural events


## Plan Future Direction

- Level of designated fund subsidy
- Actual revenue in comparison to projected revenue
- Average number of students per section


## Quality and Accessibility

- Course incomplete rate


## Expand Partnerships and Collaborations

- Students placed in an external experiential learning opportunity


## Appreciate and Understand Diversity

- Percent of minority students
- Percent of minority FTIAC students
- ACT College Outcome factor score on the Diversity outcome
- Percent of female students
- Percent of non-citizen students


## Promote a Global Perspective

- Percent of non-citizen students


## Facilitate the Appropriate Use of Technology

- Increase in the number of hits on the OCC home page
- Increase in the number of Web Advisor users
- Increase in the number of hits on the OCC Library home page
- Percent of on-line sections filled to capacity
- Annual number of students who register through Touch*Tone


## Assess Institutional Effectiveness

- Workforce Development/Non-Traditional Purpose
- Community Service Purpose


## Specific Areas of Concern

Measures listed in this section represent areas of concern. In other words, during 2007 these measures fell short of their established benchmarks.

Transfer Education

- Percent of articulation agreements with top transfer institutions

Workforce Development/Non-Traditional

- Percent of non-traditional sections that are completed


## Occupational and Technical Education

- Percent of Associate Degree programs that have an experiential learning component
- Occupational awards conferred as a percent of state-wide total


## General Education

- Percent of General Education distribution courses that are revised
- Percent of courses that have approved general education outcomes
- Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for outcome \#5 (Interpersonal Skills)
- Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for outcomel \#7 (Scientifically \& Technically Literate)
- Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for outcome \#9 (Social Responsibility)
- Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for outcome \#10 (Global Perspective)
- Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for outcome \#4 (Aesthetic Awareness)
- Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for outcome \#5 (Interpersonal Skills)
- Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for outcome \#9 (Social Responsibility)
- Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for outcome \#10 (Global Perspective)


## Developmental Education

- Percent of FTIAC's who participate in Math assessment prior to their first term
- Course completion rate in developmental verses non-developmental courses


## Plan Future Direction

- Annual OCC Foundation revenue
- Personnel expenditures as a percent of total General Fund expenditures


## Quality and Accessibility

- Course withdrawal rate
- Time to degree completion (years)
- Number of degrees conferred in comparison to the total number of degrees awarded among Michigan Community colleges
- Annual matriculation rate


## Appreciate and Understand Diversity

- Percent of minority staff
- Percent of employees who attend a PDTC diversity workshop
- Percent of courses that have the diversity and commonality outcome
- Percent of minority faculty


## Assess Institutional Effectiveness

- Occupational \& Technical Education Purpose
- General Education Purpose
- Percent of CRC reviews that are completed
- Percent of programs with established program outcome assessment plans


## Promote a Global Perspective

- Percent of courses with the global perspective outcome
- Percent of foreign students
- Percent of F1 students


## Expand Partnerships and Collaborations

- Number of Workforce Development training partnerships
- Collaboration with other colleges, universities and K-12
- OCC Foundation donations from organizations

Facilitate the Appropriate Use of Technology

- Percent of sections taught fully on-line
- Annual number of students who register through Web Advisor
- Annual number of students who register through Walk-In


## Definitions

The following definitions are intended to assist the reader in better understanding and interpreting information presented in this report. Operational definitions pertaining to each measure in the Institutional Dashboard can be obtained by contacting the Office of Assessment and Effectiveness.

Current Score: Most current value pertaining to a specific measure.
Measure: Reflects one aspect of the larger construct e.g. College Purpose or Strategic Goal. In total the Institutional Dashboard employs 116 measures arrayed across thirteen major constructs.

Overall Score: Sum of all Weighted Scores within each construct.
Percent of Target Achieved: Extent to which the Current Score reaches its predetermined Target.
Target: A realistic and desired level of performance specific to that measure. Reflects the "positive" end of the benchmark. When possible, Targets were established based on historical trends.

Trouble Score: Point at which the measure is performing at a low level. Reflects the "negative" end of the benchmark. When possible, Trouble Scores were established based on historical trends.

