OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE 1985 - 1986 GRADUATE CLASS FOLLOW-UP STUDY

Henry Freigruber Information & Telecommunication Systems

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	PAGE(S)
Award Data	19 - 25
Conclusions	112
Introduction	
Program Data	26 - 84
Respondent's Comments	86 - 111
Respondent's Comments Tally Chart	85
Respondent's Demographic Data	1 - 3
Summary	110 - 111
Survey Questions and Response Tabulations	3 - 18

OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE

1985-1986 GRADUATE FOLLOW-UP SURVEY

Of the 1,849 individuals receiving awards during the 1985-1986 academic year; 555 responded to the graduate survey. This is a response rate of 30%. This is down from the 1984-1985 academic year graduates when 649 out of 1,822 responded for a 36% return.

	o academic year graduates when 647 out of 1,822 responded for	
DEMOGR	APHICS DATA OF RESPONDENTS: What is the age group of the respondents to the survey when in 1986?	n they graduated
	18-22	
	Unknown	1%
2.	Gender Gender	
	Female	16530%
3.	Marital Status	
	Single	23843%
4.	Veteran	
	NoYesUnknown	38 7%
5.	Residency Status	
	In-District	6111%

6.	Campus
	Orchard Ridge 177 32% Auburn Hills 140 25% Highland Lakes 135 24% Royal Oak/South field 102 18% Unknown 1 0%
7.	Ethnic Background
8.	White 430 78% Unknown 93 17% Black 17 3% Hispanic 7 1% Asian American or Pacific Islander 5 1% Foreign Student 3 0% Credits Earned at OCC
	61 +
	The average number of credits earned at OCC by respondent was 68, which is one more than the average from the previous year's survey.
9.	Cumulative OCC Grade Point Average
	3,000-3,999 422 .76% 2,000-2,999 102 .18% 4,000 30 .5% Unknown .1 .0%
	The cumulative grade point average of the respondents was 3.42 which is an increase of .07 from one year ago.
10.	What AWARD was earned? Associate in Applied Science

11.	What session was the AWARD requirements satisfied?
SURVEY	Winter, 1986
12.	What was your Primary Objective in attending college?
	University transfer credit
	Some of the other comments were:
	Credentials for business; improve study habits; job security; LPN-RN; desire for Associates Degree; change in vocation; thought it would be enough to get better job; Court Reporting; retraining to reenter job market; unsure of what I wanted to do; to become LPN, and cross country.
13.	What is your current EDUCATIONAL STATUS?
	Not currently attending school
14.	What is your current EMPLOYMENT STATUS?
	Employed other than military service

15.		e rate courses in your major field of study, according to how well they ed your individual needs: (Rating scale I to 5: I=Very Good to 5= Very Poor)
	Α.	Quality of INSTRUCTION
		Good 256 46% Very Good 200 36% Average 80 14% Poor 9 2% Very Poor 5 1% No Response 5 1%
	Avera	ge score: 1.8 (up from 1.9 on previous year's survey).
100	В.	GRADING/TESTING
		Good 260 47% Average 137 25% Very Good 135 24% Poor 12 2% No Response 6 1% Very Poor 5 1%
	Avera	ge score: 2.1 (same as previous year's survey).
	C.	Instructor INTEREST
	1.	Good 223 40% Very Good 198 36% Average 109 20% Poor 16 3% No Response 7 1% Very Poor 2 0%
	Avera	ge score: 1.9 (Same as previous year's survey)
	D.	Content of COURSE(S) Good 264 48% Very Good 159 29% Average 104 19% Poor 18 3% No Response 7 1% Very Poor 3 1%
	Averag	ge score: 2.0 (Same as previous year's survey)

	E.	Instructional MEDIA	
		Good 237 .4 Average 165 .3 Very Good 117 .2 Poor .21 No Response .15	30% 21% 4%
	Aver	age score: 2.2 (Same as previous year's survey)	
	F.	CLASS SIZE Good	11% !3%
		Poor	1%
	Aver	age score: 2.0 (Same as previous year's survey)	
16.		whave used any of the below college services, please rate them according well they filled your needs: (Rating scale I to 5: I=Very Good to 5= V	
Α.	Finan	ocial Aid	:RS
	Very Good Averd Poor	esponse	0% 23% 7% 6%
Average so	core: I	.7 (Up from 1.9 on previous year's survey)	
В.	Good No Ro Avero Very Poor	NSELING esponse	% 5% 2% 4%

Average score: 2.4 (Up from 2.5 on previous year's survey)

•	No Response 440 .79%
Average so	core: 3.1 (Down from 3.0 on previous year's survey)
D.	Course ADVISEMENT
Average sc	No Response 312.56% % Good 67.12% 28% Average 66.12% 27% Very Good 60.11% 25% Poor 38.7% 16% Very Poor 12.2% 5% core: 2.5 (Up from 2.6 on previous year's survey)
E.	TUTORING Services
	No Response 460 . 83% % Very Good 38 . 7% 40% Good 32 . 6% 34% Average 18 . 3% 19% Poor 7 . 1% 7%
Average so	ore: 1.9 (Up from 2.3 on previous year's survey)
F.	VETERAN'S Services
	No Response 521 94% % Average 13 2% 38% Very Good 10 2% 29% Good 8 1% 24% Poor 3 0% 9%
Average so	ore: 2.3 (Same as previous year's survey)
G.	LEARNING Lab/Package
	No Response 398. 72%% Good 74. 13%. 47% Very Good 43. 8%. 27% Average 34. 6%. 22% Poor 5. 1%. 3% Very Poor 1. 0%. 1%
Average sc	ore: 2.0 (Up from 2.1 on previous year's survey)

н.	Students ACTIVITIES ALLUSERS
	No Response 471.85% % Good 29.5% 35% Average 22.4% 26% Very Good 17.3% 20% Poor 13.2% 15% Very Poor 3.1% 4%
Average s	core: 2,5 (Same as previous year's survey)
l	LIBRARY Services
Average s	Good
	HT : 10 - 일본 회의 결심 : [12] - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -
17.	Name of college currently attending or most recently attended since enrollment at OCC: Oakland University

18. What is your major field of study at your most recently attended college?

Education	7
Business Administration	6
Accounting	3
Social Work	
Not Given	9
Psychology!	
Human Resource Development	3
Finance	1
Marketing	
Management Information	0
Computer Science	8
Communications	7
Biology	5
Electrical Engineering	6
Nursing	
Political Science	4
Undecided	4
Art	3
Dietetics	3
Engineering	3
English	3
General Studies	3
History	
Mechanical Engineering	3
Advertising	
Broadcasting	2
Criminal Justice	
Journalism	
Mathematics	
Pharmacy	
Public Affairs	
Word Processing	
Alcohol/Drug Studies	
Chemical Technology	
Child Development	
Court Reporting	
Dentistry	
Economics	
Fashion Merchandising	
Geophysics	
Gerontology	
Graphic Arts	
Health Science	
Industrial Engineering	
Industrial Management	
Liberal Arts	
Merchandising	
Philosophy	
Physical Therapy	
Pre-Med	
Radio/Television	
Secretarial	į

Fields of Study: (concluded) Sociology Theology 19. Did you have any problems transferring from our college? If YES. What? Credit Hours, admissions, and transcript Other problems and comments given were: Probably 's mistake. Got my Associates - but added many more course requirements. Don't know yet. Adjustment to school. I haven't decided about other colleges. Classes transferred said to be equivalent and were not. Did not transfer. Took 1-1/2 years to get diploma. Some. Not a transfer course. Actually, problems in all three areas. Only awarded 75% of tech. credit hours. Financial aid. Bookkeeping errors (reconsolidating maiden & married name records). Transferred great! Students transferring with some type of problem are down 4% from previous years study! 20. How many credit hours earned at our college were NOT ACCEPTED at your transfer college?

21.	Please indicate the response that best describes your expectations of OCC credits accepted by the other college.
	Number accepted were about what was
	expected
	Unknown
22.	Please indicate your status at the transfer college:
	Full-time student
	Part-time student
23.	Please indicate your classification at transfer school:
	Junior
•	Senior
	No Response
	Graduate
	Other
24.	How well did the courses you completed at our college prepare you for continuing your education?
	Satis factory
	Excellent
	Good in some areas only
	Fair, all areas could have been better
	No Response
25.	Please give the name of your current employer:
	Name of employer given
	No Response
	Employers with two or more graduates from survey:
	Self-employed
	St. Joseph Mercy Hospital
	General Motors Corporation
	Oakland Community College
	Pontiac Motors
	Oakland County
	Pontiac General Hospital
	Crittenton Hospital
	EDS Corporation
	Alexander Hamilton Life Insurance Co

Employers with two or more graduates from survey: (concluded)

	American Motors
	Perry Drugs
	Employers in states other than Michigan with graduates:
	California
26.	Please provide job titles and duties. The job titles and duties given were classified into the following categories:
	CATEGORIES; Clerical

CATEGORIES: (continued)

	Health Technicians
	Registered Nurse
	Sales Occupations
	Engineering Technician
	Technicians Non-Health/Non-Engineering,
	Non-Science
*.	Precision Production
	Office Equipment Operator
	Supervisor of Clerical Staffs
	Transportation
A	Writers, Artists, and Performers
	Insurance and Securities
	Computers, Mathematics & Research
	Construction Worker4
	Mechanic/Repairer
	Fabricators/Assembler
	Factory Helper
	Science Technician
Job T	ITLES: A Line A Company of the Compa
*.	Not Given
	Registered Nurse
	Secretary
	Management related occupation
	Sales
	Accountant
٠.	Self-employed
	Dental Hygienist
	Bookkeeper
• •	Clerical
*5	Supervisor of manufacturing workers
	Child care worker
	Child care worker
	Supervisor of health care workers
1	Teacher
	Word Processor
	Administrative Assistant
	Draftsman
	Precision Production
	Programmer
	Computing & Office Equipment Operator
	Health Technician4
	Medical Assistant
	Medical Transcriptionist
	Other Technician
	Personnel Assistant4
	Pharmacy Technician4
	Policeman4
	Supervisor Clerical Occupation
	Chef
	Driver

JOB TITLES (continued)

Engineering Associate
Engineering Technician
Landscaper
Material Handler
Mechanic Repairer
Respiratory Therapist
Security Guard
Service Technician
Teller
Waitress
Auditor
Assembler
Cashier
Counselor-Educator
Designer in Industrial
Dietetic Technician
Electrician
Exercise Technician
Firefighter
Insurance & Securities
Library Technician
Musician
Payroll Assistant
Service Occupation
Systems Analyst
Teacher Aide
Technician-Engineering related
Toolmaker
Typist
Offrasound Technician
Advertising Sales
Baggage Agent
Bartender
Bilingual Administration
Building Maintenance
Communications Specialist
Data Entry Operator
Dental Assistant
Electronics Technician
Fabricator & Assembler
Factory Helper
Floral Designer
Graphics Worker
Hair Dresser
Health Aide
Health Care Worker
Inspector
Inspector
Inspector
Inspector
Inspector

JOB TITLES (continued)

Mailman .

	Manicurist	
	Meat Cutter	
	Mental Health Worker	
	Office Manager	
	Order Clerk	
	Personnel Recruiter	•, •
	Photographer	
	Producer	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
	Purchasing Agent	1
	Real Estate Sales	
	Room Attendant	
	Sanitation Worker	
	Science Technician	N 4 1 W
100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Sonographer	
	Statistical Processor Control	
	Steamfitter	
	Surveyor of Research	
** *.	Tinsmith	
10 mg -	Tour Guide	No.
in the second	Transportation	e e e
	Travel Agent	
	Typesetter	
	Usher	
		•
	Waiter	
	Writer, Art, Performer	4
	job related to the courses you have completed at our college? Yes	59%
	No	
	No	
		6%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,37%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,37%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,37%17%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,37%17%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,37%17%11%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,37%17%11%10%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,37%17%11%10%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,37%17%10%9%5%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,37%17%10%5%5%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,37%17%10%9%5%5%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC,37%17%10%9%5%5%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC, 37% 17% 11% 10% 5% 5% 2%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC, 37% 17% 11% 10% 5% 5% 2%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC, 37% 17% 10% 5% 5% 5% 2% 2%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC, 37% 17% 11% 10% 5% 5% 2% 2% 1%
	Not Answered	6% ed at OCC, 37% 17% 11% 10% 5% 5% 2% 2% 1%

Some of the other comments were:

Was a current four-year job; the degree is needed for advancement; continued previous employment; need further education; not enough classes offered in field at OCC; have not actively pursued yet; family business; I planned for future; age discrimination; present job is related somewhat; good job for studying when your a full-time student; it gives me a change of pace from my major; work study; summer work; family owned; waiting for opening in field; undecided on future; transferred to two-year college; 25% reduction-white collar work force; only there until M.S.W.; summer employment; not seeking employment until law degree completed; school schedule; OCC for enrichment; work for \$; hours able to work; keep money in my pocket; personal interest; Liberal Arts degree is not job specific; self interest; it helps get through school; simply earning \$ for tuition; helps pay for school.

- 29. CURRENT SALARY/WORK HOURS: Respondents were asked to give their hourly, weekly, monthly, or yearly salary. In addition, they were to indicate the hours worked per week. Data given was all converted to an hourly wage rate for comparison purposes. Hourly wage rates ranged from a high of \$34.62 to a low of \$3.00. The average hourly rate was \$10.08 based on 351 respondents giving this information. The average hourly rate is up from the previous years survey which gave a \$9.56 average. The number of hours reported worked per week ranged from a low of four hours to a high of 75 hours. Some 36 percent reported they worked a 40-hour week, up from 35 percent a year ago. The average hours worked per week reported was 37, down one hour from the previous year's survey.
- 30. How would you rate the training you received at our college in relation to its usefulness to you performing your job?

Good (2)	 16237%
Very Good (I)	 10524%
Average (3)	 9121%
No Response	 5713%
Poor (4)	 14 3%
Very Poor (5)	 .6 1%

Average score: 2.1

31. Did the courses you took at our college help your occupational area in any of the following ways:

Helped performance on present job	
Helped obtain and perform on job	
Helped to obtain a job.	
No Response	
Helped advance on job	
Helped obtain and advance on job	
Other	
Helped in other ways	1 0%

Some of the other comments were:

No Response ...

A few first-aid classes helped; great network; gave overall perspective on business community; transfer; started own bookkeeping service; new field; helped me decide what I really wanted to do; increased pay level; helped me get into MSC; job presently serves purpose; It will give me a chance to move up before someone else; not related to present job; helped on previous jobs; only course that helped was typing fundamentals; relate to management; enrichment; I have no job; qualified me as a medical transcriptionist; too early to determine; raises; and still not employed in related field.;

	early to determine; raises; and still not employed in related field.;
32.	Were you employed in your occupational area prior to enrolling in the courses completed at OCC?
	No
33.	How would you rate the availability of jobs in your occupational area?
	Good (2) 114 26% Average (3) 112 26% Very Good(I) 99 23% No Response 48 11% Poor (4) 46 11% Very Poor(5) 16 4%
	Average score: 2.4
34.	How do you see the courses completed at our college in terms of your career plans?
	Long term, direct benefit 244 44% Immediate, direct benefit 136 25% Indirect benefit 105 19% Immediate & Long term, direct benefit 42 8% No Response 15 3% No Bene fit 9 2% Long term, direct and indirect benefit 2 0% Direct and indirect benefit 2 0%
35.	Are you interested in taking other courses at OCC? You may include courses not presently offered by OCC.
	Yes

COURSES LISTED BY RESPONDENTS ANSWERING YES ARE:

Accounting Acting

Advanced Accounting
Advanced Child Psychology

Advanced First Aid Advanced History Advanced Math Advanced Science Advanced Shorthand Advanced Sign Language

Aerobics Airbrushing Algebra Anatomy Animation Anthropology

Architectual Design

Art

Art Design Art History Auditing Auto Body AVM

Basic Computer Language

Biology Biochemistry Business Business Law

Business Administration Business Communication Business Management

CAD/CAM

Calculus I,II,III & IV Career Developement

Ceramics Chemistry CICS Child Care

Child Developement Classroom Management

Climate Control

Cobol

College Algebra
College Physics II
Color Photography
Communications
Computer Aided Design

Computer Alded Design Computer Animation Computer Graphics

Computer Integrated Manufacturing

Computer Programming

Computer Science

Cooking

Conversation French
Cost Accounting

Crafts.

