## 2001 Millage: Public Opinion Poll

Response to question 6: Can you tell me any reasons why you would vote "for" this issue?

1. Justified.
2. I support the renewal.
3. Need to get more info on subject.
4. It's needed.
5. Was a Teacher.
6. It's a responsible thing to do.
7. When I find out what's it's about.
8. I was an educator myself.
9. My son and my grandchildren have attended OCC.
10. I've taken courses there and so has my wife.
11. Need it.
12. If I thought it was good idea I might vote for it.
13. I went to OCC and son goes now and other kids will too.
14. I have a great granddaughter who is attending OCC.
15. Millages can be important:
16. To maintain current status of county.
17. Very important for future.
18. I live in Oakland County and I do a lot of things at OCC.
19. We live here.
20. Just a renewal.
21. Family members are college graduates.
22. If it's good.
23. Have to keep up.
24. Because I always do.
25. I thinks it's important for our future generations.
26. I'm a renter and I always vote on these issues.
27. It's for the community and it's only a renewal.
28. I have children who might eventually attend OCC.
29. For renewal - excellent reasons.
30. We need to prioritize.
31. I'll support it.
32. Typical vote for renewals.
33. I have someone attending OCC right now.
34. Have children in school.
35. Must study why I would want to vote "for" this issue.
36. I have a child at OCC and several children approaching college age.
37. I think it's an important cause.
38. I've been a schoolteacher in Detroit.
39. Because relatives and children others OCC.
40. Children are graduates from OCC.
41. My children and I have attended OCC.
42. LEA of $W$ voters for education.
43. If $\$$ is spent as intended will vote for. But just trust everything you hear.
44. It's necessary.
45. I believe OCC is good for Oakland County.
46. I have no problem with renewals.
47. Can manage with it now. (If it goes too high and taxes are too much, will have to move.)

Response to question 7: Can you tell me any reasons why you would vote "against" this issue?

1. Only if I went over it and found a reason to vote against it.
2. I think it's up to the parents to pay for their children's education.
3. I don't believe that public education is beneficial to the growth of moral standards.
4. Only if county was in very bad financial straits.
5. Need more info.
6. Depends, if I think they know what their doing.
7. I do not have children in college.
8. That's just the way I am.
9. Any hidden agendas.
10. Only if unemployed or problems with funds.
11. Seniors should be exempt - we have paid our dues.
12. If my income dropped.
13. Pay check is for my kids, there is no need.
14. The last time they fired the President of OCC? Right after the millage passed and they fight and squabble too much. They need to get themselves together.
15. I wouldn't vote against it.
16. I don't have money to give to OCC.
17. If I didn't feel it was right.
18. If it didn't work before.
19. None. Unless I hear about something.
20. No, unless they get into teaching controversial subject.
(21. I would need to do more reading before I voted against it.)
21. If programs are so damn liberal, I couldn't stand it.
22. None.
23. If I hear both viewpoints and the opposing one sounded better.
24. The government doesn't know how to handle a budget.
25. Not justified.
26. If the funds were no longer needed.
27. Same reason as \#6 (Need to get more info on subject.)
(29. I would have to know more about it.)
28. I won't vote against it unless I find out something that's wrong.
34.None.
(32. Not being informed.)

Likely "For" Voters View of Reliable Information Sources
( $\mathrm{n}=228-273$ )


Likely "Undecided" Voters View of Reliable Sources of Information ( $\mathrm{n}=79-93$ )


Likely Voter Behavior ( $n=389$ )



Likely "Undecided" Voters Disapproval of Money Useage
( $\mathrm{n}=72-85$ )



Age Groups

Likely Voters Reason for Renewing Millage


Likely Voters Reasons for Not Renewing Millage


## Crosstabs

## Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RECEIVE Do they want additional information about the renewal? | 389 | 98.7\% |  | 1.3\% | 394 | 100.0\% |

VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RECEIVE Do they want additional information about the renewal? Crosstabulation


## Frequencies

## Statistics

|  |  | NEWCOUR <br> View of money for new courses of study | NEWPROG <br> View of money for new programs of study | TRAIN View of money for training students to meet critical labor shortages | ENHANCE <br> View of money for enhancing skills of current workforce | SCHOLAR <br> View of money for student scholarships | TECH View of money for getting technology for classrooms | OPSYS View of money for improving college operating systems | IMPFAC View of money for improving facilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | Valid | 336 | 339 | 370 | 373 | 370 | 363 | 330 | 350 |
|  | Missing | 58 | 55 | 24 | 21 | 24 | 31 | 64 | 44 |
| Mean |  | 3.40 | 3.45 | 3.59 | 3.30 | 3.50 | 3.56 | 3.34 | 3.26 |