Weight: Relative importance assigned to that measure in relation to all other measures within the construct (Strategic Goal or College Purpose). Weights were established by Chancellor's Cabinet.

Weighted Score: Formula based on the Percent of Target Achieved divided by 100, then multiplied by its predetermined Weight. The sum of these scores reflect the Overall Score.
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College Purposes Overall Score 9.15

| College Purposes | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | Current Score | Percent of Target Achieved | Dashboard Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transfer Education | 28\% | 9.5 | 8.0 | 8.47 | 89.2\% | 8.92 | 2.50 |
| Occupational and Technical Education | 22\% | 9.5 | 8.0 | 7.99 | 84.1\% | 8.41 | 1.85 |
| Workforce Development/Non-Traditional | 17\% | 9.5 | 8.0 | 10.40 | 109.5\% | 10.95 | 1.86 |
| General Education | 13\% | 9.5 | 8.0 | 7.08 | 74.5\% | 7.45 | 0.97 |
| Developmental Education | 12\% | 9.5 | 8.0 | 9.06 | 95.4\% | 9.54 | 1.14 |
| Community Service | 8\% | 9.5 | 8.0 | 9.85 | 103.7\% | 10.37 | 0.83 |
| College Strategic Goals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall Score 9.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| College Strategic Goals | Weight | Target | Trouble <br> Score | Current <br> Score | Percent of <br> Target Achieved | Dashboard <br> Score | Weighted <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan Future Directions (1) | $24 \%$ | 9.5 | 8.0 | 10.35 | $108.9 \%$ | 10.89 | 2.61 |
| Quality and Accessibility of Education (2) | $16 \%$ | 9.5 | 8.0 | 8.29 | $87.3 \%$ | 8.73 | 1.40 |
| Appreciate and Understand Diversity (4) | $14 \%$ | 9.5 | 8.0 | 9.39 | $98.9 \%$ | 9.89 | 1.38 |
| Assess Institutional Effectiveness (7) | $14 \%$ | 9.5 | 8.0 | 8.66 | $91.2 \%$ | 9.12 | 1.28 |
| Promote a Global Perspective (5) | $12 \%$ | 9.5 | 8.0 | 5.93 | $62.5 \%$ | 6.25 | 0.75 |
| Expand Partnerships and Collaboration (3) | $10 \%$ | 9.5 | 8.0 | 7.07 | $74.5 \%$ | 7.45 | 0.74 |
| Facilitate the Appropriate Use of Technology (6) | $10 \%$ | 9.5 | 8.0 | 9.50 | $100.0 \%$ | 10.00 | 1.00 |
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Community Service


-     - Current Score - - Trouble Score (8.0) ——Target Score (9.5)


## College Purposes

Oakland Community College Institutional Dashboard Report November 2007

Purpose: Transfer Education Educational experiences enabling students to transfer to other institutions of higher education.

Overall Score 8.47

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | Current Score | Percent of Target Achieved | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dashboard } \\ \text { Score } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weighted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | Percent of articulation agreements with top transfer institutions | 21\% | 75.0 | 50.0 | 25.0 | 33.3\% | 3.33 | 0.69 |
| 53 | Graduates satisfied with academic preparation for transfer | 15\% | 3.60 | 3.20 | 3.56 | 98.9\% | 9.89 | 1.43 |
| 54 | Non-returning students satisfied with academic preparation for transfer | 12\% | 3.60 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 97.2\% | 9.72 | 1.13 |
| 55 | Percent of FTIAC students who intended to transfer and who did within one year of leaving OCC | 18\% | 33.3 | 15.0 | 30.1 | 90.4\% | 9.04 | 1.58 |
| 56 | Graduates satisfied with transfer support services | 11\% | 3.60 | 3.20 | 3.45 | 95.8\% | 9.58 | 1.07 |
| 57 | Non-returning students satisfied with transfer support services | 9\% | 3.60 | 3.00 | 3.38 | 93.9\% | 9.39 | 0.85 |
| 84 | Percent of Liberal Arts and General Studies graduates who transfer within one year after receiving their OCC degree | 15\% | 46.3 | 41.9 | 51.6 | 111.4\% | 11.14 | 1.71 |
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## Purpose: Occupational and Technical Education