Creative Writing Criminal Justice

Dance
Data Bases
Data Processing
Design Engineering
Digital Electronics

Drafting Drawing

Drug & Alcohol Abuse Early Childhood Program

Echocardiography
Economics I & II
Electronics

Elementary Teaching Emergency Services Employability Skills

EMT

English Composition

English I & II
Environmental

Ethics

Fashion Merchandising

Film Financing Finite Math First Aid Fluid Power Fortran

Foreign Language

French

General Education

Geology Geriatrics German Graphics History

Holistic Health Humanities Human Relations

Hygiene

Industrial Drawing Interior Design Investments Italian JCL

Journalism

Keyboarding Skills Legal Secretary Law Enforcement

Liberal Arts Library Science

Library Technician

Literature Lotus I-2-3 Management Marketing

Math Medical

Medical Assisting Mental Health Program

Micro-Biology Micro-Computer Micro-Processor

Music

Music Appreciation

Nutrition Nursina OIS

Organic Chemistry

Painting Pathology

Personal Finance

Personal Growth

Pharmaceutical

Philosophy **Photography**

Physical Education

Physics

Police Science

Pottery

Pre-Engineering

Private Pilot Licenses

Psychology Real Estate

Recreational Courses

Registered Nursing Program

Religion

Restaurant/Hotel Management

Robotics Russian Sailing

Sales Marketing

Science Scuba Diving Secreterial

Security Administration

Self Defense

Seminars Shorthand Sign Language Small Business Social Science Social Studies Social Work Sociology Solar Energy Spanish Speech Statistics

Substance Abuse

Taxation Teaching Technology

Television Productions

Tennis Travel Agent Trigonometry Typing

Video Productions

Weaving Wind Surfing Word Processing World Religion Writing Courses

AWARD AREA: ALL GRADUATES

TOTAL GRADUATES				TOTAL RESPONDENTS			NON- RESPONDENTS				
		349 00%)			555 (30%)			1, (7)	294 0%)		
FULL	LATED PART N	VOT F	UNRE		VOT	NO ANS <u>WER</u>	MILITAR SERVICE		CONTINU DUCATI	ING SEEKI ON WORK	
				2 (14%)	l (0%)	44 (10%)			274 49%)	32 (6%)	
AMER. INDIAN	<u>ASIAN</u>	RACE (OUP WHITE	FOREIC	<u>2N KNO</u> NOT		-GENDEF	R MALE	
	5 (1%)	18 (3%)	7 (1%)		430 (78%)	3 (0%)	92 (17%		190 70%)	165 (30%)	
<u> 18-22</u>	<u>23-27</u>	AGE DI 28-32	STRIBU 33-37	7.5	2 43-47	48-52	<u>53-57</u>	<u>58+</u>	UNKN	<u>IOWN</u>	
104 (19%)	114 (21%)	105 (19%)	83 (15%)	73 (13%	18) (9%)	13 (9%)	7 (1%)	(0%)	7 (1%)		
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS- VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE											
5 (36%)	5 (3 <i>6</i>	l 5%) (7%	6)	l (7%)	l (7%)) 	l (7%)				
HOURL GENDE		IES REPO		N FUL <u>HIGH</u>	L TIME, I	PROGRA MEAN	M-RELAT	MED E		IENT COUNT	
FEMALE MALE COMPO		4.35 7.00 4.35)	24.04 27.78 27.78		10.24 11.50 10.56		9.62 10.00 9.89)	131 45 176	1. 1.

AWARD AREA: ASSOCIATES IN APPLIED SCIENCE

TOTAL	TOTAL	NON						
GRADUATES	RESPONDENTS	RESPOND						
864	266	598	5)					
(100%)	(31%)	(69%						
FULL PART NOT FULL	ATES ELATED NO PART NOT ANS TIME GIVEN WER	The state of the s	NTINUING SEEKING WORK					
127 40 8 26	13 3 10	84	%) (5%)					
(56%) (18%) (4%) (11%)	(6%) (1%) (4%)	(32						
RACE OR ETH AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISP		NOT	ENDER MALE <u>MALE</u>					
4 6	215	40 196	70					
(2%) (2%)	(81%) (0%)	(15%) (74						
AGE DISTRIBU 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-3		<u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u>	UNKNOWN					
36 54 57 39	39 22 8	5						
(14%) (20%) (21%) (15%) (15%) (8%) (3%)	(2%)						
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS- VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE								
62 57 53	28 9	18						
(27%) (25%) (23%)	(12%) (4%)	(8%)						
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED GENDER LOW	ON FULL TIME, PROGRA HIGH MEAN	AM-RELATED EM MEDIA						
FEMALE 5.30 MALE 7.50 COMPOSITE 5.30	23.75 9.70	11.25	84					
	27.78 11.63	10.29	32					
	27.78 10.23	9.90	116					

AWARD AREA: ASSOCIATES IN BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

TOTAL GRADUATI	≣S	TOTAL RESPONDE		NON- RESPONDENTS				
346 (100%)		 (32%)			235 (68%)			
EMPLOYEDRELATED FULL PART NOT TIME TIME GIVEN	GRADUATESUNRELATE FULL PART TIME TIME	NOT		LITARY RVICE	CONTINUIN EDUCATIO	NG SEEKING N <u>WORK</u>		
37 7 (43%) (8%)	20 12 (24%) (14%)		7 (8%)		72 (65%)	5 (5%)		
AMER.	E OR ETHNIC GI CK <u>HISPANIC</u>		FOREIGN	NOT KNOWN	GENDER-	 MALE		
 (1%) 4 (4%)	5 (5%)	84 (76%)		17 (15%))2 29%)		
18-22 23-27 AGE 28-3	DISTRIBUTION 2 33-37 38-4	<u>42 43-47</u>	<u>48-52</u> <u>5</u>	<u>3-57</u> <u>58+</u>	UNKNO	WN		
27 23 23 (24%) (21%) (21%	15 10) (14%) (9%		3 (3%) (1	l %)				
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS- VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE								
15 (18%) 27 (32%)	24 6 (28%) (7%)) (2%)	(13	/%)				
HOURLY SALARIES REGENDER	PORTED ON FU OW HIGH		ROGRAM- <u>MEAN</u>	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		NT COUNT		
MALE 8	3.00 24.04 3.84 20.19 3.00 20.19		12.04 13.84 12.32	10.9 12.0 11.7	00	28 5 33		

AWARD AREA: ASSOCIATES IN GENERAL STUDIES

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS					
88 (100%)	20 (23%)	68 (77%)					
FULL PART NOT FULL	LATED NO PART NOT ANS	MILITARY CONTINUII SERVICE EDUCATIO	NG SEEKING N WORK				
	4 (27%) 2 (13%)	 (55%)	l (5%)				
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPA		GENDER NOT <u>KNOWN FEMALE</u>	MALE				
) (5%)	l 6 (80%)		4 (20%)				
AGE DISTRIBU 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37		<u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u> <u>UNKNO</u>	<u>NWC</u>				
4 (20%) (20%) (15%) (10%)	2 4 I (10%) (20%) (5%)						
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS- VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE							
2 6 44 (13%) (40%) (27%)	 (7%) (7%)	 (7%)					
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED GENDER LOW	ON FULL TIME, PROGRA HIGH MEAN		ENT COUNT				
FEMALE 8.75 MALE COMPOSITE 8.75	19.23 11.98 19.23 11.98	10 . 90 10 . 90	5				

AWARD AREA: ASSOCIATES IN LIBERAL ARTS

		TAL DUATES	٠.		TOTA RESPOND				NON- ONDENTS	
	404 (10	I 0%)			18 (29%)				286 (71%)	
FULL	ATED PART N	OT	UNRI FULL	ELATE PART		NO ANS WER	. ,	TARY VICE	CONTINU EDUCATI	IING SEEKIN ON WORK
	5 (7%)		3 I (42%)	18 (24%)	2 (3%)	6 (8%)			85 (72%)	8 (7%)
AMER.	<u>ASIAN</u>	RACE BLACE	OR ETH		ROUP WHITE	FOREIG	<u>GN</u>	NOT KNOWN	GENDEI	
		5 (4%)	2 (2%)		88 (75%)	 (1%)		22 (19%)	80 (68%)	38 (32%)
18-22	<u>23-27</u>	AGE D 28-32	ISTRIBU <u>33-37</u>		<u>42</u> <u>43-47</u>	<u>48-52</u>	<u>53-</u>	<u>57 58+</u>	UNKN	<u>IOWN</u>
30 (25%)	23 (20%)	12 (10%)	21 (18%)	17) (149	13 %) (11%)	 (1%)			 (1%)	
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS- VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE										
13 (18%)	14 (19	%) (32	%)	5 (7%) (3%)		16 (22%)		
HOURLY GENDER		ES REPO		ON FU <u>HIG</u>		PROGRA <u>MEAN</u>			EMPLOYN DIAN	MENT COUNT
FEMALE MALE COMPOS		4.3 7.2 4.3	5	24.04 10.00 24.04)	9.11 8.01 8.74		7.	57 39 39	8 4 12

AWARD AREA: ASSOCIATES IN SCIENCE

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTA RESPOND	and the second of the second o	NON- RESPONDENTS				
68 (100%)	23 (34%)		45 (66%)				
EMPLOYED GRADU		No					
FULL PART NOT FULL TIME TIME GIVEN TIME	ELATED PART NOT TIME GIVEN	NO ANS MILITA WER SERVIC		JING SEEKING ON WORK			
2 5 (12%) (6%) (29%)	4 2 (24%) (12%)	3 (18%)	20 (87%)	4 (17%)			
AMER. RACE OR ETH	HNIC GROUP	NO	GENDEI	₹			
	ANIC WHITE		OWN FEMALE	MALE			
	15 (65%)	7 (4%) (309	8 6) (35%)	15 (65%)			
AGE DISTRIBU 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-3	the state of the second	<u>48-52</u> <u>53-57</u>	<u>58+</u> <u>UNKN</u>	<u>10WN</u>			
7 8 5 I (30%) (35%) (22%) (4%)	 (4%)		 (4%)				
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS- VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE							
1 4 3 (6%) (24%) (18%)	3 (18%)	6 (35%)					
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED GENDER LOW	ON FULL TIME, I <u>HIGH</u>	PROGRAM-RELA <u>MEAN</u>	ATED EMPLOYA MEDIAN	MENT COUNT			
FEMALE 14.42 MALE 7.00 COMPOSITE 7.00	14.42 7.00 14.42	14.42 7.00 10.70	14.42 7.00 10.71	 			

AWARD AREA: CERTIFICATES

·	TOTAL GRADUATES			RESF		
79 (100		17 (22	%)		62 (78%)	
RELATED FULL PART NO	OT FULL	TES LATED PART NOT TIME GIVEN	NO ANS WER	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINU EDUCATI	JING SEEKIN ON WORK
8 2 (47%) (24%)	3 (18%)		2 (12%)		2 (12%)	
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETH		E FOREI	NOT <u>GN KNOWN</u>	GENDEI	R <u>MALE</u>
	2 (12%)	12 (71%)		3 (18%)	11 (65%)	6 (35%)
<u>18-22</u> <u>23-27</u>	AGE DISTRIBU 28-32 33-37	<u>38-42</u> <u>43-</u>	47 48-52	<u>53-57</u> <u>58</u>	<u>+</u> <u>UNK</u>	<u>IOWN</u>
2 (12%)	5 (29%) (29%)	4 (24%)		(6%)		
-OCCUPATIONAL VERY GOOD GO				NO RESPON		NTS-
4 5 (24%) (299	4 %) (24%)	(6%) (6	%)	2 (12%)		
HOURLY SALARIE	ES REPORTED (LOW	ON FULL TIM HIGH	E, PROGRA <u>MEAN</u>		EMPLOYA DIAN	COUNT
FEMALE MALE COMPOSITE	7.35 9.50 7.35	9.89 15.00 15.00	8.84 12.33 9.93	12.	.44 50 .25	5 3 8

AWARD AREA: ACCOUNTING

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS	
74 (100%)	23 (31%)	51 (69%)	
		MILITARY CONTINUIN SERVICE EDUCATION 12 (52%)	NG SEEKING N WORK I (4%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC		GENDER- NOT GN KNOWN FEMALE M	 MALE
	20 (87%)	3 20 3 (13%) (87%) () 13%)
AGE DISTRIBUTIO 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37 3	N 18-42 43-47 48-52	53-57 <u>58+</u> <u>UNKNO</u>	<u>NWC</u>
2 4 8 5 4 (9%) (17%) (35%) (13%) () 2 17%) (9%)		
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILA VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE P			TS-
7 6 5 (37%) (32%) (26%)		(5%)	
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON I GENDER LOW HI	FULL TIME, PROGRA GH MEAN		NT COUNT
FEMALE 6.10 19	.23 10.74	9 . 95 l	2
	.23 10.74	9,95	2

AWARD AREA: ADIOVISUAL TECHNOLOGY

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS	
16 (100%)	3 (19%)	13 (81%)	
	the second control of	MILITARY CONTIN	UING SEEK ION WOR
3 (100%)		(33%)	
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANI	IC WHITE FOREIG	GENDI NOT GN KNOWN FEMALE	A. A.
	3 (100%)	(33%)	(67%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION	ON 38-42 <u>43-47</u> 48-52	<u>53-57 58+ UNK</u>	NOWN
(33%)	l (33%)	(33%)	
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAIL VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE		EMPLOYED RESPOND NO RESPONSE	ENTS-
(33%)	l (33%)	(33%)	
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON GENDER LOW H	FULL TIME, PROGRA		MENT COUNT
MALE 17.79 27	6.00 6.00 7.78 22.78 7.78 17.19		1 2 3

AWARD AREA: AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENT	S
6 (100%)	(17%)	5 (83%)	
EMPLOYED GRADURELATEDUNF FULL PART NOT FULL TIME TIME GIVEN TIME I (100%)	RELATED NO PART NOT ANS TIME GIVEN WER	MILITARY CONTIN SERVICE EDUCA I (100%)	IUING SEEKIN TION WORK
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISE	HNIC GROUP PANIC WHITE FOREI (100%)	GENDI NOT GN KNOWN FEMALE	
AGE DISTRIB 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-3 I (100	<u>7 38-42 43-47 48-52</u>	53-57 58+ UNK	(NOWN
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVERAGE		EMPLOYED RESPOND NO RESPONSE	ENTS-

l (100%)

AWARD AREA: BANK ADMINISTRATION

	TOTAL RADUATES	TOT/ RESPON			NON- ONDENTS
	4 (100%)	l (25%))		3 (75%)
RELATED	MPLOYED GRADU UNF NOT FULL GIVEN TIME I (100%)	JATES RELATED PART NOT TIME GIVEN	NO ANS <u>WER</u>	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINUING SEEKING EDUCATION WORK I (100%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIA	RACE OR ET	HNIC GROUP PANIC WHITE I (100%)	FOREIC	NOT KNOWN	GENDER FEMALE MALE I (100%)
<u>18-22</u> <u>23-27</u>	AGE DISTRIB 28-32 33-3	UTION	<u>/ 48-52</u>	<u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u>	

-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS-VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE

(100%)

AWARD AREA: BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

	TAL DUATES	RE:	TOTAL SPONDENTS	RE	NON- SPONDENTS	
340 (10	6 00%)		 (32%)		235 (68%)	
RELATED FULL PART N	IOT FULL IVEN TIME 20	ELATED PART NO TIME GIV	T ANS	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINUEDUCAT 72 (65%)	
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETH BLACK HISPA	NIC GROU		NOT EIGN KNOV	GENDE	R
(1%)	4 5 (4%) (5%)	84 (7)	6%)	17 (15%)	79 (71%)	32 (29%)
<u>18-22</u> <u>23-27</u>	AGE DISTRIBU 28-32 33-37	the state of the s	43-47 48-5	<u>52 53-57 :</u>	58+ <u>UNK</u> 1	<u> NOWN</u>
27 23 (24%) (21%)	23 15 (21%) (14%)	10 (9%)	9 3 (8%) (3%) (1%)		
-OCCUPATIONAL VERY GOOD GO	AREA JOB AV	and the second s		Y EMPLOYE R NO RESPO		ENTS-
15 27 (18%) (32	24 %) (28%)	6 (7%)	2 (2%)	 (3%)		
HOURLY SALARI GENDER	ES REPORTED	ON FULL ' <u>HIGH</u>	TIME, PROGI ME	RAM-RELAT	ED EMPLOY! MEDIAN	MENT COUNT
FEMALE MALE COMPOSITE	6.00 8.85 6.00	24.04 20.19 24.04	12.0 13.8 12.3	4	10.97 12.00 11.78	28 5 33