## Frequency Table

NEWCOUR View of money for new courses of study


Likely "For" Voters View of Reliable Information Sources ( $\mathrm{n}=228-273$ )


Other Sources of Information for Likely Voters
( $\mathrm{n}=127$ )


## Likely Voters Sources of Information <br> ( $\mathrm{n}=376$ )




## Likely "Undecided" Voters Disapproval of Money Useage ( $\mathrm{n}=72-85$ )




Case Processing Summary


## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * How reliable are community leaders as a source of info?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RELCOM communit | w reliable are eaders as a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Very unreliable | 2 Somewhat unreliable |
|  |  | Count | 18 | 32 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 7.1\% | 12.6\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 11 | 12 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 14.3\% | 15.6\% |
|  |  | Count | 29 | 44 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 8.8\% | 13.3\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RELCOM | reliable aders as a |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable | Total |
|  |  | Count | 160 | 43 | 253 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 63.2\% | 17.0\% | 100.0\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 41 | 13 | 77 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 53.2\% | 16.9\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 201 | 56 | 330 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 60.9\% | 17.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $4.805^{a}$ | 3 | .187 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 4.453 | 3 | .217 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 2.803 | 1 | .094 |
| N of Valid Cases | 330 |  |  |

a. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 6.77 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * How reliable are family members as a source of info?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RELFAM How reliable are family members as a source |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Very unreliable | 2 Somewhat unreliable |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 21 | 23 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 8.7\% | 9.5\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 8 | 3 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 9.6\% | 3.6\% |
| Total |  | Count | 29 | 26 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | $8.9 \%$ | 8.0\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RELFAM How reliable are family members as a source |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 111 | 87 | 242 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 45.9\% | 36.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 34 | 38 | 83 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 41.0\% | 45.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 145 | 125 | 325 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 44.6\% | 38.5\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $4.630^{a}$ | 3 | .201 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 5.056 | 3 | .168 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 1.457 | 1 | .227 |
| N of Valid Cases | 325 |  |  |

a. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 6.64 .

## Would $\mathbf{R}$ vote for or against renewal today? * How reliable is mailed information?

## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RELMAIL How reliable is |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 140 | 40 | 267 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would $R$ vote for or against renewal today? | 52.4\% | 15.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 44 | 12 | 84 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 52.4\% | 14.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 184 | 52 | 351 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 52.4\% | 14.8\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $3.278^{a}$ | 3 | .351 |
| Likelihood Ratio | .3 .274 | 3 | .351 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .515 | 1 | .473 |
| N of Valid Cases | 351 |  |  |

a. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 12.44.

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * How reliable is newspaper as a source of info?

## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RELNEWS How reliable is |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 186 | 41 | 273 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 68.1\% | 15.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 64 | 15 | 93 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 68.8\% | 16.1\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 250 | 56 | 366 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 68.3\% | 15.3\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $2.508^{a}$ | 3 | .474 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 2.498 | 3 | .476 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .000 | 1 | .988 |
| N of Valid Cases | 366 |  |  |

a. 1 cells $(12.5 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 4.07 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * How reliable are telephone campaigners as a source of info?

## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RELPHONE are telephone | w reliable mpaigners |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable | Total |
|  |  | Count | 52 | 7 | 260 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 20.0\% | 2.7\% | 100.0\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 14 |  | 82 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 17.1\% |  | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 66 | 7 | 342 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 19.3\% | 2.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $4.676^{a}$ | 3 | .197 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 6.304 | 3 | .098 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 3.234 | 1 | .072 |
| N of Valid Cases | 342 |  |  |

a. 1 cells $(12.5 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 1.68 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * How reliable is radio as a source of info?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RELRAD | W reliable is |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Very unreliable | 2 Somewhat unreliable |
|  |  | Count | 12 | 40 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 5.3\% | 17.5\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 9 | 9 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 11.4\% | 11.4\% |
|  |  | Count | 21 | 49 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 6.8\% | 16.0\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RELRAD H | reliable is |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable | Total |
|  |  | Count | 155 | 21 | 228 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 68.0\% | 9.2\% | 100.0\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 52 | 9 | 79 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 65.8\% | 11.4\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 207 | 30 | 307 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 67.4\% | 9.8\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $4.940^{a}$ | 3 | .176 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 4.704 | 3 | .195 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .183 | 1 | .669 |
| N of Valid Cases | 307 |  |  |

a. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 5.40.