 Occupational and technical learning opportunities to improve students' employability.Overall Score 7.99

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | Current <br> Score | Percent of Target Achieved | Dashboard Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | Graduate unemployment rate | 13\% | 6.7 | 13.4 | 10.7 | 62.6\% | 6.26 | 0.78 |
| 61 | Number of years to receive an Occupational/Technical degree | 12\% | 6.00 | 7.00 | 6.95 | 86.3\% | 8.63 | 1.01 |
| 64 | Percent of Associate Degree programs that have an experiential learning component | 8\% | 90.0 | 50.0 | 48.8 | 54.2\% | 5.42 | 0.42 |
| 66 | Percent of graduates who frequently use the skills they learned at OCC in their employment | 16\% | 79.0 | 71.4 | 76.3 | 96.6\% | 9.66 | 1.56 |
| 67 | Occupational awards conferred as a percent of state-wide total | 13\% | 11.6 | 10.7 | 7.3 | 62.9\% | 6.29 | 0.79 |
| 68 | Percent of non-returning students who frequently use the skills they learned at OCC in their employment | 14\% | 52.7 | 43.1 | 49.1 | 93.2\% | 9.32 | 1.28 |
| 92 | Percent of FTIAC students entering Occupational/Technical programs | 17\% | 42.0 | 38.0 | 38.9 | 92.6\% | 9.26 | 1.61 |
| 121 | Percent of Occupational/Technical programs that are revised | 8\% | 20.0 | 5.0 | 13.0 | 65.0\% | 6.50 | 0.54 |
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Purpose: Workforce Development/Non-Traditional
Workforce development training and learning opportunities to meet the needs of business and industry.

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | Current Score | Percent of Target Achieved | Dashboard Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 87 | Number of organizations served by Workforce Development Services | 32\% | 118 | 101 | 140 | 118.6\% | 11.86 | 3.76 |
| 98 | Percent of non-traditional sections | 20\% | 15.0 | 10.0 | 16.0 | 106.7\% | 10.67 | 2.13 |
| 147 | Workforce Development Service clients that are new | 21\% | 45 | 37 | 42 | 93.3\% | 9.33 | 1.94 |
| 148 | Percent of non-traditional sections that are completed | 28\% | 95.0 | 90.0 | 88.7 | 93.4\% | 9.34 | 2.57 |
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Purpose: Workforce Development/Non-Traditional


# Oakland Community College Institutional Dashboard Report <br> November 2007 

## Purpose: General Education

General Educational opportunities enabling students to learn independently and develop skills for personal and career success.
Overall Score 7.08