AWARD AREA: CERAMIC TECHNOLOGY

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDI		NON- ESPONDENTS	
2 (100%)	l (50%)) (50%)	
	ED T NOT	NO ANS MILITAI WER SERVICI		ING SEEKING ON WORK
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC		NO FOREIGN KNO	GENDER T DWN FEMALE	
	(100%)			(100%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38 (100%)	N 3-42 <u>43-47</u>	48-52 53-57	<u>58+</u> <u>UNK</u> N	<u>IOWN</u>
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILA VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE PO		NG BY EMPLOY POOR NO RES		NTS-
l (100%)				(A) EA (E)
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON F GENDER LOW HIG		ROGRAM-RELA <u>MEAN</u>	TED EMPLOYA MEDIAN	MENT COUNT
FEMALE MALE 9.50 9.50 COMPOSITE 9.50 9.50		9.50 9.50	9.50 9.50	1

AWARD AREA: CLIMATE CONTROL SYSTEMS

	GRAI 8	TAL DUATES 10%)		R	TOTAI ESPOND 3 (38%)		RE	NON SPOND 5 (62%	ENTS	
FULL	ATED PART N	IOT F		ATES ELATED- PART N TIME G	OT	NO ANS WER	MILITAR' SERVICE		NTINUING <u>JCATION</u>	
(50%)		Ć	50%)					(339	%)	
AMER. INDIAN	ASIAN	RACE (FOREIC	GN KNOV	G <u>WN</u> <u>Fe</u> A	ENDER MALE MA 3 (100	<u>LE</u>
18-22	23-27	AGE DI 28-32 I (33%)	STRIB 33-3 2 (67%	<u>7</u> <u>38-42</u>	43-47	48-52	<u>53-57</u>	58+	UNKNOWI	
-OCCUF VERY G	<u>00D</u> <u>G</u> (OB A\ ERAGE	/AILABILI			EMPLOYE NO RESP		ONDENTS	

HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON FULL TIME, PROGRAM-RELATED EMPLOYMENT

MEAN

14.75

14.75

MEDIAN

14.75

14.75

COUNT

HIGH

14.75

14.75

LOW

14.75

14.75

GENDER

FEMALE

COMPOSITE

MALE

AWARD AREA: COMMUNICATION ARTS

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS	
13 (100%)	5 (38%)	8 (62%)	
EMPLOYED GRADUATESRELATEDUNRELATE FULL PART NOT FULL PART TIME TIME GIVEN TIME TIME		MILITARY CONTINU SERVICE EDUCATI	IING SEEKING ON WORK
2 (50%) (25%)	l (25%)	3 (60%)	
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC (20%)	ROUP WHITE FOREI 4 (80%)	GENDEF NOT GN KNOWN FEMALE I (20%)	R MALE 4 (80%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38-38 (60%) (20%)	<u>42 43-47 48-52</u>	53-57 58+ UNKN	<u>IOWN</u>
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILAB VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POO		EMPLOYED RESPONDE NO RESPONSE	NTS-
2 (50%) (25%		[(25%)	
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON FU GENDER LOW HIGH			COUNT
FEMALE MALE 12.00 12.00 COMPOSITE 12.00 12.00		12.00 12.00	

AWARD AREA: COMPUTER AIDED DESIGN

°TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS	
3 (100%)	l (33%)	2 (67%)	
		MILITARY CONTINUII SERVICE EDUCATIO	NG SEEKING N WORK
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC		GENDER NOT GN KNOWN FEMALE I (100%)	MALE
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38 (100%)	N 3-42 43-47 48-52	53-57 58+ UNKNO	<u>DWN</u>
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILA <u>VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE PO</u> [(100%)		EMPLOYED RESPONDEN NO RESPONSE	ITS-
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON F GENDER LOW HIC			ENT COUNT

FEMALE MALE COMPOSITE

23.75

23.75

23.75

23.75

23.75

23.75

23:75

23.75

NON-

RESPONDENTS

OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE 1985 - 1986 GRADUATE FOLLOW UP REPORT

AWARD AREA: CONFERENCE AND COURT REPORTING

TOTAL

RESPONDENTS

TOTAL

GRADUATES

GIADOATES	I (LSI OI 1L)L1113	171-01	ONDENTS	
2 (100%)	l (50%)			l (50%)	
EMPLOYED GRAIRELATEDU FULL PART NOT FUL TIME TIME GIVEN TIME	NRELATED L PART NOT	The state of the s	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINUING EDUCATION	SEEKING WORK
		l (100%)			
AMER.	ETHNIC GROUP ISPANIC WHITE	FOREIG	NOT KNOWN	GENDER	
 (100%)				(100%)	

-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS-VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE

AGE DISTRIBUTION

18-22

23-27

(100%)

1 (100%)

AWARD AREA: COSMETOLOGY

GF	TOTAL GRADUATES			AL DENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS		
	6 (100%)		2 (33%)			4 (67%)	
EA RELATED FULL PART TIME TIME	NOT		ATED RT NOT ME GIVEN	NO ANS WER	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINU EDUCATI	
AMER. INDIAN ASIA		OR ETHNIC		FOREIG	NOT KNOWN	GENDER I <u>FEMALE</u> 2 (100%)	R <u>MALE</u>
<u>18-22</u> <u>23-27</u>		ISTRIBUTIO <u>33-37</u>	ON 38-42 <u>43-47</u> 2 (100%		<u>53-57</u> <u>58</u>	<u>+</u> <u>UNKN</u>	IOWN
-OCCUPATION VERY GOOD		JOB AVAIL <u>'ERAGE</u> <u>I</u>			EMPLOYED NO RESPON I (50%)		NTS-

AWARD AREA: CRIMINALISTICS

		TOTAL ADUATE	\$.		TOTA RESPOND				NON- ONDENTS	
¥ .	2 (100%)			l (50%)				l (50%)	
FULL	LATED- PART	PLOYED NOT GIVEN	GRADU UNF FULL TIME	RELATE PART		NO ANS WER		.ITARY RVICE	CONTINU EDUCATION	ING SEEKIN DN WORK
		RACI	E OR ETI	HNIC (ROUP				(100%) GENDEF	
AMER. INDIAN	ASIAN		K HISF		WHITE	FOREI	<u>GN</u>	KNOWN NOT		MALE
					1 (100%)				l (100%)	
<u>18-22</u>	<u>23-27</u>	AGE 28-32	DISTRIB 33-3			<u>48-52</u>	<u>53</u>	1 <u>-57</u> <u>58</u> -	<u>UNKN</u>	<u>OWN</u>
1 (100%)										

AWARD AREA: CRIMINAL JUSTICE

	TAL DUATES	TOTAL RESPONDE	NTS RES	NON- SPONDENTS
7 (10	0%)	2 (29%)		5 (71%)
RELATEDFULL PART N	OT FULL PART	NOT A	IO INS MILITARY <u>VER</u> SERVICE	CONTINUING SEEK EDUCATION WOR
(50%)		l (50%)		l (50%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETHNIC G	<u>WHITE</u> <u>F</u>	NOT <u>OREIGN KNOW</u> I	2
<u>18-22</u> <u>23-27</u>	AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38-	(50%) 42 43-47	(50%) 48-52 <u>53-57</u> <u>5</u>	(100%) 8+ <u>UNKNOWN</u>
	AREA JOB AVAILAB OOD AVERAGE POO I %) (50%)			
HOURLY SALARII GENDER FEMALE MALE COMPOSITE	ES REPORTED ON FU LOW HIGH 10.58 10.58 10.58 10.58	<u>+</u>	<u>MEAN</u> 10.58 1	ED EMPLOYMENT MEDIAN COUNT 0,58 I 0,58 I

AWARD AREA: CULINARY ARTS

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS		NON- ONDENTS
18 (100%)	5 (28%)		13 (72%)
EMPLOYED GRADUATESRELATEDUNRELAT			
	T NOT ANS E GIVEN WEF		CONTINUING SEEKI EDUCATION WORK
3 (60%) (20%)	 (20%)	
RACE OR ETHNIC	<u>GROUP</u>	NOT	GENDER
INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC	WHITE FOR	10 10 T	FEMALE MALE
	4 (80%)	(20%)	l (20%) (80%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38	N 3-42 <u>43-47 48-</u>	. <u>52 53-57 58+</u>	UNKNOWN
3 (60%) (20%) (20	0%)		
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILA VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE PO			
2 (40%) (20%)		2 (40%)	
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON F GENDER LOW HIG			EMPLOYMENT DIAN COUNT
FEMALE 7.50 9.13 COMPOSITE 7.50 9.13			

AWARD AREA: DATA PROCESSING

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS	
84 (100%)	23 (27%)	61 (73%)	
EMPLOYED GRADURELATEDUNR FULL PART NOT FULL TIME TIME GIVEN TIME	ATES ELATED NO PART NOT ANS TIME GIVEN WER		JING SEEKING ION WORK
6 2 1 7 (35%) (12%) (6%) (41%)	(6%)	5 (22%)	4 (17%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISP		GENDEI NOT <u>GN KNOWN</u> FEMALE	R <u>MALE</u>
2 (9%)	14 1 (61%) (4%)	6 14 (26%) (61%)	9 (39%)
AGE DISTRIBUTE	UTION 7 <u>38-42 43-47 48-52</u>	<u>53-57 58+ UNKN</u>	<u>10WN</u>
4 8 5 (17%) (35%) (22%	3 2 .) (13%) (9%)		
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVERAGE			NTS-
l 6 4 (6%) (35%) (24%)	5 (19%)	(4%)	
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED GENDER LOW	ON FULL TIME, PROGRA		MENT COUNT
FEMALE 12.02 MALE 8.94 COMPOSITE 8.94	18.27 15.14 8.94 8.94 18.27 13.08	15.14 8.94 12.02	2 1 3

AWARD AREA: DENTAL ASSISTING

	OTAL DUATES	TOTA RESPOND	The state of the s	NON- RESPONDENTS		
2 (10	00%)	l (50%)		l (50%)		
RELATED FULL PART N	OYED GRADU/ UNR IOT FULL IVEN TIME	ATES ELATED PART NOT TIME GIVEN	NO ANS MILITA WER SERVIO	one and the comment of the article and	IING SEEKING ON WORK	
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETH BLACK HISP			GENDEF OT <u>IOWN</u> <u>FEMALE</u> I (100%)		
<u>18-22</u> <u>23-27</u>	AGE DISTRIBU 28-32 33-37		<u>48-52</u> <u>53-57</u>	<u>58+ UNKN</u>	<u>IOWN</u>	
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS- VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE						
) (100%)					
HOURLY SALARI GENDER	ES REPORTED LOW	ON FULL TIME, I <u>HIGH</u>	PROGRAM-REL MEAN	ATED EMPLOYM MEDIAN	MENT COUNT	
FEMALE MALE	9.00	9,00	9.00	9.00	I	

9.00

9,00

COMPOSITE

9.00

9.00

AWARD AREA: DENTAL HYGIENE

	TAL DUATES	TOTA RESPOND		NON- RESPONDENTS	
26 (10	0%)	10 (38%)		16 (62%)	
RELATED FULL PART N	OT FULL	TES ELATED PART NOT TIME GIVEN	NO ANS MILITA WER SERVI		JING SEEKING ION WORK
2 8 (20%) (80%)				 (10%)	
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETH			GENDE OT NOWN FEMALE 10	
(10%)	AGE DISTRIBU 28-32 33-37	(80%) TION	(10 48-52 53-57	0%) (100%)	NOWN
3 (30%)	5 I (50%) (10%)		70-32 30-3.		
-OCCUPATIONAL VERY GOOD GO			ING BY EMPLO		ENTS-
7 (70%) 2 (20	%) (10%)				
HOURLY SALARI	ES REPORTED (LOW	ON FULL TIME, F <u>HIGH</u>	PROGRAM-REL MEAN	ATED EMPLOYI MEDIAN	MENT COUNT
FEMALE MALE COMPOSITE	11 .2 5	12,00	11,63	11.63 11.63	2
		**			+ :

AWARD AREA: DIAGNOSTIC MEDICAL SONOGRAPHY

		TAL DUATES	3		TOTA RESPOND		RE	NON- ESPONDEN	ITS	
	15 (10	0%)			3 (20%)			12 (80%)		
REI FULL <u>TIME</u>	LATED PART N	IOT	GRADUA UNR FULL TIME		NOT	NO ANS WER	MILITAR SERVICE		-, .,	SEEKIN WORK
(33%)	2 (67%)									
AMER. INDIAN	<u>ASIAN</u>		OR ETH		<u>WHITE</u> 3 (100%)	FOREI	NOT <u>KNO</u>			
<u>18-22</u>	23-27	AGE D 28-32	0ISTRIBU 33-37 2 (67%	<u>7 38-4</u> I		48-52	53-57	<u>58+ U</u>	<u>NKNOWI</u>	<u>4</u>
-OCCUF							EMPLOYE NO RESP	D RESPON ONSE	IDENTS	1 (1) (4) 1 (1) (1) (1)

3 (100%)

HOURLY SALA	RIES REPORTED	ON FULL 1	TIME, PROGRAM-REI	ATED EMPLO	YMENT
<u>GENDER</u>	LOW	<u>HIGH</u>	<u>MEAN</u>	<u>MEDIAN</u>	COUNT
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
FEMALE	11.25	11.25	11 . 25	11,25	
MALE					
COMPOSITE	11,25	11.25	11.25	11.25	l l

AWARD AREA: DIESEL TRUCK AND HEAVY EQUIPMENT

		OTAL DUATES	TOTA RESPONI			NON- ONDENTS	1
	2 (10	00%)	l (50%)		l (50%)	
FULL	ATED PART N	IOT FULL P.		NO ANS WER	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINUIN EDUCATION	
							(100%)
AMER. INDIAN	<u>ASIAN</u>	RACE OR ETHN BLACK HISPAN		FOREIC	NOT <u>KNOWN</u> I (100%)		ALE 00%)
18-22	23-27	AGE DISTRIBUT 28-32 33-37	ION <u>38-42</u> <u>43-47</u>	48-52	<u>53-57</u> <u>58</u> 4	UNKNO	<u>WN</u>

AWARD AREA: DRAFTING

TOTAL GRADUATES		TOTAL RESPONDEN	NON- CONDENTS	
2 (1	00%)	l (50%)		l (50%)
RELATED FULL PART 1	LOYED GRADUATES UNRELAT NOT FULL PAR GIVEN TIME TIME	FED NO IT NOT AN E GIVEN WI	O NS MILITARY ER <u>SERVICE</u> 00%)	CONTINUING SEEKING EDUCATION WORK
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETHNIC BLACK HISPANIC		NOT <u>DREIGN KNOWN</u>	GENDER FEMALE MALE I (100%)
18-22 <u>23-27</u> I (100%)	AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38		<u>48-52</u> <u>53-57</u> <u>58</u>	<u> UNKNOWN</u>

-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS-VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE

AWARD AREA: EARLY CHILDHOOD DEVELOPMENT

	OTAL DUATES	TOTAL RESPONDI		NON- RESPONDENTS	; ;
22 (10	00%)	8 (36%)		14 (64%)	
RELATED FULL PART N	IOT FULL	LATED PART NOT	NO ANS MILITA WER SERVI		UING SEEK TON WOR
3 (50%) (50%)				2 (25%)	! (13%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	BLACK HISPA		FOREIGN KI 2	GENDE OT NOWN FEMALE 8 (100%)	
18-22 23-27	AGE DISTRIBU 28-32 33-37	and the second s	<u>48-52</u> <u>53-57</u>	<u>58+</u> <u>UNK</u>	<u>NOWN</u>
(25%)	(13%) (13%)			(13%)
VERY GOOD GO	AREA JOB AVA DOD AVERAGE		NG BY EMPLO POOR NO RE		ENTS-
1 (17%) (50	%) (17%)		(17%)		
HOURLY SALARI GENDER	ES REPORTED (LOW	ON FULL TIME, P <u>HIGH</u>	ROGRAM-REL <u>MEAN</u>	ATED EMPLOY <u>MEDIAN</u>	MENT COUNT
FEMALE MALE COMPOSITE	5 . 77 5 . 77	7.50 7.50	6.63 6.63	6.63	3

AWARD AREA: ELECTRICAL TRADES

	TAL DUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDER	NTS
10 (10	0%)	2 (20%)	8 (80%)	
RELATEDFULL PART N	OYED GRADUATESUNRELATE OT FULL PART IVEN TIME TIME			TINUING SEEKII CATION WORK
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETHNIC O	ROUP WHITE FOREIC	NOT	NDER ALE MALE
r		2 (100%)		2 (100%)
18-22 <u>23-27</u> I (50%)	AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38-	<u>42 43-47 48-52</u>	<u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u> <u>U</u>	<u>NKNOWN</u>
	. AREA JOB AVAILAB OOD AVERAGE PO		EMPLOYED RESPO NO RESPONSE	NDENTS-

HOURLY SALAR	IES REPORTED	ON FULL TI	ME, PROGRAM-RE	LATED EMPLO	YMENT
<u>GENDER</u>	LOW	<u>HIGH</u>	MEAN	MEDIAN	COUNT
FEMALE					
MALE	8 . 05	12.50	10.28	10.28	2
COMPOSITE	8.05	12.50	10.28	10.28	2

| | (50%) (50%)

AWARD AREA: ELECTRONIC COMPUTER MICROPROCESSOR

	TAL DUATES	TOTA RESPOND			ION- NDENTS	
				ा सम्बद्धाः १५५ हा १.		
10 (10	00%)	2 (20%)		8 (8	30%)	
			÷.		1	
EMPL	OYED GRADUATE	:S				
RELATED			NO	·		
FULL PART N		RT NOT				ING SEEKIN
TIME TIME C	IVEN TIME TIME	ME GIVEN	WER SE	RVICE	EDUCATION	ON WORK
1					1	
(50%)		(50%)		· ·	(50%)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	RACE OR ETHNIC	CBOLIB	•		GENDEF	. :
AMER.	RACE ON ETTINIC	<u> GROOF</u>		NOT	GENDEN	\
INDIAN ASIAN	BLACK HISPANI	C WHITE	FOREIGN	KNOWN	FEMALE	MALE
			2 4 4 5 1 1 2 1 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
in the second se		(50%)		(50%)		2 (100%)
		(30%)		(30%)		(100%)
	AGE DISTRIBUTION	* *				
<u>18-22</u> <u>23-27</u>	<u>28-32</u> <u>33-37</u> 3	<u>38-42</u> <u>43-47</u>	<u>48-52</u> <u>5</u> :	<u>3–57</u> <u>58+</u>	<u>UNKN</u>	<u>OWN</u>
	2					
	(100%)					
			•		3	* ************************************
OCCUDATIONAL	ADEA TOD AVAIL	ADILITY DAT	INIC DV EM		ECDOVIDE	VITC
	AREA JOB AVAIL OOD AVERAGE		POOR NO			V13-
VERT GOOD	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
		1				
		(50%)	(50	%)		
HOURLY SALARI	ES REPORTED ON	FULL TIME.	PROGRAM-I	RELATED E	EMPLOYM	ENT
GENDER		<u>igh</u>	MEAN	MED		COUNT
			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*	Note of	
FEMALE	12.50 12	2 50	12.50	12.5	^	1

MALE

COMPOSITE

12.50

12.50

12.50 12.50 12.50 12.50 12.50 12.50

AWARD AREA: ELECTRONICS

		TAL DUATES		TOTA RESPOND		RESI	NON- PONDENTS	
	10 (10	0%)		l (10%)			9 (90%)	
	ATED PART N	OT F	RADUATES -UNRELATE ULL PART IME TIME	***	NO ANS WER	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINUING EDUCATION	SEEKING WORK
								l (100%)
AMER. INDIAN	<u>ASIAN</u> I (100%)	RACE O	R ETHNIC C	ROUP WHITE	FOREI	NOT <u>GN KNOWN</u>	1	
18-22	23-27	AGE DIS 28-32	TRIBUTION 33-37 38- 1 (10		<u>48-52</u>	<u>53-57</u> <u>58</u>	H UNKNOW	<u>N</u>

AWARD AREA: EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAL

	TAL DUATES	TOT RESPON		RESP	NON- PONDENTS	
17 (10	0%)	l (6%)			16 (94%)	
RELATED FULL PART N	OT FULL I	TES ELATED PART NOT TIME GIVEN	NO ANS <u>WER</u>	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINU EDUCATI	IING SEEI ON WOR
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETHI BLACK HISPA		FOREI	NOT <u>GN KNOWN</u>	GENDER FEMALE (100%)	MALE
18-22 23-27 (100%) -OCCUPATIONAL VERY GOOD GO	AGE DISTRIBU 28-32 33-37 AREA JOB AVA OOD AVERAGE	38-42 43-4 AILABILITY RA	TING BY	53-57 58 EMPLOYED NO RESPON	- RESPONDE	
	(100%)					·
HOURLY SALARII GENDER	ES REPORTED O	ON FULL TIME, <u>HIGH</u>	PROGRA MEAN	AM-RELATED ME	EMPLOYN	COUNT
FEMALE MALE COMPOSITE	5.50 5.50	5.50 5.50	5.50 5.50	5.5 5.5		1

AWARD AREA: EXERCISE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS		NON- ONDENTS
8 (100%)	3 (38%)		5 62%)
	NOT ANS	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINUING SEEKINEDUCATION WORK
2 (67%) (33%)			l (33%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC	ROUP WHITE FOREIGN 2 (67%)	NOT KNOWN I (33%)	GENDER FEMALE MALE 3 (100%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38- I I		<u>53-57</u> <u>58</u> +	<u>UNKNOWN</u>
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILAB VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POO			

AWARD AREA: FINE ARTS

	OTAL ADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS	;
7	00%)	2 (29%)	5 (71%)	
RELATED FULL PART	NOT FULL PAR			UING SEEKING TION WORK
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETHNIC BLACK HISPANIC (50%)		GENDE NOT GN KNOWN FEMALE 2 (100%)	
<u>18-22</u> <u>23-27</u>		N 3-42 <u>43-47</u> <u>48-52</u> 0%) (50%)	! <u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u> <u>UNK</u>	NOWN .
			EMPLOYED RESPOND NO RESPONSE (50%)	ENTS-

AWARD AREA: FOOD SERVICE MANAGEMENT

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENT		NON- ONDENTS
18 (100%)	6 (33%)		l2 67%)
	NOT AN	IS MILITARY	CONTINUING SEEKII EDUCATION WORK
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC		NOT REIGN KNOWN	GENDER FEMALE MALE
	6 (100%)		6 (100%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37 38- 2 1 3 (33%) (17%) (50%) -OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILAB VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE PO	. <u>42 43-47 48</u> BILITY RATING	8-52 53-57 58+ BY EMPLOYED FOOR NO RESPONS	RESPONDENTS-
1 2 1 (20%) (20%) (40%) (20°	%)		
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON FU GENDER LOW HIGH	JLL TIME, PRO	GRAM-RELATED EAN ME	EMPLOYMENT DIAN <u>COUNT</u>
FEMALE 7.60 7.60 MALE COMPOSITE 7.60 7.60		60 7.60 60 7.60	

AWARD AREA: GENERAL STUDIES

TOTAI GRADUA		RE	TOTAL SPONDEN	NTS		NON- ONDENTS	· · · · · ·
88 (100%)	r Tarangan Tarangan		20 (29%)			68 (71%)	
EMPLOYRELATED FULL PART NOT TIME TIME GIVE	FULL	ATES ELATED PART NO TIME GIV	T A		NLITARY ERVICE	CONTINU EDUCATI	IING SEEKII ON WORK
5 (33%)		4 (27%)	2 (1	13%)		[] (55%)	(5%)
AMER.	ACE OR ETH			OREIGN	NOT I KNOWN	GENDER	R MALE
] (59	and Convention of Convention o	16	 		3 (15%)	16 (80%)	4 (20%)
The state of the s	GE DISTRIBU -32 33-37		43-47	<u>48-52</u>	<u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u>	UNKN	<u>10WN</u>
4 4 3 (20%) (20%) (15	5%) (10%)	2 (10%)	4 (20%)	l (5%)			
-OCCUPATIONAL AF VERY GOOD GOOD					MPLOYED F O RESPON		NTS-
2 (13%) 6 (40%)	4 (27%)	l (7%)	1 (7%)	(7	/%)		
HOURLY SALARIES	REPORTED (ON FULL ' <u>HIGH</u>		ROGRAM <u>MEAN</u>		EMPLOYA <u>DIAN</u>	MENT COUNT
FEMALE MALE	8.75	19.23		11.98	10.	90	5
COMPOSITE	8.75	19.23		11.98	10.	90	5

AWARD AREA: GERONTOLOGY

TOTA GRADUA		TOT. RESPON		NON- RESPONDENTS	3
	ED GRADUA			7 (64%)	
FULL PART NOT TIME TIME GIVE	FULL	ELATED PART NOT TIME GIVEN	NO ANS MILI WER SERV		UING SEEKIN TION WORK
l (33%)		l (33%)	(33%)	2 (50%)	l (25%)
AMER.	ACE OR ETH	INIC GROUP ANIC WHITE		GENDE NOT KNOWN FEMALE	
		4 (100%)		3 (75%)	l (25%)
	GE DISTRIBU -32 33-37	and the second s	7 <u>48-52</u> <u>53-5</u> 2 (50%)	57 <u>58+</u> <u>UNK</u>	NOWN
-OCCUPATIONAL AF VERY GOOD GOOD	REA JOB AV AVERAGE I (33%)		Y POOR NO R	RESPONSE	ENTS-
HOURLY SALARIES	REPORTED (ON FULL TIME, <u>HIGH</u>	PROGRAM-RE	LATED EMPLOY MEDIAN	MENT COUNT
FEMALE MALE COMPOSITE	6 . 00	6. 00 6. 00	6 . 00	6 . 00	1

AWARD AREA: GRAPHIC ARTS

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDE	NTS
28 (100%)	10 (36%)	18 (64%)	
EMPLOYED GRADUATESRELATEDUNRELATE FULL PART NOT FULL PART TIME TIME GIVEN TIME TIME 4 3 1 (44%) 33%) (11%)	NOT ANS		INUING SEEKIN CATION WORK
RACE OR ETHNIC G AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC	ROUP WHITE FOREIG	NOT	NDER NLE MALE
	10 (100%)	9 (90%)	1 (10%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38-4	<u>42 43-47 48-52</u>	<u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u> <u>U</u>	NKNOWN
5 2 1 1 (50%) (20%) (10%) (109)	 %) (10%)		
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILAB VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POO		EMPLOYED RESPO NO RESPONSE	NDENTS-
(11%) 3 4 (33%) (449	%) 10-2	 (11%)	
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON FU GENDER LOW HIGH		M-RELATED EMPL MEDIAN	OYMENT COUNT
FEMALE 6.50 11.00 MALE 9.50 9.50 COMPOSITE 6.50 11.00	9,50	7.21 9.50 8.36	3 1 4

AWARD AREA: HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION

TOTAL GRADUATES			TOTAL RESPONDENTS			NON- RESPONDENTS			
	3 (10	0%)		(33%)			2 (67%)	
	ATED ART N	OT FU	ADUATES -UNRELATE JLL PART ME TIME	· - ·	NO ANS WER	MILIT SERVI		CONTINU EDUCATI	
			l (100%)				l (100%)	
AMER.	<u>ASIAN</u>		R ETHNIC G HISPANIC	ROUP WHITE	<u>FOREI</u>		10T <u>NOWN</u>	GENDEI	R <u>MALE</u>
				l (100%)					(100%)
<u>18-22</u>	<u>23-27</u>	AGE DIS 28-32	TRIBUTION 33-37 38-	<u>42 43-47</u>	48-52	<u>53-5</u>	<u>7 58+</u>	UNKI	<u>10WN</u>

-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS-VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE

(100%)

(100%)

AWARD AREA: HOSPITAL PHARMACY

	TOTA GRADU		F	TOTA RESPOND		RES	NON- PONDENTS	
	9 (100%	5)		3 (33%)		:	6 (67%)	
FULL	EMPLOY -ATED PART NOT TIME GIVE	FULL FULL	RELATED PART N		NO ANS WER	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINU EDUCATI	
	2 (67%)						(33%)	
AMER. INDIAN	•	ACE OR ETI		<u>WHITE</u> 2 (67%)	FOREIG	NOT <u>GN KNOWI</u> I (33%)	GENDEI N FEMALE 2 (67%)	R <u>MALE</u> I (33%)
18-22		GE DISTRIB 8-32 33-3 I (33%	7 <u>38-42</u> 1	-	48-52	53-57 58	B+ UNKN	<u>NOWN</u>
-OCCUP VERY G		REA JOB A\ D AVERAGE 2 (67%)				EMPLOYED NO RESPO		NTS-

HOURLY SALARIES GENDER	REPORTED LOW	ON FULL TIME, P HIGH	ROGRAM-RELA <u>MEAN</u>	TED EMPLOY! MEDIAN	MENT COUNT
FEMALE MALE	7,32	7,32	7,32	7.32	1
COMPOSITE	7.32	7.32	7,32	7.32	1

AWARD AREA: HOTEL MOTEL MANAGEMENT

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS	
12 (100%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
EMPLOYED GRADUATESRELATEDUNRELA FULL PART NOT FULL PAR TIME TIME GIVEN TIME TIME	TED NO RT NOT ANS	MILITARY CONTINUI SERVICE EDUCATION	NG SEEKING DN WORK
2 (100%)			(33%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIO		GENDER NOT <u>GN KNOWN FEMALE</u> 3 (100%)	 MALE
2		<u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u> <u>UNKN</u> (<u>OWN</u>
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILAVERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE F		EMPLOYED RESPONDEN NO RESPONSE	NTS-

HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON FULL TIME, PROGRAM-RELATED EMPLOYMENT GENDER LOW HIGH MEAN MEDIAN COUNT

FEMALE 6.60 6.92 6.76 6.76 2

MALE

6.76

6.76

2

6.92

2 (100%)

6.60

COMPOSITE

AWARD AREA: INDUSTRIAL SUPERVISION AND MANAGEMENT

	TOTAL GRADUATES			L ENTS		NON- ONDENTS
	2 (100%)		l (50%)		. (50%)
					ILITARY RVICE	CONTINUING SEEKING EDUCATION WORK
		l (100%)				l (100%)
AMER.		ACK HISPAN		FOREIGN	NOT KNOWN	GENDER FEMALE MALE
4.			 (100%)			! (100%)
18-22 2		E DISTRIBUT 32 33-37	ION <u>38-42</u> <u>43-47</u>	<u>48-52</u> <u>5</u>	53-57 <u>58+</u>	<u>UNKNOWN</u>
	(10	0%)				

-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS-VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE

(100%)

AWARD AREA: INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS	
19 (100%)	5 (26%)	14 (74%)	
EMPLOYED GRADUATESRELATED	ED NO		
FULL PART NOT FULL PART	· ·		UING SEEKING ION WORK
5 (100%)		(20%)	
RACE OR ETHNIC G	ROUP	GENDE NOT	R
INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC	WHITE FORE		MALE
	3 (60%)	2 (40%) (20%)	4 (80%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38-		2 <u>53-57 58+</u> <u>UNK</u>	<u>NOWN</u>
2 2 1 (40%) (40%) (20	%)		
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILAB VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE PO		Y EMPLOYED RESPONDE R NO RESPONSE	ENTS-
l l 2 (20%) (20%) (20%) (40%))))		
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON FU GENDER LOW HIGH			MENT COUNT
FEMALE 15.50 15.50 MALE 12.98 16.01 COMPOSITE 12.98 16.01	1 14.85	5 15.20	1 4 5

AWARD AREA: LANDSCAPE TECHNOLOGY

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS	:
13 (100%)	3 (23%)	10 (77%)	
EMPLOYED GRADUATESRELATEDUNRELATE FULL PART NOT FULL PART TIME TIME GIVEN TIME TIME	NOT ANS	MILITARY CONTINUING SERVICE EDUCATION	SEEKING WORK
(50%) (50%) RACE OR ETHNIC OF AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC	ROUP WHITE FOREIG 3 (100%)	2 1	<u>-</u> <u>ALE</u> (%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38-			N
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILAB VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE PO		EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS NO RESPONSE	;-
(50%)	%)		

AWARD AREA: LAW ENFORCEMENT

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS		NON- ONDENTS
19 (100%)	3 (16%)		l 6 (84%)
	TED NO T NOT ANS	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINUING SEEKI EDUCATION WORK
TIME TIME GIVEN TIME TIME 2 (67%)	E <u>GIVEN</u> <u>WER</u> (33%)	SERVICE	 (33%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC		NOT IGN KNOWN	GENDER FEMALE MALE
	2 (67%)	l (33%)	3 (100%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-22 23-27 28-32 33-37 38	N 3-42 <u>43-47 48-5</u> 2	<u>2 53-57 58+</u>	UNKNOWN
2 (67%)			l (33%)
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILAI VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE PO		Y EMPLOYED F NO RESPON	
[(33%) 2 (67%)			
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON FUNCTION HIGH			EMPLOYMENT DIAN COUNT
FEMALE 7.69 12.5 COMPOSITE 7.69 12.5			