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | Current Score | Percent of Target Achieved | Dashboard Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | Percent of General Education distribution courses that are revised | 6\% | 10.0 | 50 | 1.5 | 15.0\% | 1.50 | 0.10 |
| 78 | General Education attributes assessed through Outcomes Assessment | 10\% | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100.0\% | 10.00 | 1.04 |
| 101 | Percent of courses that have approved general education attributes | 10\% | 33.3 | 25.1 | 22.9 | $68.8 \%$ | 6.88 | 0.69 |
| 120 | Percent of credit hours generated in General Education courses | 6\% | 55.2 | 45.2 | 57.2 | 103.6\% | 10.36 | 0.63 |
| 134 | Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for attribute \#1 (Communicate Effectively) | 3\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 29.9 | 74.8\% | 7.48 | 0.24 |
| 135 | Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for attribute \#2 (Creative \& Critical Thinking) | 3\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 40.7 | 101.8\% | 10.18 | 0.33 |
| 136 | Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for attribute \#3 (Problem Solving) | 3\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 30.5 | 76.3\% | 7.63 | 0.24 |
| 137 | Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for attribute \#4 (Aesthetic Awareness) | 3\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 39.2 | 98.0\% | 9.80 | 0.31 |
| 138 | Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for attribute \#5 (Interpersonal Skills) | 3\% | 40.0 | 250 | 19.1 | 47.8\% | 4.78 | 015 |
| 139 | Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for attribute \#6 (Independent \& Collaborative Learning) | 3\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 46.6 | 116.5\% | 11.65 | 0.37 |
| 140 | Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for attribute \#7 (Scientifically \& Technically Literate) | 3\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 206 | 51.5\% | 5.15 | 0.16 |
| 141 | Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for attribute \#8 (Diversity and Commonality) | 3\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 31.9 | 79.8\% | 7.98 | 0.26 |
| 142 | Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for attribute \#9 (Social Responsibility) | 3\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 7.4 | 18.5\% | 1.85 | 0.06 |
| 143 | Percent of General Education Distribution courses approved for attribute \#10 (Global Perspective) | 3\% | 40.0 | 250 | 11.3 | 28.3\% | 2.83 | 0.09 |
| 177 | Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for attribute \#1 (Communicate Effectively) | 4\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 37.1 | 92.8\% | 9.28 | 0.32 |
| 178 | Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for attribute \#2 (Creative \& Critical Thinking) | 4\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 50.8 | 127.0\% | 12.70 | 0.44 |
| 179 | Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for attribute \#3 (Problem Solving) | 4\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 32.0 | 80.0\% | 8.00 | 0.28 |
| 180 | Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for attribute \#4 (Aesthetic Awareness) | 4\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 19.6 | 49.0\% | 4.90 | 0.17 |
| 181 | Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for attribute \#5 (Interpersonal Skills) | 4\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 13.5 | 33.8\% | 3.38 | 0.12 |
| 182 | Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for attribute \#6 (Independent \& Collaborative Learning) | 4\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 49.7 | 124.3\% | 12.43 | 0.43 |
| 183 | Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for attribute \#7 (Scientifically \& Technically Literate) | 4\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 25.6 | 64.0\% | 6.40 | 0.22 |
| 184 | Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for attribute \#8 (Diversity and Commonality) | 4\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 26.4 | 66 \%\% | 6.60 | 0.23 |
| 185 | Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for attribute \#9 (Social Responsibility) | 4\% | 40.0 | 25.0 | 8.7 | 21.8\% | 2.18 | 0.08 |
| 186 | Percent of General Education Distribution sections approved for attribute \#10 (Global Perspective) | 4\% | 40.0 | 250 | 11.1 | 27.8\% | 2.78 | 0.10 |
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Purpose: Developmental Education Opportunities in developmental education to prepare students for college-level studies.

Overall Score 9.06

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | Current Score | Percent of Target Achieved | Dashboard Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | Percent of FTIAC's who participate in English assessment prior to their first term | 8\% | 80.0 | 69.4 | 72.6 | 90.8\% | 9.08 | 0.73 |
| 71 | Percent of FTIAC's who participate in Math assessment prior to their first term | 8\% | 80.0 | 63.7 | 59.5 | 74.4\% | 7.44 | 0.60 |
| 73 | Developmental English students who successfully complete subsequent nondevelopmental English | 18\% | 75.0 | 63.5 | 70.3 | 93.7\% | 9.37 | 1.69 |
| 74 | Developmental math students who successfully complete subsequent nondevelopmental math | 18\% | 50.4 | 45.4 | 48.9 | 97.0\% | 9.70 | 1.75 |
| 81 | Course completion rate in developmental verses non-developmental courses | 9\% | 66.3 | 59.7 | 51.2 | 77.2\% | 7.72 | 0.71 |
| 95 | Fall to Winter retention rate of developmental education students | 11\% | 80.0 | 68.0 | 74.1 | 92.6\% | 9.26 | 1.00 |
| 132 | One year retention rate of developmental education students | 10\% | 66.7 | 55.1 | 56.0 | 84.0\% | 8.40 | 0.80 |
| 192 | Percent of non-native English speaking FTIAC's who participate in MTELP prior to their first term | 6\% | 75.0 | 66.7 | 79.4 | 105.9\% | 10.59 | 0.67 |
| 193 | Developmental ESL students who successfully complete subsequent non developmental ESL | 12\% | 85.0 | 64.0 | 78.8 | 92.7\% | 9.27 | 1.13 |

## Oakland Community College Institutional Dashboard Report November 2007

Purpose: Developmental Education


# Oakland Community College Institutional Dashboard Report <br> November 2007 

Purpose: Community Service Community services, including cultural, social, and enrichment opportunities for lifelong learning.