AWARD AREA: LEGAL SECRETARY

TOTAI GRADUA		TOT RESPON			YON- ONDENTS	
14 (100%)) · .	5 (36%	5)	; () 64%)	
EMPLOY	ED GRADUA	ATES ELATED	NO			
FULL PART NOT TIME TIME GIVE	FULL	PART NOT TIME GIVEN	ANS N	MILITARY ERVICE	CONTINUIN EDUCATION	
4 (100%)					l (20%)	
AMER.	ACE OR ETH	NIC GROUP		NOT	GENDER	
	ACK HISPA		FOREIGN		FEMALE M	<u>IALE</u>
		4 (80%)		(20%)	5 (100%)	
	E DISTRIBU -32 33-37	4.5	<u>7 48-52</u>	<u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u>	<u>UNKNO</u>	<u>wn</u>
1 2 2 (20%) (40%) (40)%)					
-OCCUPATIONAL AF VERY GOOD GOOD			TING BY EARY POOR N			ΓS-
3 (75%)	l (25%)					
HOURLY SALARIES I	REPORTED (ON FULL TIME, HIGH	PROGRAM <u>MEAN</u>			NT OUNT
FEMALE MALE	7.50	10.50	8.98	8.95	3	** .
COMPOSITE	7.50	10,50	8.98	8.95	3	

AWARD AREA: LIBERAL ARTS

TOTAL	TOTAL	NON-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
GRADUATES	RESPONDENTS	RESPONDENTS	
397	116	281	
(100%)	(29%)	(71%)	
FULL PART NOT FULL F	TES LATED NO PART NOT ANS FIME GIVEN WER	MILITARY CONTIN	UING SEEKIN ION WORK
	8 2	83	8
	25%) (3%) (8%)	(72%)	(7%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPA		GENDE NOT <u>GN KNOWN FEMALE</u>	
5 I	87	22 78	38
(4%) (1%)	(75%) (1%)	(19%) (67%)	(33%)
AGE DISTRIBUT 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37	TION 38-42 43-47 48-52	<u>53-57 58+ UNK</u>	<u>Nown</u>
30 23 12 21	16 12 1	1	
(26%) (20%) (10%) (18%)	(14%) (10%) (1%)	(1%)	
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVA VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE		EMPLOYED RESPOND NO RESPONSE	ENTS-
13 14 23	5 2	16	
(18%) (19%) (32%)	(7%) (3%)	(22%)	
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED OF GENDER LOW	N FULL TIME, PROGRA HIGH MEAN	AM-RELATED EMPLOY MEDIAN	MENT COUNT
FEMALE 4.35 MALE 7.25 COMPOSITE 4.35	24.03 9.11	7.57	8
	10.00 8.01	7.39	4
	24.03 8.74	7.39	12

AWARD AREA: LIBERAL TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS
2 (100%)	(50%)	l (50%)
EMPLOYED GRADUATESRELATEDUNRELATE FULL PART NOT FULL PART TIME TIME GIVEN TIME TIME [(100%)		MILITARY CONTINUING SEE SERVICE EDUCATION WOI
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC	ROUP WHITE FOREI (100%)	GENDER NOT GN KNOWN FEMALE MALE I (100%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38-	<u>42 43-47 48-52</u> 0%)	<u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u> <u>UNKNOWN</u>
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILAB VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POO (100		EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS- NO RESPONSE

AWARD AREA: MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT

	TOTAL GRADUATES			RE	TOTA SPOND		NON- RESPONDENTS			
	26 (10	0%)			10 (38%)			16 (62%)		
FULL I	ATED PART N	OT F	-UNREL		T	NO ANS WER	MILITAR SERVICE		ITINUING CATION	SEEKING WORK
5 (63%)		3 (3	7%)					3 (30%)	
AMER. INDIAN	<u>ASIAN</u> I (10%)	RACE O		9 <u>11C</u> M	/HITE	FOREIC	NOT GN <u>KNO</u>	1 17	4	
18-22	<u>23-27</u>	AGE DIS 28-32	TRIBUT 33-37	ION 38-42	43-47	48-52	<u>53-57</u>	<u>58+ </u>	JNKNOW	<u>N</u>
2 (20%)	2 (20%)	 (10%)	2 (20%)	2 (20%)	! (10%)					
-OCCUP VERY GO		OOD <u>AVE</u> 3	<u>RAGE</u>	LABILIT <u>POOR</u>			EMPLOYE NO RESP		ONDENTS	

HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON FULL TIME, PROGRAM-RELATED EMPLOYMENT							
GENDER	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	MEDIAN	COUNT		
NAME OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS				1000			
FEMALE	8.65	8.75	8.70	8.70	2		
MALE	7.69	11.06	9.38	9.38	2		
COMPOSITE	7 . 69	11.06	9.04	8.70	4		

AWARD AREA: MECHANICAL DESIGN

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS		NON- RESPONDENTS	
7 (100%)	3 (43%)	4	57%)	
EMPLOYED GRADUATESRELATEDUNRELATED FULL PART NOT FULL PARTIME TIME GIVEN TIME TIME 3	TED NO RT NOT ANS		CONTINUING SEEKI EDUCATION WORK	
(100%) RACE OR ETHNIC AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC		NOT	GENDER FEMALE MALE	
	2 (67%)	l	3 (100%)	
AGE DISTRIBUTION 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37 31 I	38 <u>-42</u> <u>43-47</u> <u>48-</u>	<u>52</u> <u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u>	<u>UNKNOWN</u> (33%)	
		BY EMPLOYED R DR NO RESPONS		
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON FIGENDER LOW HIG	FULL TIME, PROG GH ME		EMPLOYMENT DIAN COUNT	
	9.10 9.10 9.11			

AWARD AREA: MECHANICAL PRODUCTION

	TAL DUATES	TOTA RESPOND			NON- ONDENTS	
2 (10	0%)	l (50%)			l (50%)	
RELATED FULL PART N	OT FULL PART	ED NOT GIVEN	NO ANS WER	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINUING EDUCATION I (100%)	SEEKING WORK
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETHNIC (BLACK HISPANIC I (100%)	<u>WHITE</u>	FOREIG	NOT <u>GN KNOWN</u>	GENDER FEMALE MA I (10)	<u>LE</u>
18-22 23-27 I (100%)	AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38-		48-52	<u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u>	UNKNOW	<u>N</u>
	AREA JOB AVAILAE DOD AVERAGE PO I (100%)			EMPLOYED F NO RESPON		
HOURLY SALARI GENDER	ES REPORTED ON FU LOW HIGH		PROGRA MEAN			T UNT

10.00

10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00

FEMALE MALE

COMPOSITE

AWARD AREA: MEDICAL ASSISTING

	TOTAL GRADUATES		TOTAL RESPONDENTS		NON- RESPONDENTS			
	10 (10	0%)		2 (20%)			8 (80%)	
FULL F	ATED PART N	OT FU	ADUATES -UNRELATE JLL PART ME TIME	T	NO ANS WER	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINUII EDUCATIO I (50%)	NG SEEKING NN WORK
AMER. INDIAN	ASIAN		R ETHNIC G HISPANIC	<u>WHITE</u> 2 (100%)	FOREIG	NOT GN KNOWN	GENDER FEMALE 2 (100%)	
18-22 I (50%)	23-27 I (50%)	AGE DIS 28-32	TRIBUTION 33-37 38-4	<u>42 43-47</u>	48-52	<u>53-57</u> <u>58</u> 4	- <u>UNKN</u> (DWN
-OCCUPA VERY GO	OOD GO 2		OB AVAILAB RAGE POO			EMPLOYED I NO RESPON		ITS-

HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON FULL TIME, PROGRAM-RELATED EMPLOYMENT							
GENDER	LOW	HIGH	MEAN	MEDIAN	COUNT		
FEMALE	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	2		
MALE		4.4.79					
COMPOSITE	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	2		
FEMALE MALE	7.00	·	7.00	7.00	2 2		

AWARD AREA: MEDICAL LABORATORY TECHNOLOGY

	TAL DUATES	TOTA RESPON			YON- ONDENTS	
31 (10	0%)	9 (29%).		22 (71%)	
FULL PART N	OT FULL	TES ELATED PART NOT TIME GIVEN		ILITARY ERVICE	CONTINU EDUCATI	ING SEEKIN ON WORK
6 (86%)		1 (14%)	·		(11%)	(11%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	BLACK HISPA	-	FOREIGN	NOT KNOWN	GENDEF	
	(11%)	6 (67%)		2 (22%)	8 (89%)	I (11%)
<u>18-22</u> <u>23-27</u>	AGE DISTRIBU 28-32 33-37		<u> 48-52</u>	53-57 <u>58</u> +	<u>UNKN</u>	<u>IOWN</u>
1 4 (11%) (44%)	2 (22%)) (11%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 (11%)		
-OCCUPATIONAL VERY GOOD GO						NTS-
	3 (43%)	3 I (43%) (14%)			· .
HOURLY SALARI GENDER	ES REPORTED (ON FULL TIME, <u>HIGH</u>	PROGRAM- MEAN		EMPLOYM <u>DIAN</u>	ENT COUNT
FEMALE MALE COMPOSITE	7.00 9.00 7.00	8.32 9.00 9.00	7.83 9.00 8.02	8.00 9.00 8.1)	5

NON-

OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE 1985 - 1986 GRADUATE FOLLOW UP REPORT

AWARD AREA: MEDICAL RECORDS TRANSCRIPTIONIST

TOTAL

GRA	DUATES	RESPONDEN'	TS RESI	PONDENTS
9 (1	00%)	5 (56%)		4 (44%)
RELATED FULL PART N	NOT FULL PAR	ED NO T NOT AN GIVEN WE	IS MILITARY	CONTINUING SEEKING EDUCATION WORK
4 (100%)				l (20%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETHNIC		NOT REIGN KNOWN	GENDER N FEMALE MALE
l (20%)	l (20%)	3 (60%)		5 (100%)
<u>18-22</u> <u>23-27</u>	AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38 (20%) (40%) (40%)	<u>-42</u> <u>43-47</u> <u>4</u>	<u>8-52 53-57 58</u>	H UNKNOWN
OCCUDATIONA			PONTANTIANTO	DECDONDENTE

-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS-VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE

2 (50%) (50%)

TOTAL

HOURLY SALARIE	ES REPORTE	D ON FULL TIM	NE, PROGRAM-RI	ELATED EMPLO'	YMENT
GENDER	<u>LOW</u>	<u>HIGH</u>	MEAN	MEDIAN	COUNT
			The No. of the Control of the Contro	1. 1	
FEMALE	5 . 50	8.25	7.44	8.00	4
MALE				• •	
COMPOSITE	5.50	8.25	8.44	8.00	4

AWARD AREA: MEDICAL SECRETARIAL

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDEN	NTS RE	NON- SPONDENTS	
5 (100%)	3 (60%)		2 (40%)	
EMPLOYED GRADUATESRELATEDUNRELATE FULL PART NOT FULL PART TIME TIME GIVEN TIME TIME I I (33%) (33%) RACE OR ETHNIC G AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC	NOT AI WELL STATE	NS MILITAR' ER SERVICE 3%) NOT	EDUCATION (33%)GENDER WN FEMALE	}
	3 (100%)		3 (100%)	
AGE DISTRIBUTION 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37 38- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<u>42 43-47 4</u> %) BILITY RATING	G BY EMPLOYE		
VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR (33%) (33%)	OK VERTP	POOR NO RESPO I (33%)	<u>UNSE</u>	
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON FU GENDER LOW HIGH			ED EMPLOYM MEDIAN	ENT COUNT
FEMALE 8.00 8.00 MALE	8	3,00	B . 00	1
COMPOSITE 8.00 8.00	8	3.00	8.00	1

AWARD AREA: MENTAL HEALTH/SOCIAL WORKER

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDE		NON- ESPONDENTS	
72 (100%)	27 (37%)		45 (63%)	
FULL PART NOT FULL ITIME TIME GIVEN TIME TIME 1	LATED PART NOT TIME GIVEN 2 I (44%) (6%)	NO ANS MILITAR WER SERVICE NOT FOREIGN KNOW 7 (26%)	EDUCATION 18 (67%) GENDER WN FEMALE MA 27	<u>WORK</u> 2 (7%)
AGE DISTRIBU 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37	TION <u>38-42</u> <u>43-47</u>	<u>48-52</u> <u>53-57</u>	58+ UNKNOW	<u>/N</u>
3 5 1 6 (11%) (19%) (4%) (22%)	3 4 (11%) (15%)	3 (11%)	2 (7%)	
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVA VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE		NG BY EMPLOYE POOR NO RESP		5-
3 2 6 (17%) (11%) (33%)	5 (28%)	2 (11%)		· .
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED CONTROL LOW	N FULL TIME, P <u>HIGH</u>			IT DUNT
FEMALE 5.30 MALE COMPOSITE 5.30	10.68		7.69 7 7.69 7	

AWARD AREA: NURSING (REGISTERED)

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTA RESPOND		NON- RESPONDENTS	i
101 (100%)	35 (35%)		66 (65%)	·
FULL PART NOT FULL I	TES LATED PART NOT TIME GIVEN		TARY CONTIN VICE EDUCAT 4 (65%)	UING SEEKII ION <u>WORK</u>
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPA	NIC WHITE	FOREIGN	GENDE NOT KNOWN FEMALE	MALE
	28 (80%)		7 33 (20%) (94%)	2 (6%)
AGE DISTRIBU 18-22 23-27 28-32 33-37		<u>48-52</u> <u>53-</u>	57 <u>58+</u> <u>UNK</u>	<u>NOWN</u>
1 5 9 7 (3%) (14%) (26%) (20%)	8 4 (23%) (11%)	(3%)		
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVA VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE		ING BY EMPL ' POOR NO F		ENTS-
26 5 1 (79%) (15%) (3%)		l (3%)		
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED COMMENTS LOW	N FULL TIME, P HIGH	ROGRAM-RI <u>MEAN</u>	ELATED EMPLOY <u>MEDIAN</u>	MENT COUNT
FEMALE 10.50 MALE 11.70 COMPOSITE 10.50	12.75 11.70 12.75	11.48 11.70 11.49	1.46 1.70 1.47	21 1 22

AWARD AREA: PRACTICAL NURSE EDUCATION

TOTAL GRADUA		TOTA RESPONI		NON- RESPONDENTS	
38 (100%)		5 (13%)		33 (87%)	
EMPLOYI RELATED FULL PART NOT TIME TIME GIVEN 2 2 (40%) (40%)	FULL	TES ELATED PART NOT TIME GIVEN	NO ANS MILIT WER SERV		JING SEEKI <u>ON</u> WORK
AMER.	ACK HISPA			GENDE NOT NOWN FEMALE 5 (100%)	R <u>MALE</u>
18-22 23-27 AC 28- 2 (40	2	The state of the s	48-52 <u>53-5</u> I (20%		<u>IOWN</u>
-OCCUPATIONAL AR VERY GOOD GOOD	EA JOB AVA AVERAGE		TING BY EMPL Y POOR NO R		NTS-
2 (40%) (20%)	l (20%)		l (20%)		
HOURLY SALARIES F	REPORTED (LOW	ON FULL TIME, HIGH	PROGRAM-RE <u>MEAN</u>	LATED EMPLOYM MEDIAN	MENT COUNT
FEMALE MALE COMPOSITE	7.50 7.50	8.70 8.70	8.10 8.10	8.10 8.10	2 2

AWARD AREA: PRE-ENGINEERING

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS	
	3 (28%)	83 (72%)	
EMPLOYED GRADUATESRELATEDUNRELATE FULL PART NOT FULL PART TIME TIME GIVEN TIME TIME		MILITARY CONTINUIN SERVICE EDUCATION	
(33%) (33%)	(33%)	3 (100%)	
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC	ROUP WHITE FOREIG	GENDER NOT <u>GN KNOWN FEMALE</u> <u>M</u>	ALE
	3 (100%)	(33%) (6	7%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38-	<u>42 43-47 48-52</u>	53-57 58+ UNKNO	<u>WN</u>
l 2 (33%) (67%)			
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILAB VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POO		EMPLOYED RESPONDENT NO RESPONSE	S -
2 (67%)		l (33%)	

AWARD AREA: PUBLICATION PRODUCTION

	TAL DUATES	TOTA RESPOND			NON- ONDENTS	
3 (10	0%)	l (33%))		2 (67%)	
RELATED FULL PART N		NOT	NO ANS <u>WER</u> I (100%)	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINUING EDUCATION	SEEKING WORK
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN	RACE OR ETHNIC O	GROUP WHITE	FOREIG	NOT <u>KNOWN</u> I (100%)	1	
18-22 <u>23-27</u> I (100%)	AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38-		48-52	<u>53-57</u> <u>584</u>	UNKNOW	<u>N</u>

-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS-VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE

(100%)

AWARD AREA: REAL ESTATE SCIENCE

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS
l (100%)	l (100%)	0 (0%)
EMPLOYED GRADUATESRELATEDUNRELATE FULL PART NOT FULL PARTIME TIME GIVEN TIME TIME	TED NO RT NOT ANS	MILITARY CONTINUING SEE SERVICE EDUCATION WO
(100%)		
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPANIC		GENDER NOT IGN KNOWN FEMALE MALE
	(100%)	(100%)
AGE DISTRIBUTION 28-32 33-37 38 (100%)	N <u>8-42 43-47 48-5</u> 2	2 <u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u> <u>UNKNOWN</u>
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILA VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE PO		'EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS- NO RESPONSE

(100%)

AWARD AREA: RESPIRATORY THERAPY

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS	
13 (100%)	6 (46%)	7 (54%)	
		MILITARY CONTINU SERVICE EDUCAT	JING SEEKING ION WORK
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISPAN		GENDE NOT IGN KNOWN FEMALE	R <u>MALE</u>
	4 (67%)	2 4 (33%) (67%)	2 (33%)
AGE DISTRIBUTI 28-32 33-37 2 (33%) (17%)	ION <u>38-42</u> <u>43-47</u> <u>48-52</u> I I I (17%) (17%) (17%		<u>NOWN</u>
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAIL VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE		EMPLOYED RESPONDE NO RESPONSE	ENTS-
5 (83%)		l (17%)	
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED ON GENDER LOW	N FULL TIME, PROGR HIGH MEA		MENT COUNT
	9.66 9.66 0.00 9.95 0.00 9.85	9.66 9.95 9.90	1 2 3

AWARD AREA: ROBOTICS

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDENTS	NON- RESPONDENTS
37 (100%)	9 (24%)	28 (76%)
EMPLOYED GRADURELATED FULL PART NOT FULL TIME TIME GIVEN TIME	RELATED NO	MILITARY CONTINUING SEEKIN SERVICE EDUCATION WORK
3 5 (38%) (56%)	(11%)	3 (33%)
AMER.	HNIC GROUP PANIC WHITE FOREIC 8	9
AGE DISTRIE	(89%)	(11%) (100%)
18-22 23-27 28-32 33-		<u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u> <u>UNKNOWN</u>
3 2 1 (33%) (11%) (22%) (119		
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB A VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE		EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS- NO RESPONSE
(11%) 2 3 (22%) (33%)	l 2 (11%) (22%)	
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED LOW	O ON FULL TIME, PROGRA HIGH MEAN	
FEMALE MALE COMPOSITE 10.00 10.00	12.00 11.24 12.00 11.24	11.71 3 11.71 3

AWARD AREA: SCIENCE

TOTAL GRADUATES	TOTAL RESPONDEN		NON- ONDENTS
57 (100%)	20 (35%)		37 (65%)
EMPLOYED GRADURELATEDUNF FULL PART NOT FULL TIME TIME GIVEN TIME	RELATED N PART NOT A	O NS MILITARY ER SERVICE	CONTINUING SEEKIN EDUCATION WORK
2 4 (14%) (7%) (29%)	3 2 2 (21%) (14%) (1	4%)	17 (85%) 4 (20%)
AMER. INDIAN ASIAN BLACK HISE		NOT OREIGN KNOWN	GENDER FEMALE MALE
	12 I (60%) (5	%) 7 (35%)	7 13 (35%) (65%)
AGE DISTRIB 28-32 33-3 6 6 5 1 (30%) (30%) (25%) (5%)	7 <u>38-42</u> <u>43-47</u> <u>4</u>	<u>48-52</u> <u>53-57</u> <u>58+</u>	<u>UNKNOWN</u> I (5%)
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVERAGE		G BY EMPLOYED F OOR NO RESPONS	
(7%) 2 3 (14%) (21%)	3 (21%)	5 (36%)	
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED GENDER LOW			EMPLOYMENT DIAN COUNT
FEMALE 14.42 MALE 7.00 COMPOSITE 7.00	7.00	14.42 14.4 7.00 7.1 10.71 10.7	00 I 🕟

AWARD AREA: VEHICLE BODY

	TOTAL GRADUATE	S	TOTA RESPOND		RESF	NON- PONDENTS	
٠.	3 (100%)		2 (67%)		:	(33%)	
RELAT FULL PAI TIME TIM 2 (100%)	ED RT NOT		D NOT <u>GIVEN</u>	NO ANS WER	MILITARY SERVICE	CONTINUIN EDUCATION	
AMER. INDIAN AS	RACE	OR ETHNIC G	WHITE	FOREIG	GN KNOWN	GENDER I <u>FEMALE</u> M	<u>IALE</u>
			2 (100%)			2 (1	00%)
<u>18-22</u> <u>23</u>	AGE I 1-27 28-32	DISTRIBUTION <u>33-37</u> 38-	<u>42 43-47</u>	<u>48-52</u>	<u>53-57</u> <u>58</u>		<u>WN</u>
						2 (100%)	
-OCCUPAT		JOB AVAILAB			EMPLOYED		S-

-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOB AVAILABILITY RATING BY EMPLOYED RESPONDENTS-VERY GOOD GOOD AVERAGE POOR VERY POOR NO RESPONSE

> 2 (100%)

HOURLY SALA	RIES REPORTED	ON FULL 1	TIME, PROGRAM-RE	LATED EMPLO	YMENT
<u>GENDER</u>	LOW	<u>HIGH</u>	MEAN	MEDIAN	COUNT
FEMALE					
MALE COMPOSITE	15.00 15.00	15.23 15.23	15.12 15.12	15.12 15.12	2 2

AWARD AREA: WORD PROCESSING & TEXT EDITING

TOTAL GRADUATES	TO1 RESPON		NON- RESPONDENTS	
52 (100%)	14 (279	6)	38 (73%)	
FULL PART NOT F	RADUATES -UNRELATED ULL PART NOT IME TIME GIVEN	NO ANS MILITA WER SERVICE		EEKI ORK
10 2 (71%) (14%)	2 (14%)		7 (50%)	
AMER.	R ETHNIC GROUP HISPANIC WHITE 14 (100%)		GENDER OT OWN FEMALE MALE 14 (100%)	
AGE DIS 18-22 23-27 28-32	TRIBUTION 33-37 38-42 43-4	7 <u>48-52</u> <u>53-57</u>	58+ UNKNOWN	
5 5 (36%) (36%)	2 (14%) (7%)) (7%)		
-OCCUPATIONAL AREA JOVERY GOOD GOOD AVE		ATING BY EMPLO'RY POOR NO RES		
5 5 1 (36%) (36%) (7%)	 (7%) (7%)	(7%)		
HOURLY SALARIES REPORTED LOW		, PROGRAM-RELA <u>MEAN</u>	ATED EMPLOYMENT MEDIAN COUN	IT
FEMALE 7.50 MALE	9.89	8.40	8.41 8	
COMPOSITE 7.50	9.89	8.40	8.41 8	

The last statement on the survey was: We would appreciate any comments regarding how we could improve the course(s) you have completed and/or services we have provided.

A table tallying the comments by categories follows:

1985-1986 GRADUATE FOLLOW UP COMMENT TALLY

	AREA OF COMMENT	FAVORABLE	NEUTRAL	UNFAVORABLE
ı.	Courses	16	2	20
2.	Programs	11	1	26
3.	Faculty in General	15	5	13
4.	Counseling/Advisement	2	1	29
5.	OCC in General	29	2	1
6.	Additional Courses/Internships Needed/Required	18	·	1
7.	Faculty-Full Time	9	1	3
8.	Faculty-Part Time			13
9.	Grading/Testing	Į.		11
10.	Services	1		10
11.	Classroom Equipment/Facilities			.1 1
12.	Lack of Faculty Evaluation			9
13.	Staff	5		4
14.	Job Placement	1		5
15.	Miscellaneous	e.		5
16.	Transfer	3		2
17.	Class Size	I		2
18.	Registration			1 - 2
19.	Scheduling	1		

The last statement on the survey was: We would appreciate any comments regarding how we could improve the course(s) you have completed and/or services we have provided. Their comments were as follow:

The classes were good. I can't think of how it was, it has been two years.

I would like to see course content taught by instructors who more consistently follow college catalog course descriptions rather than their personal interests.

I feel that all students should be required to take an area studies course in a non-western culture before he or she can graduate from OCC.

I feel some of the administrators at OCC could have been more helpful with student problems. I also feel an evaluation needs to be done on the teachers and should be given to the department heads to read not just the teachers themselves. OCC is a good college but the "old" needs to be weeded out!

Screen part-time instructors more carefully.

I feel I was totally prepared to be a Dental Hygienist – while in the program I did question one teachers professionalism in the classroom and in the clinic.

Job placement needs to be improved. Software in microcomputer lab need to be updated to current versions. Why did OIS get software applications classes. Fold survey so it will fit in the envelope.

All of my teachers, except 2 were very enthusiastic and interested in their teaching. I would like to see a regular evaluation of teachers and their performances. OCC is an excellent college but spoiled by the quality of a handful of very poor quality teachers. Dr. offered excellent course advice.

Counselors could be more informed. I had some problems in the _____ Program with bad advice.

I think some of the courses I took could have been taught in a manner that would help in a job situation. Most classes are so much text book that you're not really prepared when you get out into the real world.

There were a few classes that were not geared towards academic excellence. I did my best to avoid these classes, but could not always do so. Maybe some uniform standards should be set. On the other hand, some classes were every bit as tough as they are at the university level. I find that for myself, the more challenged I am, the better I perform.

More hands-on laboratory work for engineering courses.

Business Adm./Assoc. degree useless. Students attending for only two years (total) should be encouraged to specialize in a more narrow field – i.e. Accounting and then if they go on – fine – if not they will be more marketable.

Minimize the use of non-staff instructors for night classes. The experience I had with them was poor. Full time staff were always better.

I started in the EXL program when it was brand new - when the girl at the computer wouldn't even recognize there was such a program. It has proved invaluable to me - it has opened many new doors. I am only limited now by my time - I'm very glad I made that 1st step. Thanks.

I have felt that some evening instructors that are part time, are not as enthused about teaching as other instructors.

I enjoyed OCC especially like the instructor _____ campus.

Keep high-quality instructors. Survey students completing a class by mail (confidentially) when performance of instructors are fresh in mind. I made it through (am still attending) because I had great teachers. At the same time, I have recommended to other students not to take certain instructors. I realize this could be very subjective depending on how well student performed.

Loss of study room several years ago in computer science area was a big educational loss to students.

A few bad teachers make all the difference. All in all very good university and loved going there. I don't regret anything.

Co-op placement would be great!

I feel very prepared in my field. I only need the opportunity.

My main comment is on my counselor, the first one I had was no help, but I found my second counselor, ____, was excellent. I have referred him to many people.

For the most part, I am pleased with the education I received. No ideas come to me on how to improve the process. Just stay current. Find out what the real world wants in a graduate.

The tax class should be divided so that Acc/Business majors have a chance to learn more about taxes. A lower level tax class should be offered to other students who want to learn how to do their own returns. I also had little training on the affects to an individual account by a transaction. This lead to a large void when I started my first accounting class at _____. One that left me handicapped for six weeks. It seems that I was to have learned this already. I was a straight A student. I would be willing to work with the accounting staff to aid future students with a transfer to ____.

Your job placement could be improved. Instead of books, I feel a person would be more helpful!

The courses are good and the teachers are satisfactory. The counseling and how one is properly prepared to go on in a four year college is totally inadequate. I would recommend to anyone going for a four year degree that they not even bother with O.C.C.

It would help very much if course counselors know or were willing to find out exactly what the four year college a student plans to attend expects or will accept in transferance towards their major. I enjoyed my years at OCC but it would have been better to have gone straight to __ after my attendance in Virginia instead of working toward and receiving my associates like I was informed and did. Good luck with this survey!

The only difficulty that I saw in the Nursing Program, was the need for a more individualized program, or at least individualized counseling during the program. There is a great amount of unnecessary pressure in the program.

I felt grading at OCC was very fair since all my classes had the same set standard. However, it did not prepare me for university "curves" and their variations! Also at OCC most teachers gave several tests per semester and no two tests with a final or a mid-term and a final and two final exam. At the guizzes seems to be the norm -- much more difficult and nerve wracking! Although I dislike them, I think cumulative finals should be given more often for those transferring. I feel OCC counselors should encourage students strongly to see the counselors from the college(s) they plan to continue their education with so transfers are easy -- as it was for me. This is not difficult since so many colleges visit OCC. I also feel OCC would have an edge if computers were available to use in non-computer classes (maybe this has already taken place). Possibly a project could be required, even if small, in accounting, economics, or other math oriented classes. In general, I feel the teachers are more interested in their students at OCC than at ____ of ___, and work harder to make the material interesting. Possibly this is the difference in students and the high drop out rate OCC has. In the business classes at _____, very very few drop. I found and look back at my OCC education as being very enjoyable and beneficial.

My time at OCC was very enjoyable and beneficial. My only problem - 1. Not enough evening lab assistance, 2. Lab time crowded between 6-10 p.m. ****
Some of the teachers are not qualified to do their job, but other are very qualified. If you would like a list of teachers which are good and bad please feel free to contact me.

Improve the number of books and refund amounts at end of semester book return week. I learned a lot at your school but didn't come close to preparing me for at

OCC does a very good job preparing people for college. I think more people should attend OCC before a four year university. P.S. Why do you still have Prof ****
Counseling was excellent. Far superior to College has a much more personalized staff. I enjoyed attending school at this college the most.
Some of the instructors shouldn't be teaching. They aren't qualified and the students are not receiving a quality education. I'm specifically thinking of teachers in thedept.
I would definitely recommend for all students to go to a 2 year college first. I would be lost but OCC helped me to decide to transfer to and be a teacher! ****
It is shame that OCC doesn't offer "bachelor degree" at least in management. Plus few other areas. Then the courses would be for long term benefit and more transferrable for further education.
I should have seeked counselor advisement as I will need strong math to fulfill my marketing program at More Saturday classes offered to working students. ****
I think OCC is an excellent school. Schools that train people for skills in the business world rather than just for acquiring a four year degree – should be commended.
The quality of instruction for the courses were very poor. Prof didn't know his/her from a hole in the ground. He/She is a severe No O.J.T., no co-op offered, no practical experience.
I believe all the coures I took, while attending OCC, were all very challenging and
help ful for myself in another professional field.

The courses I took at OCC were a good stepping stone along my educational pathway -- also, many adults find continuing education at this institution.

I had a personal problem in the counseling dept.; one counselor's "advice" was different than anothers. As a result, I took more courses and had more credits than I needed for my degree.

I attended evening classes and a lot of the part time instructors are "pot-luck", and don't seem to be regulated in their instruction. There should be more accelerated classes in some easier subjects that could be completed on 2-3 weekends or five weeks year round.

I would like to see a better communication system between the counselors and the departments.

I found that there were many aspects of my job that were never covered in class. My classes seemed to deal more with books and not the situation as it really exists.

It would be nice to see some trig and/or calc basics science classes, plus a greater availability of calc classes.

More individual help and better counseling could be given to students who are mentally or physically challenged. I found some counselors who didn't understand my learning problem and it was hard to get individual help when needed.

I suggest that all professors be required to have an evaluation at the end of class to be kept as a permanent part of their record. Some profs are dismissing or missing several classes and administration is not aware of what is happening. An evaluation could be an excellent tool in many areas.

The instructors should be given more time to correct the final exam so that they could give a more in-depth test.

Evening classes should be twice a week instead of weekly. Three credit should be 1-1/2 hours, twice a week, and four credits should be 2 hr twice a week. Material is easier to absorb in smaller amounts. Also, having tutors for classes with high drop out.

Part-time teachers for night courses needs improving.