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | Current Score | Percent of Target Achieved | Dashboard Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69 | Percent of county residents satisfied with OCC in comparison to state-wide ratings | 14\% | 79.0 | 75.1 | 84.8 | 107.3\% | 10.73 | 1.52 |
| 104 | Percent of county residents satisfied with OCC's fiscal responsibility | 19\% | 65.0 | 55.0 | 61.8 | 95.1\% | 9.51 | 1.83 |
| 106 | Percent of county residents who would recommend attending OCC to a family member | 19\% | 89.6 | 80.0 | 84.8 | 94.6\% | 9.46 | 1.83 |
| 107 | Percent of county residents who view OCC as a quality provider of cultural events | 10\% | 47.5 | 40.0 | 51.9 | 109.3\% | 10.93 | 1.11 |
| 108 | Percent of county residents who view OCC as a quality provider of training for working professionals | 19\% | 79.8 | 72.2 | 74.3 | 93.1\% | 9.31 | 1.72 |
| 131 | Percent of county residents who view OCC as a quality provider of education that prepares people for transfer | 19\% | 89.0 | 80.1 | 87.9 | 98.8\% | 9.88 | 1.83 |
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Purpose: Community Service


Source: OCC, Office of Assessment and Effectiveness,

## College Strategic Goals
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Goal: Plan Future Directions (1)
OCC will engage in continuous systematic planning to guide our future directions in all areas of College operations.

## Overall Score 10.35

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | Current Score | Percent of Target Achieved | Dashboard Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Actual headcount as a percent of projections | 15\% | 82,971 | 75,069 | 82,578 | 99.5\% | 9.95 | 1.49 |
| 13 | Annual OCC Foundation revenue | 7\% | \$298,261 | \$263.172 | \$260,739 | 87.4\% | 8.74 | 0.61 |
| 23 | Level of designated fund subsidy | 8\% | \$3,723,371 | \$4,468,046 | \$2,317,023 | 160.7\% | 16.07 | 1.29 |
| 27 | Actual credit hours as a percent of projections | 14\% | 492,241 | 482,493 | 483,681 | 98.3\% | 9.83 | 1.40 |
| 123 | Personnel expenditures as a percent of total General Fund expenditures | 18\% | 80.0 | 80.0 | 84.7 | 94.5\% | 9.45 | 1.73 |
| 124 | Actual revenue in comparison to projected revenue | 21\% | \$150,424,200 | \$147,445,502 | \$154,686,261 | 102.8\% | 10.28 | 2.14 |
| 149 | Average number of students per section | 17\% | 22.1 | 17.8 | 22.4 | 101.4\% | 10.14 | 1.69 |

Oakland Community College
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Goal: Plan Future Directions (1)


# Oakland Community College 

 Institutional Dashboard Report November 2007Goal: Quality and Accessibility of Education (2)
OCC will provide quality education for students by means of traditional and nontraditional approaches. To meet a diverse student population's needs, OCC will expand its educational opportunities and services to be continuously available without compromising quality and integrity.

Overall Score 8.29
$\left.\begin{array}{rlccccc}\text { ID } & \text { Measures } & \text { Weight } & \text { Target } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Trouble } \\ \text { Score }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Current } \\ \text { Score }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percent of } \\ \text { Target Achieved }\end{array} \\ \hline 4 & \text { Percent of sections filled to capacity } & 12 \% & 66.7 & 50.0 & 61.7 & 92.5 \% \\ \text { Score }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Weighted } \\ \text { Score }\end{array}\right]$
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Goal: Expand Partnerships and Collaboration (3)
OCC will continue to create beneficial partnerships with a variety of businesses, educational institutions, communities, government entities, social agencies, and regional and national organizations.