My experience at OCC was very positive. I can offer no suggestions for

improvement. ****	
Either reduce the number of students in the labs or Most of the books in the are outdated and cannot the Sciences or Allied Health Fields.	
While many of the instructors and support staff were (obenefitting the students, there are a handful who have students and are obviously there just for the money. ****	
More individual learning opportunities ****	
Possibly supply some sort of long-term career planning twould include information about equivalent, transferation year universities. ****	
It seemed at times that Dr in the program was grading & teaching methods. Sometimes the focus of stay above water & not to learn as much as possible possibly discriminated upon.	our attention was how to
Mrat _ is a great asset as a tutor for learning disab	ility.
Theprogram is a waste of time. The only jo offered me \$3.50 @ hr. (a year ago). The only thing in y Aids which don't need a degree.	
Completion of associate degree programs require to outside major field of study (i.e. Phys. Ed – Science).	oo many credits in areas
The poor ratings in Section - , I feel was due to the instructors in the area of (ETT courses A+). ****	unavailability of qualified
I am transferring to _University as I foundUniversity as I found	
Would welcome any advertisements or literature programming or systems classes. Would like to learn m computer systems. Training I did receive at OCC was ex	ore about fundamentals of

The counseling could be improved. More towards showing the student which

classes transfer and which classes do not. I wasted quite a bit of time sitting in classes that did not transfer. I'd love to be a counseler at the campus, to help students maybe one day.

I had no problems at OCC because I knew which questions to ask and of whom to ask them. All my professors responded happily to my requests for help but I think younger students may not know how to ask for help. I was extremely impressed with Mr's intelligence & professionalism. ****
It would be wonderful if the program were at campus as well. I drove I hour to take these classes in If it ever happens, I would like to be considered as a future instructor. I have adegree in as well as the associates degree. ****
Some of your past instructors are a whiz at what they can do, but they are not teachers. I am a slow learner and some teachers can't handle that.
The counseling especially at, was not as good as it could be. I took way too many courses on counselors advice. ****
When I was going to OCC, I did not have a counselor except my program coordinator, Dr was obnoxious most times and could not get along with students. In the future it would be nice if students are assigned to advisor and not program coordinator. We need more people to talk to about our career. ****
Student evaluation of teachers to remove those unqualified to teach. With the exception of one teacher, I was very pleased with the quality of instruction I received at OCC.
I very much enjoyed attending OCC. The small number of students in each classroom provided me with the personal attention I needed. Staff was very friendly. At times however the classes seemed a bit repetitive.
OCC was comparable in quality and difficulty to my classes at ****
Include more general education classes so it is easier to transfer to a college of that person's choosing, not just a select few. ****
Instructors should be monitored more closely. Too many were a waste of time. Especially true of part-timers. ****
You need a class in I learned more during the two

semesters I taught as a ----- than I did in my brief class.

For the people who cannot get away to college, OCC is a great place to go to school. The people and teachers are very helpful and know what they're doing.

No comments really, but I would like to mention two teachers who stand out when I think of OCC. -- ---- who taught ----- and possessed a wonderfully dry sense of humor making what could have been a dull course quite interesting instead.-- ----, who taught ----- and also possessed a great sense of humor.

The counseling I had was terrible, three times I was set to graduate then someone discovered another requirement that had to be completed.

Stop using fill in help, and get good permanent part or full time professors who speak good, correct English.

A system should be set up for older students, who know what they want. I was -- years old when I started at OCC. All I wanted was to learn ----- but I had to take other courses that I did not want or need in my occupation.

The ---- two year associates degree helped immensly for --- graduate school. However as --- paper turned in is to be of excellent grammar and writing skills in all classes not just English.

You don't have qualified instructors (teachers) in your ----- program. The class room instruction was very poor. I learned everything I needed to know at my ----- site.

Happy at OCC; Reasonable tuition. I do not think --- was treated fairly.

Comparing OCC and ----- I was much more satisfied with the practical/theoretical balance at OCC. I would like to see more courses for practicing ----- who would like to expand their skills without entering the structure and commitment of graduate school.

I was very satisfied with OCC. My present job is a direct result of my internship. I was hired five months after completing my classes as a ---- --- -- - and promoted to supervisor fourteen months after my employment.

Unhappy, took 1-1/2 years to receive my diploma after I graduated. Told OCC to keep it and refund my \$10.

I left OCC with many credits more than I needed. However, I had not taken some basic classes required at my four year college. Counselors at OCC are poorly informed – not aware of requirements for various programs – uninterested in students – I think they are lazy!!

I think you should add additional new courses in the ----- area.

I attended ------ campus but I have found, through talking to people at other campuses, that other campuses don't offer as detailed an instruction. I feel these students will have a difficult time if transferring to a four year school.

Fine opportunity for ----- studies towards a four-year bachelor's degree.

Full-time instructors were excellent! Part-time staff often less than excellent.

I think the counselors need to know the programs better. I had one counselor tell me one thing then another tell me something different. (---- was the only one who know what -- was doing!)

As far as ---- if --- classes were not listed as field work/seminar - they would have transferred.

There is a --- --- in --- at the ---- -- campus. She is to be praised for all the kind help she offers the students. She is a real worker and doesn't mess around with red tape!

My experience at OCC was a very positive one. I might have been lucky to have some exceptional people instructing - (---- --- ---). Having attended __ (five years, OCC gave me more.

Secretaries/Word Processors are expected to do a lot more than correspondence now. Need more coverage on spread sheets, graphics, data bases, etc. More study on DOS (PC is used most often on jobs I've seen).

At the present time I could not suggest any improvements.

Offer better instructors who care about teaching rather than looking for the next dollar.

Disappointed in transfer of credit from OCC to -----. Maximum accepted at ----

- is only 52 credits, that's a long way off from those I obtained at OCC. Since ---- field is constantly expanding, I would hope OCC would expand classes along these lines.

Please let the --- program take the emphasis away from bed baths and bed making. Their priority should be on drug and medication procedure, administration and problems relating to meds. The program lacks a lot of med information. It should be a two term course. I haven't given a bed bath since school!

In the ----- and ------ department, newer and better equipment would make a vast improvement. Why teach us stuff on outdated machines. It serves no useful purpose.

I feel the ----program were the biggest waste of time. I am behind at ----College due to this.

Make some technical courses available at <u>each</u> campus location. i.e. ---- and ---- courses at -----

I had a number of concerns while I was in the ---- program, but I am very happy to say that things have changed this year - some for the better I hope.

I loved going to OCC. I found many of the teachers to be very fair, helpful, and they set realistic goals. However, they did not prepare me for the "cold, cruel" atmosphere of the four-year university and the "task master" attitude of the professors.

I feel it was to my advantage attending OCC for two years – I saved money and all my credits transferred. I think OCC was a very good learning experience.

Improve "Home campus" system, concerning counseling and records availability.

Instructors in ----- program are not willing to assist students with tutoring after classes. Not enough in ----- experience. Testing was not appropriate with state board testing. Director of ----- uncooperative with students needs. A need for increased teaching in -----.

Low expectations of students in general. Counselors and secreterial staff indifferent to students. Part-time teachers from employed sector are not teachers at all. In general, a dissappointing school.

Larger front parking lot; more convenient spring hours for cafeteria.

Offer four-year degree courses, perhaps in conjunction with another institution, i.e. -----

After transferring to -----I think OCC could prepare students more for essay exams and papers.

Don't allow students to graduate unless they have completed a math requirement.

The effectiveness of your educational programs should be measured by other criteria than how it effects employability and career.

The only comment I have is in regard to "open" -----labs. When I took ----, it always seemed that the lab was empty, and without proper instruction, students were unable to properly perform experiments or learn from them. Were I to take more ----, I would avoid "open" labs.

Counselors/Advisors could be more accurate in directing students or advising of transfer of credits.

Required final exams for all students. Probationary period for part-time instructors.

Keep up the good work!

in ---- and ----- management, have an on hands apprentice program.

The majority of courses I took at OCC were very useful and most instructors were genuinely interested in students. In my opinion and the opinion of many fellow students, the counseling offices were useless, advisors were not organized nor informed.

I did not fully appreciate the OCC --- program until I transferred to ---! Boy, was I ever ahead of people who only had a liberal arts background. --- and --- are the greatest (better than most ---- professors!)

<u>Course Work</u> - good to excellent. <u>Instructors</u> - some excellent, others are not relevant to life on the outside. <u>Job Services</u> - not very good; pay too little.

Should not have to pay $\frac{$10}{}$ for degree, when you've already paid for your education, it should be included when you graduate.

Fire Dr.---- -. The man is offensive, obnoxious & arrogant. He is unhelpful and too preoccupied with himself to properly serve as an instructor. His grading is preferential and unfair.

Overall instruction was good some individual part-time instructors were disappointing.

The counselors misled me in the courses I should have taken to get into ----college of business. The library wasn't open long enough. Like weekends - some instructors were very poor and taught me nothing. There were few that really impressed me.

Update and teach how it really is out in the working world. ----- courses need to be updated <u>desperately!</u>

I dropped out of the ---- program because I was required to take Intermediate- --- even in light of the fact that I had completed the coursework in --- itself, the culmination of my coursework in this field. Why backtrack?

I would like to see the professor actually observe the students in the work place. Be more strict on the lab hours required, by following up by observing.

Administration performance could be improved.

OCC was a very good learning experience because the teacher/profs - took the time to teach with the students so they learn. They showed concern and care which increases my willingness to learn. Very beneficial time!

OCC you are a fantastic school – don't change a thing, and future students will get just as great an education as I received.

The leniency of OCC teachers did not adequately prepare me for transfer to ----- College. I graduated with a 3.92 GPA at OCC and can barely get a C in my --- classes at ----. (Current GPA is 3.0.

There should be more accountability for instructors, particularly regarding class planning, lecture methods and content.

Improve the quality of machines we worked on in the course! ****
Better preparation for state exam is needed. There was to much change of instructor at upper speed levels was very week. ****
I went to school for Degree. I did enjoy my classes but I honestly feel that more classes could have been offered to get me a job to better advance in this field. Some of the courses were so short - seemed that I felt a lot was crammed into a short period of time. ****
I feel lab time available for students should not be sold to or any other outside company at the expense of the students enrolled at OCC.
I feel that perhaps better guidelines for selecting part-time instructors could be implemented. Also a follow up to see if they are getting the required material across to the students. Part-time instructors while having experience in their field often times lack teaching skills. ****
One of my most valuable classes was Excellent tool in helping directive and choicesclasses - worst "field trip" - teachers survival test - unnecessary risks with students of poor teacher - wanders off subject.
Some of the equipment is old and outmoded. Forget the fancy gardens and put money into equipment that will prepare students for job market. ****
Upper level courses in and should have fewer students.
I am more than pleased with the education I received at OCC and would highly recommend the school. I was adequately prepared. ****
For the college's best interest in maintaining and excellent, highly rated class, you must keep as the instructor, coordinator.
Better instructors are needed in theprogram. A better grading system is necessary in theareas of the courses. Use of theequipment in the lab should be used more – our class didn't have the opportunity. ****
Some instructors for my courses were hired from the business sector and were not true instructors. I don't doubt their knowledge, but I don't think they have the qualifications to <u>teach</u> .

Foreign language in summer sessions.

Have excellerated semesters, so as not to drag out. 15 weeks. So a working student who is motivated can achieve goals in a more timely fashion.

More flexibility in placement of students for clinical experiences. Some placements were very inconvenient - mileage wise - at least could have first come first serve basis.

In the ----- Administration area, more classes on building security, legal right, fire and safety, evacuation, etc.

A counselor <u>specific</u> for the ---- programs who understands all the in & outs of what it takes to get in.

OCC was very very good to me!

On the ---- end, the tests could be more difficult. OCC has some excellent teachers (<u>full-time</u>). All colleges encounter some problem of teaching quality when trying out new instructors. ----, too.

Generally, schools (including universities) do not teach good ----- controls. I think this would be a tremendous opportunity, for both the students and the school. This knowledge has to be learned on the job.

I understand the ----- technology curriculum has improved in the past year with new instructors and equipment. However, more "hands on" practical classroom experience such as: repairing ---- and controllers, changing motors, repairing broken connectors, diagnostic procedures and mechanical repairs.

I felt I was not strong mathematically so I was persuaded to take science to my relief. But Math is important when you transfer and I was inadequately prepared. So I remained at OCC to change my math status, it hurt but I did it. I think counselors are steering students wrong with the math area.

I found the ----- campus counselors, teaching staff, and library staff to be most helpful in helping me select classes to meet my objectives and research related materials even in my bachelor's program!!

I took several ---- courses at the -----campus and was especially satisfied with the instructors. I have been well prepared in all my continuing ---- classes.

The ---- courses at OCC did <u>not</u> prepare me for --- college courses. (Especially -----).

The --- program was excellent. The program directors ---- and ---- were very interested and totally professional and committed. The ---- program that I lst attended was just the opposite --- & --- were terrible. ---- was better, but program was run terrible.

To be more <u>flexible</u> when assignments for ---- times are made.

As I have observed at other colleges, OCC could also improve their counseling and advisement services. These areas always seem to be somewhat lacking.

Need better instructors that care

Have Internships in the field of ----- science and --- for students who are (expect) to graduate within 12 months with those certificates.

After applying at ---- I found there was a merit scholarship available for OCC students transferring – which I would definitely have received had I known! Scholarship information is very very sparce!

In the --- field, a variety of teachers is greatly needed, one teacher alone has too great a deal of effect on influences to stay with or change majors.

The program on the whole is good, some areas are kind of out dated. I think I received good training in helping me find a job. I would like to be on a job placement list, as I am always seeking to further my career.

You need more advance classes for -----

This curriculum was took for my own personal interest.

All information was available but not presented, that way it was up to the student to extract this information.

The counseling at OCC is very poor. Freshmen and Sophmores need direction not "Well what do you want to do". They should be looking at the "Big" picture not, gosh take this class and that class! Also, who keeps the records at OCC? Can't you people keep the records STRAIGHT! How do you LOOSE so many

transcripts? Highly unexceptable! The students should not have to pay for your mistakes!

The ---- program at ----- campus is one of the best in Michigan. After being in the ----- profession, I can see why!

OCC did not prepare me for essay examination. I have not had one True or False, fill in the blank, or multiple choice question.

Counseling is totally inadequate – not enough time spent with student and not enough interest. Major changes necessary.

More homework. Instructors who are committed.

I took a few classes where there was alot of cheating done by students, and the instructors ignored it even when made aware of it. This lead to decreased morale on the part of honest students. I would also suggest to most returning students to take a career development class before any others to determine a direction for school and career.

Counseling must be twice yearly to show students how they are doing.

Registration gets a little hairy if you've missed mail-in. Also please send me this fall's course registration of scheduled classes. Since I graduated they've taken my name off the rolls.

Having a little more qualified instructors who know how to teach.

Only complaint that I have is that OCC courses did not prepare me for the difficult classes at ----. OCC courses need to be more difficult.

Even though I haven't enrolled for complete degree as yet, I will soon. Going to OCC gave me the push to keep going. Just about <u>all</u> the instructors I had, were good and seemed to enjoy what they were doing and derive much pleasure from teaching.

You do a fine job at OCC. I wish you continued success. Keep up the good work.

In ----, - classes should reflect what is happening in the real world. Programs should be more business like and JCL should be a requirement in every class. Teaching coding only is like engineers learning automotive technology without learning about motors. JCL is the what makes the program work. It's essential to successful completion.

I enjoyed OCC as a college it helped me out both scholastically and socially. Since I am no longer at OCC or in Michigan, my life has changed a lot. I can't really help with any comments of improvement. OCC is my past and doesn't have much bearing towards my future at ---. You don't offer ---- courses so my supervisor wouldn't be much assistance. Plus it would be easier if you stayed with in state employers.

The counseling department seemed to have different ideas and confused many people as to what they really needed. They need to know better what transfers and what doesn't to each college.

Screen part-time faculty better. Establish parity among the campuses in student services.

OCC provided a wonderful environment in which to learn and grow. I will always be grateful and have only good feelings about the school. Thanks.

Fall semester classes seem to be too chopped up into small sections making difficulty for employed person to take the classes desired at night.

I would like to see more courses in the Environmental Field.

There should be more classes for English. I still have a problem in this area.

My experience at OCC was extremely enjoyable; the instructors and courses. It was a very positive experience. The instructors were very willing to give help and individual attention when asked. I recommend OCC all the time to family and friends.

It would help if you had a --- (-----) teach the ----- classes.

I love going to Oakland Comm. College. Teaching is excellent, classes are small and personal and I feel good about being a student there.

I enjoyed my classes and felt the instructors were, on the whole, pretty good. Part-time instructors lack quality found in full time instructors. I am anxious to use your placement center contacts when I graduate from ---.