Overall Score $\quad 7.07$

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble <br> Score | Current <br> Score | Percent of <br> Target Achieved |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dashboard <br> Score | Weighted <br> Score |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | Students placed in an external experiential learning opportunity | $23 \%$ | 1,251 | 1,023 | 1,529 | $122.2 \%$ |
| 110 | Number of Workforce Development training partnerships | $29 \%$ | 41 | 35 | 12.22 | 2.80 |
| 111 | Collaboration with other colleges, universities and K-12 | $27 \%$ | 188 | 145 | 124 | $58.5 \%$ |
| 151 | OCC Foundation donations from organizations | $21 \%$ | $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 125,000$ | $\$ 56,597$ | $37.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  | 6.60 | 1.79 |  |
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Goal: Expand Partnerships and Collaboration (3)
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Goal: Appreciate and Understand Diversity (4)
OCC will foster inclusiveness through its educational programs and services, its employment practices, its cultural and artistic events, and its partnerships.

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | Current Score | Percent of Target Achieved | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dashboard } \\ \text { Score } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Weighted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | Percent of minority staff | 11\% | 17.4 | 14.8 | 13.7 | 78.7\% | 7.87 | 0.87 |
| 46 | Percent of minority students | 11\% | 18.8 | 16.9 | 28.1 | 149.5\% | 14.95 | 1.60 |
| 49 | Percent of employees who attend a PDTC diversity workshop | 5\% | 75.0 | 50.0 | 48.4 | 64.5\% | 6.45 | 0.32 |
| 102 | Percent of minority FTIAC students | 8\% | 18.8 | 16.9 | 24.6 | 130.9\% | 13.09 | 1.10 |
| 127 | Percent of courses that have the diversity and commonality attribute | 10\% | 15.0 | 10.0 | 7.3 | 48.7\% | 4.87 | 0.47 |
| 170 | ACT College Outcome factor score on the Diversity attribute | 6\% | 3.57 | 3.39 | 3.72 | 104.2\% | 10.42 | 0.64 |
| 175 | Percent of sections that have the diversity and commonality attribute | 10\% | 15.0 | 10.0 | 14.8 | 98.7\% | 9.87 | 0.98 |
| 200 | Percent of female students | 8\% | 50.8 | 43.2 | 57.8 | 113.8\% | 11.38 | 0.96 |
| 201 | Percent of female faculty | 12\% | 57.8 | 49.1 | 51.9 | 89.8\% | 8.98 | 1.11 |
| 202 | Percent of non-citizen students | 6\% | 6.7 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 119.4\% | 11.94 | 0.72 |
| 216 | Percent of minority faculty | 12\% | 17.4 | 14.8 | 8.9 | 51.1\% | 5.11 | 0.63 |
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Goal: Appreciate and Understand Diversity (4)
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Goal: Promote a Global Perspective (5)
To ensure that students understand global dynamics, OCC will provide relevant educational experiences that address the relationships of people, cultures, and nations in an interconnected world.

## Overall Score 5.93

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | $\begin{gathered} \text { Current } \\ \text { Score } \end{gathered}$ | Percent of Target Achieved | Dashboard Score | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weighted } \\ \text { Score } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | ESL credit hours as a percent of total credit hours | 9\% | 5.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 64.0\% | 6.40 | 0.60 |
| 125 | Percent of non-citizen students | 9\% | 6.7 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 119.4\% | 11.94 | 1.12 |
| 144 | Percent of courses with the global perspective attribute | 25\% | 15.0 | 10.0 | 2.6 | 17.3\% | 1.73 | 0.44 |
| 176 | Percent of sections with the global perspective attribute | 25\% | 10.0 | 5.0 | 6.1 | 61.0\% | 6.10 | 1.55 |
| 187 | Foreign language credit hours as a percent of total credit hours | 11\% | 5.0 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 66.0\% | 6.60 | 0.70 |
| 204 | Percent of foreign students | 10\% | 10.0 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 87.0\% | 8.70 | 0.86 |
| 205 | Percent of F1 students | 10\% | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 66.7\% | 6.67 | 0.66 |
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Goal: Promote a Global Perspective (5)


## Oakland Community College Institutional Dashboard Report November 2007

Goal: Facilitate the Appropriate Use of Technology (6)
OCC will employ technology responsibly and appropriately in order to promote learning, enhance teaching, and support the College mission.