I enjoyed attending very much. I also learned more than text book values and knowledge.

Further classes in a technical field in ---- (lab/on job) would provide for entry level position in the above.

All of the programs and services are excellent.

I felt my classes were an extension of high school and no challenge (even in higher level courses). I was not prepared to take on what was expected of me once I transferred to a 4-yr. university.

I felt that OCC was an excellent community college. When I had a dept. head as an instructor I enjoyed the classes, but I can't say the same for some of my ---- classes. On the whole it was a good experience.

The ----- program was new and mismanaged. The jobs were there, you just had to know someone. I might get into it again later.

I feel that the teachers should be harder so that it prepares students for ---- college. The teachers at OCC seem to "baby" their students.

More research and writing in ----- courses, less book memorization.

Become a four year college!!!

Many of the instructors are a joke! Education is a serious business from an adult student point of view. While working and attending classes is difficult, I don't appreciate an instructor who makes no effort to instruct.

I very much enjoyed the staff and felt they were most helpful and concerned about their students – especially Dr. — — who always goes above and beyond to be helpful.

There are several teachers there that are not interested in teaching, get rid of them, because we want to learn.

Start some evening classes at 6:30 or 7:00.

Excellent learning!

Thank you!

Every counselor I've even talked to gave me bad advice - I ended up taking 10 classes I did not even need.

Offer a course devoted to Macros – for word processors: more extensive training in mass mailout applications (setting up data base for various needs).

Only --- was offered - not enough in computer field.

Overall, I feel OCC ----- curriculum provided an excellent fund of knowledge, and I feel very confident and comfortable in my current job position working with 4-yr --- graduates on an equal basis. Thank you!

As a charge ---- supervisor, I felt very unprepared to be over others and dealing with the --- under me. One moment I was a graduate --- and the next in charge. Since a lot of us do work in a ---- environment, I feel we should be more prepared on handling this job.

Overall, the ---- program was very thorough. Just a few things are different out here where I work, but I think that is related to the region. (Southwest U.S.)

The ----- department is unsatisfactory. There was no lab guidance and the classes were boring.

The two ----- instructors that are in charge are incompatible.

Just a note of thanks regarding ---- Services - couldn't have done it without them.

The ---- program should be changed from one night a week to 2 nights a week. The students would benefit greatly from this.

Need better information in ----- courses.

I enjoy attending OCC. I think it is set up fairly well. I have learned some valuable information and wish to continue on learning. OCC has been one of the most economical avenues to do so. You could extend mail in registration, or start it earlier.

* * * *

Please continue offerring courses focusing on teaching. (e.g. ---- language, teaching of ----- math etc) Very Very rewarding experience and extremely helpful in the classroom.

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Section D, Part 3 of the Graduate Follow-up Questionaire stated that additional comments would be welcome. I am not writing this to offer suggestions for improvement, but rather to thank you for making the two years that I attended OCC very enjoyable. The education I received there was top-notch and the faculty; not only qualifed but caring, which is difficult to find at a larger university.

The classes which I took at OCC are comparable to those which I have taken and continue to take at ----- University both in the challenge they offered and the amount of material covered in each course. The classes which I found to be most representative of the junior level courses I have taken were ----- and --- with Dr. ----- Although the spelling of his last name has slipped my mind, the class and the professor have not. I hope that Dr. ----- is still on staff at OCC because, as tough as I thought the classes were at the time, they were my best preparation for transferring to ------

I would also like to compliment you on your excellent counseling staff. Both Dr. ---- and Mr. ---- were very helpful in both election of required courses and also with the entire transfer process.

Once again, thank you for all the positive experiences I had at OCC and keep up the good work!

Not enough current job in fo available - no job network for students after program.

Had a very good experience at OCC. Especially Mrs. ---- in ---- was excellent.

An "internship program" for a ----- or ----- career is essential. What was taught to me was in <u>no way</u> helpful for on the job training and in no way helped me land a better job.

The only problem that I found is your counseling and course advisement. I was, and still am, planning to continue my education (Bachelors in Business Mgmt). I spoke with counselors throughout my education regarding transferrable classes – and they steered me wrong on a few occasions.

I was pretty satisfied at OCC. No major complaints or drawbacks.

No comment.

1. A course on use of reference materials in search for correct spellings. 2. A <u>class</u> designed just for punctuation and spelling. 3. A pharmacology class to become "<u>much</u>" more familiar with the names and spelling of drugs and how to find it in reference material.

l attended OCC, four years of night school at the ---- campus and two years full-time days at the ---- campus. I worked extremely hard to get my ---associate degree, working to support my two children, divorced, maintaining a home and trying in one word - survive. To compare the campus's, the instructors and the learning received - both would end up being short stories. I found at -------, good and bad instructors, but instructors who cared and wanted to see their students pass. Extra time was given to us, in our studies and in support of our personal lives. I have many good memories, positive sharing of thoughts and ideas and laughter, as well as positive learning experiences -- all leading to my goal -to become a ----. I found extremely helpful, the financial aid office, helping me as much as possible with every form to complete, every requirement needed and as a whole, keeping on top of things and being organized. I owe that department alot. This campus offered alot of activities to engage in outside of classes, some of which I did partake of. I did however find much discontent with the counselors who always appeared rushed, looking at watches frequently while with you and treating you like a name, rank, and serial number. I found I was given poor advice to requirements and choices for a semester. I ended up relying upon the curriculum booklet published every fall, using my own judgments and guiding myself through each and every course per every semester attended. Many other students I spoke with also thought poorly of the advice and direction given by the counselors. I changed counselors three times, at which time I decided the whole system operated poorly. From that point on, to graduation I only saw a counselor once - to make sure my requirements were fulfilled to graduate.

Except for the counseling dept at -----, every dept was helpful, caring, sharing, and concerned with helping me to graduate. That campus leaves a great many good memories I shall never forget.

With the --- campus, I was totally turned off to it. The atmosphere of the campus itself was unfriendly. The financial office didn't show any concern for you as a individual, one who needed help and support monitarily and emotionally. Their faces were dry, you had to force smile upon their faces and their attitudes - "we don't know when we'll get to you, we have alot of deadlines to meet". Maybe so, I'm not stupid. This office didn't have near the students --- --- had and deadlines are deadlines. They were slow and unorganized as far as I was concerned.

I found the ----- --- campus bookstore poor in supplies, however, friendly. As far as instruction at ---- ----, again, I had good and bad, caring and non-caring, those willing to take an extra minute with you and those saying "passing or failing is your choice and on your time. I felt unhappy with their attitudes and their rushed schedules to really show or share empathy. I to this day, question if it was the curriculum I was in (----), which was demanding, testing my stress level and my ability to be responsible and make correct decisions or if the instructors were bored with teaching the same literature day in day out and year in and year out. After all, --- and ---- of the ---- has yet to change at all, to create a new species -- I'll never see it! I found due to the type instruction I was getting, (some instructors reading right out of the books, paragraphs by paragraphs, reading from articles unable to obtain and just telling us to read pages ----- and know it all frontwards and backwards) that my GPA was dropping more and more and was putting more and more time into studying. We were told to copy pages and pages of articles in the library only to be asked one question from all of them on a test.

I feel the ---- department wasted a lot of our valuable time on unnecessary readings and should have focused on more interests to help us to function as current knowledgable ----- The ---- department was poor in ----- instructions, and today, as a functioning full-time ---- I'm ashamed & afraid to admit to anyone how stupid I am with ---- knowledge. Everytime I give a ----- I shake at how easily I could make a mistake, or not know a --- putting my ---- in jeopardy. I am very bitter that the ---- department didn't feel obligated to insure our safety and the --- safety and gave me a very poor education in that area. I have since learned ----- in a separate entity, unfortunately too late for me and those before me. Another poor organization of the ---- department was having a test within I-2 days following a ---- test, we were all required to take. We all suffered on our ---- test scores - the tests to pass, to pass the course. I feel as a whole, the instruction outline, priority of knowledge as a must to survive in the real world and the "caring attitude" to succeed in ---- all failed with a D-grade. curriculum has been reorganized, but down deep, I know the uncaring attitude will never change. There are five ---- instructors (including ----- and classroom) that I can name that gave me the support and understanding I needed to become a ----. Without them I would have failed. For the remaining instructors, it speaks poorly of the program. Due to my own determination, my will to fullfill my goal, as well as support my family, did I get my degree. If it were for quality of education alone, I would have failed.

I give the over-all education provided a B average in non ---- courses and a D to C average in ----- courses.

As a suggestion, I feel all book stores need improving upon. The ---- campus needs more supplies and all the other campus's need to carry the ---- and ----- literature. I lived I-I/2 miles from ----- and had to drive 20 miles to ----- to get a needed study guide for class. ----- hours are beautiful and meets the needs of all students attending night and day, ----- hours are bankers hours. As students we were not bankers.

I hope I've given you some input into my life and times, learning department availability and instruction quality of the education received at OCC from 1980-1986.

I am proud to be a ----, proud of my profession, proud of my self and proud of my school (OCC). I just wish I didn't have to remember the bad things I had to go through at the campus's to graduate. I keep in mind and cherish, all the good things, the instructors, the good education and the friendly learning atmosphere at

Thank you for providing me the opportunity to reach my goal and to be proud of myself, to be able to support my family, and most of all -- helping mankind to live with dignity and decency. With the knowledge you've given me and the skills God has given me, I am successful and happy with life. Again -- thank you.

Only reason I won't take more courses is that I now live in New Hampshire.

Smaller class sizes with better instructor interest in many courses.

Omit some Humanities and add more courses related to field of study for on the job pre-training.

<u>Counselors</u> – more up to date on what is happening in the various career fields. Quality check on teachers. One of my teachers for ----/--- did little to help. She had a very poor attitude, and presented material in a very disorganized way.

In classes such as science, they should either not be given in short sessions or the teachers should take time allowed when planning classes and grading. #ears that I attended OCC very enjoyable. The education I received there was top-notch and the faculty; not only qualifed but caring, which is difficult to find at a larger university.

I would also like to compliment you on your excellent counseling staff. Both Dr. ----- and Mr. ----- were very helpful in both election of required courses $a\#_{\pm}*$

Your courses would have helped me if I went into the ---- field as planned, but I was called back to a full-time teaching job so I have not used the skills I learned at OCC.

Updated video films would be helpful.

I studied in OCC for almost 3 years, and I loved every minute of it. Teachers were great and tried hard to help students. In general the level of teaching was great except for one or two courses that I took.

I was very pleased with the courses and services that were provided at OCC. The institution is recommended by me to others quite frequently.

I found the job finding service very inadequate. I did not find out the job prospects in my chosen field until my last class. I needed a masters to be employable. Also earning figures very low.

I found most useful my courses in --- (---) and --- (---). ---- and --- and --- were also good. All helped me separate the mechanics of business from its outward appearance. Not what you asked (just a comment).

I did not find counselors very helpful to me. A lot of the part-time teachers who were professionals during day and just taught at night needed to improve on their teaching skills. (All my classes were night classes).

The ---- program is well structured, its content is more than satisfactory, the student advisors are both supportive and well informed. --- and ---- have developed an excellent program.

Overall I enjoyed my tenure at OCC. The faculty was excellent. But I honestly think that the counseling department could have told those graduating with a —degree that companies are zeroing in on people with full four year degrees.

1985-1986 GRADUATE CLASS FOLLOW UP SUMMARY

Thirty (30) percent of the 1985-1986 graduating class responded to the follow up survey compared to thirty-six (36) percent for the 1984-1985 class and thirty-seven (37) percent for the 1983-1984 class.

Graduates responded most often that "University transfer credit" was their primary reason for attending OCC. This replaces the reason given most often during the 1982-1983, 1983-1984, and 1984-1985 survey which was "Preperation for job to be obtained".

While thirty-seven (37) percent of the respondents gave "To obtain university transfer credits" as one of the primary reasons for attending OCC, forty-nine (49) percent reported they were currently attending school. This leads one to believe that OCC has instilled higher learning motivation in students that originally intended to only obtain job skills at OCC.

Quality of Instruction Grading/Testing Instructor Interest, Course Content, Instruction Media, and Class Size were rated above average by respondents.

financial Aid, Counseling, Course Advisement, Tutoring Services, Learning Labs, Library, Student Activities, and Veterans' Services were rated above average. Job Placement was rated not quite average.

Again this year, as in the past, the largest number of respondents reported Oakland University as the most attended public university and Walsh College at the private level upon graduating from OCC. Being both are in the same geographic areas OCC, it appears that many of the OCC graduates stay at home and commute rather than going away to continue their education. This has not changed over the past years.

Thirty-nine (39) percent of transfer respondents reported that all of their OCC credits were accepted at the transfer college. This is up from thirty-four (34) percent the previous survey. It looks like OCC should work harder with transfer schools to get our credits accepted more readily when less than half the transfers get all their OCC credits accepted at the transfer school.

Forty-eight (48) percent of transfer respondents reported their classification at the transfer institution as "Junior", and thirty-two (32) percent of transfer repondents reported their classification at the transfer institution as "Senior"

Even though reporting graduates gave OCC courses above ratings, transfer students did not rate their preparation for continuing their education high. Thirty-two (32) percent rated their preparation as excellent and seventeen (17) percent as good in some areas only.

In the rating for training for it's usefulness to performing on the job, OCC faired much better. Sixty-one (61) percent rated their training above average. (This is the same as the previous survey.)

Fifty-nine (59) percent of the responding employed graduates stated that their job is related to the courses complete at OCC and that Forty-eight (48) percent were NOT employed in their occupational area prior to classes at OCC.

Ninty-five (95) percent reported that courses completed at OCC are some benefit to their career plans. This is the same percentage reported as the previous year.

Forty-two (42) percent reported on the affirmative that they are interested in taking other courses at OCC, the same percent as the previous survey. We still haven't reached the forty-nine (49) percent high reported from the 1981-1982 survey.

Hourly rates reported on full-time, program-related employment average \$10.56 for responding graduates. This is an increase of \$0.67 per hour over the previous year reported average. Female graduates reported average hourly earning of \$10.24 up from \$0.22 the previous survey, while males reported average hourly earning of \$11.50 up from \$11.03 the previous survey. The highest reported hourly rate for females was in the Business Administrative program with \$24.04 reported. The highest reported hourly rate for males was \$27.78 for an Audiovisual Technology graduate.

The highest average male hourly rate was \$17.19 in the Adiovisual Technology area, while the highest hourly female rate was in the Data Processing area with \$15.14.

General comments written by graduates when tallied on a favorable, neutral, unfavorable scale one finds one hundred and thirteen (113) favorable, twelve (12) neutral, and one hundred and sixty-six (166) in the unfavorable area. Needed areas of improvement per the respondents are: Counselors being more informed as to courses needed to transfer to four-year programs; more challenging courses needed to transfer to four-year programs; more challenging courses needed to transfer to four-year programs; better instructor qualification screening, especially part-time ones; instructional methods, and instructor evaluations. These are the same needed area of improvement cited by the previous three surveys.

The most mentioned programs in the comments were the Nursing and Data Processing Programs. It seems that even though students complete the program, they are left with some bad feelings towards OCC regarding the program and what they got out of it.

1985-1986 GRADUATE CLASS FOLLOW UP STUDY CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The "University transfer credit" was the largest reason for attending OCC, with forty-nine (49) percent reporting they are all attending school, thus preperation for further education is important.
- 2. Our academic program is well thought of by our graduates who rated as "above average" the quality of instruction; grading and testing; instructor interest, course content, instructional media and class size; but we still have areas needing improvement as noted by the number of unfavorable comments for some areas.
- 3. Counseling/advisement services need improvement from the tone of comments and the ratings given by graduates.
- 4. The majority of employed graduates are employed in an area related to theirOCC courses; Therefore, OCC courses are clearly a benefit to furtherance of graduates' careers.
- 5. The transfer of students to bachalaureate institutions is still the weakest link in the education process that needs strengthening. Despite the MACRAO agreement and individual articulation agreements, still just over one-third of our reporting transfer graduates report that all their OCC credits were accepted by the transfer institution.
- 6. With the low rating given by students for OCC's preparation for continuing their education at the four-year level, OCC must strive to better prepare the students to persue their educational goals upon leaving OCC.
- 7. Some graduates feel a means of instructors evaluations would weed out the "poor" or "mediocre" instructor from OCC's ranks.
- 8. The average hourly rate of graduates increased over the previous survey indicating that an education does pay off.
- 9. Generally, students' expriances at OCC and their attitudes toward us are favorable, so we must be doing "something" right.