## Overall Score 9.50

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | Current Score | Percent of Target Achieved | Dashboard Score | Weighted Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | Percent of sections taught fully on-line | 14\% | 10.0 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 28.0\% | 2.80 | 0.39 |
| 51 | Percent of employees who attend a PDTC technology workshop | 5\% | 75.0 | 50.0 | 51.8 | 69.1\% | 6.91 | 0.36 |
| 113 | Percent of on-line sections that are offered through the MCCVLC | 5\% | 33.3 | 20.0 | 25.1 | 75.4\% | 7.54 | 0.38 |
| 114 | Increase in the number of hits on the OCC home page | 7\% | 3,159,090 | 2,500,000 | 3,328,935 | 105.4\% | 10.54 | 0.77 |
| 116 | Increase in the number of Web Advisor users | 12\% | 700,000 | 500,000 | 855,193 | 122.2\% | 12.22 | 1.43 |
| 117 | Increase in the number of hits on the OCC Library web site | 8\% | 191,267 | 150,000 | 201,282 | 105.2\% | 10.52 | 0.88 |
| 172 | Percent of augmented sections | 8\% | 15.0 | 10.0 | 14.2 | 94.7\% | 9.47 | 0.71 |
| 173 | Percent of on-line sections filled to capacity | 9\% | 85.0 | 75.0 | 91.8 | 108.0\% | 10.80 | 0.92 |
| 174 | Number of e-commerce transactions | 11\% | 35,969 | 28,775 | 32,646 | 90.8\% | 9.08 | 0.97 |
| 188 | Annual number of students who register through Web Advisor | 10\% | 60.0 | 50.0 | 47.6 | 79.3\% | 7.93 | 0.82 |
| 189 | Annual number of students who register through Touch*Tone | 7\% | 20.0 | 25.0 | 8.2 | 243.9\% | 24.39 | 1.66 |
| 190 | Annual number of students who register through Walk-In | 5\% | 20.0 | 25.0 | 44.1 | 45.4\% | 4.54 | 0.22 |
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Goal: Facilitate the Appropriate Use of Technology (6)
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## Goal: Assess Institutional Effectiveness (7)

To ensure that quality and integrity are continuously associated with OCC and its educational programs, services, and operations, OCC will engage in continuous assessment in all of its functions and among all its employees to assure it meets the needs of the communities it serves.

Overall Score 8.66

| ID | Measures | Weight | Target | Trouble Score | Current Score | Percent of Target Achieved | Dashboard Score | Weighted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | Transfer Education Purpose | 14\% | 9.50 | 8.00 | 8.47 | 89.2\% | 8.92 | 1.27 |
| 33 | Developmental Education Purpose | 13\% | 9.50 | 8.00 | 9.06 | 95.4\% | 9.54 | 1.27 |
| 34 | Occupational \& Technical Education Purpose | 15\% | 9.50 | 8.00 | 7.99 | 84.1\% | 8.41 | 1.26 |
| 35 | General Education Purpose | 13\% | 9.50 | 8.00 | 7.08 | 74.5\% | 7.45 | 0.93 |
| 36 | Workforce Development/Non-Traditional Purpose | 11\% | 9.50 | 8.00 | 10.40 | 109.5\% | 10.95 | 1.18 |
| 37 | Community Service Purpose | 8\% | 9.50 | 8.00 | 9.85 | 103.7\% | 10.37 | 0.78 |
| 128 | Percent of CRC reviews that are completed | 9\% | 100.0 | 80.0 | 55.6 | 55.6\% | 5.56 | 0.51 |
| 130 | Percent of programs with established program outcome assessment plans | 18\% | 100.0 | 90.0 | 83.6 | 83.6\% | 8.36 | 1.46 |

[^4]Updated On: 12/14/2007
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Goal: Assess Institutional Effectiveness (7)
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