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * How reliable is television as a source of info?

## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RELTELE How reliable is |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 161 | 24 | 259 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 62.2\% | 9.3\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 52 | 9 | 84 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 61.9\% | 10.7\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 213 | 33 | 343 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 62.1\% | 9.6\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $11.025^{a}$ | 3 | .012 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 10.940 | 3 | .012 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .591 | 1 | .442 |
| N of Valid Cases | 343 |  |  |

a. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 8.08 .

## Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * PAPER Does R get info from newspapers? | 376 | 100.0\% | 0 | .0\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RADIO Does R get info from radio? | 376 | 100.0\% | 0 | . $0 \%$ | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * TELE Does R get info from television? | 375 | 99.7\% | 1 | .3\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * AD Does R get info from advertising? | 376 | 100.0\% | 0 | .0\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * COMMEET Does R get info from community meetings? | 374 | 99.5\% | 2 | . $5 \%$ | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * PERSON Does R get info from canidates or reps? | 376 | 100.0\% | 0 | .0\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * MAIL Does R get info from mail? | 375 | 99.7\% | 1 | .3\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * OTHER Are there other sources of info the R uses? | 374 | 99.5\% | 2 | .5\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * SOURINFO What is source of other info? | 137 | 36.4\% | 239 | 63.6\% | 376 | 100.0\% |

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Does R get info from newspapers?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | PAPER Does R get info from newspapers? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 29 | 250 | 279 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 10.4\% | 89.6\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 16 | 81 | 97 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 16.5\% | 83.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 45 | 331 | 376 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 12.0\% | 88.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $2.543^{b}$ | 1 | .111 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction |  |  |  |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 1.996 | 1 | .158 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test | 2.396 | 1 | .122 |  |  |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 2.536 |  | 1 | .111 |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 376 |  |  | .145 | .081 |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 11.61 .

## Would $\mathbf{R}$ vote for or against renewal today? * Does R get info from radio?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RADIO Does R get info from radio? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 131 | 148 | 279 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 47.0\% | 53.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 53 | 44 | 97 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 54.6\% | 45.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 184 | 192 | 376 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would $R$ vote for or against renewal today? | 48.9\% | 51.1\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $1.701^{b}$ | 1 | .192 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.408 | 1 | .235 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 1.703 | 1 | .192 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | .197 | .118 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 1.697 | 1 | .193 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 376 |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 47.47 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Does R get info from television?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | TELE D from $t$ | get info ion? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes | Total |
|  |  | Count | 83 | 195 | 278 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 29.9\% | 70.1\% | 100.0\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 33 | 64 | 97 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 34.0\% | 66.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 116 | 259. | 375 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 30.9\% | 69.1\% | 100.0\% |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $.584^{b}$ | 1 | .445 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ | .405 | 1 | .524 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | .577 | 1 | .447 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | .447 | .261 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .582 | 1 | .445 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 375 |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 30.01 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Does R get info from advertising?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | AD Does $R$ get info from advertising? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 132 | 147 | 279 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 47.3\% | 52.7\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 58 | 39 | 97 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 59.8\% | 40.2\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 190 | 186 | 376 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 50.5\% | 49.5\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df. | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $4.486^{b}$ | 1 | .034 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4.001 | 1 | .045 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 4.510 | 1 | .034 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | .045 | .023 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 4.474 | 1 | .034 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 376 |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 47.98 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Does R get info from community meetings?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | COMMEET Does R get info from community meetings? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 200 | 79 | 279 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 71.7\% | 28.3\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 71 | 24 | 95 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 74.7\% | 25.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 271 | 103 | 374 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 72.5\% | 27.5\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $.331^{b}$ | 1 | .565 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | .196 | 1 | .658 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | .335 | 1 | .563 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | .597 | .332 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .330 | 1 | .566 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 374 |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 26.16 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Does R get info from canidates or reps?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | PERSON Does R get info from canidates or reps? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 165 | 114 | 279 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 59.1\% | 40.9\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 62 | 35 | 97 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 63.9\% | 36.1\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 227 | 149 | 376 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 60.4\% | 39.6\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $.687^{b}$ | 1 | .407 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | .502 | 1 | .479 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | .692 | 1 | .406 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | .470 | .240 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .685 | 1 | .408 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 376 |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 38.44 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Does R get info from mail?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | MAIL Does R get info from mail? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 82 | 196 | 278 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 29.5\% | 70.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 44 | 53 | 97 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 45.4\% | 54.6\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 126 | 249 | 375 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 33.6\% | $66.4 \%$ | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $8.112^{b}$ | 1 | .004 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.416 | 1 | .000 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 7.891 | 1 | .005 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | .006 | .004 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 8.090 | 1 | .004 |  | , |
| N of Valid Cases | 375 |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 32.59 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Are there other sources of info the $R$ uses?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | OTHER Are there other sources of info the $\mathbf{R}$ uses? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 185 | 92 | 277 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 66.8\% | $33.2 \%$ | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 63 | 34 | 97 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 64.9\% | 35.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 248 | 126 | 374 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 66.3\% | 33.7\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $.109^{b}$ | 1 | .742 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ | .042 | 1 | .838 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | .108 | 1 | .742 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | .803 | .416 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .108 | 1 | .742 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 374 |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 32.68 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * What is source of other info?

## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | SOURINFO What is |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 5 Other | 7 Internet |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 39 | 11 | 101 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 38.6\% | 10.9\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 13 | 4 | 36 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would $R$ vote for or against renewal today? | 36.1\% | 11.1\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 52 | 15 | 137 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 38.0\% | 10.9\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $5.914^{a}$ | 4 | .206 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 5.618 | 4 | .230 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .137 | 1 | .711 |
| N of Valid Cases | 137 |  |  |

a. 3 cells $(30.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 2.63 .

Case Processing Summary


## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * View of money for new courses of study

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | NEWCOUR | iew of money |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Strongly disapprove | 2 Somewhat disapprove |
|  |  | Count |  | 9 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would $R$ vote for or against renewal today? |  | 3.7\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 11 | 5 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | $\%$ within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 13.6\% | 6.2\% |
|  |  | Count | 11 | 14 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 3.4\% | 4.3\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | NEWCOUR | w of money |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 Somewhat approve | 4 Strongly approve |
|  |  | Count | 92 | 144 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 37.6\% | 58.8\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 35 | 30 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 43.2\% | 37.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 127 | 174 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 39.0\% | 53.4\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 245 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 81 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 326 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $40.047^{a}$ | 3 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 37.777 | 3 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 30.110 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 326 |  |  |

a. 2 cells $(25.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 2.73 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * View of money for new programs of study

## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 253 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 75 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 328 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $32.342^{a}$ | 3 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 28.705 | 3 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 29.918 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 328 |  |  |

a. 2 cells $(25.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 1.83 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * View of money for training students to meet critical labor shortages

## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 271 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 85 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would $R$ vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 356 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $24.490^{a}$ | 3 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 21.703 | 3 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 24.401 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 356 |  |  |

a. 2 cells $(25.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 1.91 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * View of money for enhancing skills of current workforce

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ENHANCE <br> for enha | ew of money g skills of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Strongly disapprove | 2 Somewhat disapprove |
|  |  | Count | 19 | 15 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 6.9\% | 5.5\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 19 | 5 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 22.4\% | 5.9\% |
|  |  | Count | 38 | 20 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 10.6\% | 5.6\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ENHANCE View of money for enhancing skills of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 Somewhat approve | 4 Strongly approve |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 65 | 176 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 23.6\% | 64.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 21 | 40 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 24.7\% | 47.1\% |
| Total |  | Count | 86 | 216 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 23.9\% | 60.0\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| VOTE Would R vote for <br> or against renewal today? |  |  |  |
|  |  | Count | Total |
|  | \% within VOTE Would <br> R vote for or against <br> renewal today? | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Total | Count | 275 |  |
|  | \% within VOTE Would <br> R vote for or against <br> renewal today? | $100.0 \%$ |  |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $17.830^{a}$ | 3 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 15.740 | 3 | .001 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 15.598 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 360 |  |  |

a. 1 cells $(12.5 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 4.72 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * View of money for student scholarships

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | SCHOLAR | lew of money |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Strongly disapprove | 2 Somewhat disapprove |
|  |  | Count | 7 | 16 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 2.6\% | 5.9\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 10 | 5 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 11.8\% | 5.9\% |
|  |  | Count | 17 | 21 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 4.8\% | 5.9\% |

## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 271 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 85 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 356 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $12.375^{a}$ | 3 | .006 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 10.407 | 3 | .015 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 7.815 | 1 | .005 |
| N of Valid Cases | 356 |  |  |

a. 1 cells $(12.5 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 4.06 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * View of money for getting technology for classrooms

## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| VOTE Would R vote for <br> or against renewal today? | 1 For | Total |
|  | Count <br> \% within VOTE Would <br> R vote for or against <br> renewal today? | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  | 269 |
|  | \% within VOTE Would <br> R vote for or against <br> renewal today? | $100.0 \%$ |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $21.934^{a}$ | 3 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 18.856 | 3 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 19.118 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 350 |  |  |

a. 2 cells $(25.0 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 1.85 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * View of money for improving college operating systems

## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | OPSYS Vie improving co | f money for ge operating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 Somewhat approve | 4 Strongly approve |
|  |  | Count | 106 | 126 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 42.7\% | 50.8\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 29 | 32 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 40.3\% | 44.4\% |
|  |  | Count | 135 | 158 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 42.2\% | 49.4\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 248 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 72 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 320 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |



## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $9.523^{a}$ | 3 | .023 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 7.825 | 3 | .050 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 5.279 | 1 | .022 |
| N of Valid Cases | 320 |  |  |

a. 2 cells $(25.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 2.70 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * View of money for improving facilities

## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 265 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 75 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 340 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $22.374^{a}$ | 3 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 18.904 | 3 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 17.237 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 340 |  |  |

a. 2 cells $(25.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 3.75 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Do they want additional information about the renewal?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RECEIVE Do they want additional information about the renewal? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 No | 2 Yes |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 168 | 108 | 276 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 60.9\% | 39.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 52 | 44 | 96 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 54.2\% | 45.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 220 | 152 | 372 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 59.1\% | 40.9\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $1.324^{b}$ | 1 | .250 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction |  |  |  |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 1.061 | 1 | .303 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test | 1.315 | 1 | .251 |  |  |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 1.321 |  | 1 | .250 |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 372 |  |  | .279 | .151 |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 39.23.

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * GENDER Gender of respondent | 375 | 99.7\% | 1 | .3\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? <br> * RACE <br> White/Non-white Race | 376 | 100.0\% | 0 | .0\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * ZIP | 376 | 100.0\% | 0 | .0\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * GRAD Recoded educ (college grad, nongrad, votech) | 366 | 97.3\% | 10 | 2.7\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? <br> * NEWVALUE <br> Recoded Income Values | 347 | 92.3\% | 29 | 7.7\% | 376 | 100.0\% |

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Gender of respondent

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | GENDER Gender of respondent |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 Female | 1 Male |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 181 | 97 | 278 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 65.1\% | 34.9\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 66 | 31 | 97 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 68.0\% | 32.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 247 | 128 | 375 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 65.9\% | 34.1\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $.275^{b}$ | 1 | .600 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .160 | 1 | .689 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | .277 | 1 | .599 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | .621 | .347 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .274 | 1 | .600 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 375 |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 33.11.

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * White/Non-white Race

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | RACE White/Non-white Race |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Non-white | 2 White |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 15 | 264 | 279 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 5.4\% | 94.6\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 2 | 95 | 97 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 2.1\% | 97.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 17 | 359 | 376 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 4.5\% | 95.5\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $1.832^{b}$ | 1 | .176 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.144 | 1 | .285 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 2.138 | 1 | .144 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | .258 | .140 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 1.827 | .1 | .177 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 376 |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 1 cells $(25.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 4.39 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * ZIP

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ZIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 48009 | 48017 | 48025 |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 4 | 2 | 7 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1.4\% | .7\% | 2.5\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 5 | 3 | 8 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1.3\% | .8\% | 2.1\% |

## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ZIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 48076 | 48083 | 48084 |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 14 | 2 | 6 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 5.0\% | .7\% | 2.2\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 4 | 1 |  |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 4.1\% | 1.0\% |  |
| Total |  | Count | 18 | 3 | 6 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 4.8\% | .8\% | 1.6\% |

## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ZIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 48306 | 48307 | 48309 |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 6 | 6 | 13 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 2.2\% | 2.2\% | 4.7\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count - | 5 | 4 | 3 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 5.2\% | 4.1\% | 3.1\% |
| Total |  | Count | 11 | 10 | 16 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 2.9\% | 2.7\% | 4.3\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ZIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 48320 | 48322 | 48323 |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 1 | 4 | 5 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | . $4 \%$ | 1.4\% | 1.8\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? |  |  | 2.1\% |
| Total |  | Count | 1 | 4 | 7 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | . $3 \%$ | 1.1\% | 1.9\% |

## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ZIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 48334 | 48335 | 48336 |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 6 | 3 | 8 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 2.2\% | 1.1\% | 2.9\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 2.1\% | 2.1\% | 2.1\% |
| Total |  | Count | 8 | 5 | 10 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 2.1\% | 1.3\% | 2.7\% |

## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ZIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 48356 | 48357 | 48359 |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 4 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1.4\% | .7\% | 1.1\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? |  |  |  |
| Total |  | Count | 4 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1.1\% | . $5 \%$ | .8\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ZIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 48360 | 48362 | 48370 |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 4 | 5 | 1 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1.4\% | 1.8\% | .4\% |
|  |  | Count | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 2.1\% | 1.0\% |  |
| Total |  | Count | 6 | 6 | 1 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | .3\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ZIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 48371 | 48374 | 48375 |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 3 | 1 | 3 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1.1\% | . $4 \%$ | 1.1\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count |  |  | 1 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? |  |  | 1.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | . $8 \%$ | . $3 \%$ | 1.1\% |

## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ZIP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 48390 | 48393 | 48442 |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 2 |  | 2 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | .7\% |  | .7\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.1\% |
| Total |  | Count | 3 | 1 | 4 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | .8\% | . $3 \%$ | 1.1\% |

## Crosstab



## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $56.597^{a}$ | 63 | .702 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 66.769 | 63 | .349 |
| N of Valid Cases | 376 |  |  |

a. 108 cells $(84.4 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .26 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Recoded educ (college grad, nongrad, votech)

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | GRAD R (college gr | ded educ nongrad, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 HS or less | 2 College Grad |
|  |  | Count | 93 | 171 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 33.9\% | 62.4\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 40 | 46 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 43.5\% | 50.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 133 | 217 |
| Total |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 36.3\% | 59.3\% |

## Crosstab



## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $4.812^{a}$ | 2 | .090 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 4.704 | 2 | .095 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .214 | 1 | .644 |
| N of Valid Cases | 366 |  |  |

a. 1 cells $(16.7 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 4.02 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Recoded Income Values

## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Crosstab



## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $1.163^{a}$ | 4 | .884 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 1.144 | 4 | .887 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .954 | 1 | .329 |
| N of Valid Cases | 347 |  |  |

a. 1 cells $(10.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 3.76 .

## Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | $\mathbf{N}$ | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * ATOCC Has R ever attended OCC? | 368 | 97.9\% | 8 | 2.1\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * FFOCC Has R's family or friends attended OCC? | 365 | 97.1\% | 11 | 2.9\% | 376 | 100.0\% |

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Has Rever attended OCC?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | ATOCC Has $R$ ever attended OCC? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 197 | 78 | 275 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 71.6\% | 28.4\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 67 | 26 | 93 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 72.0\% | 28.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 264 | 104 | 368 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 71.7\% | 28.3\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $.006^{b}$ | 1 | .940 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .000 | 1 | 1.000 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | .006 | 1 | .940 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | 1.000 | .527 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | .006 | 1 | .940 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 368 |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 26.28 .

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Has R's family or friends attended OCC?

|  |  |  | FFOCC Has R's family or friends attended OCC? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 No | 1 Yes |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 76 | 197 | 273 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 27.8\% | 72.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 32 | 60 | 92 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 34.8\% | 65.2\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 108 | 257 | 365 |
|  |  | \% within VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 29.6\% | 70.4\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (2-sided) | Exact Sig. <br> (1-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $1.592^{b}$ | 1 | .207 |  |  |
| Continuity Correction $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1.277 | 1 | .259 |  |  |
| Likelihood Ratio | 1.561 | 1 | .212 |  |  |
| Fisher's Exact Test |  |  |  | .235 | .130 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 1.588 | $I$ | .208 |  |  |
| N of Valid Cases | 365 |  |  |  |  |

a. Computed only for a $2 \times 2$ table
b. 0 cells $(.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 27.22 .

## Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * FOR Reason to vote for renewal? | 278 | 73.9\% | 98 | 26.1\% | 376 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * AGAINST Reason to vote against? | 375 | 99.7\% | 1 | .3\% | 376 | 100.0\% |

## Would R vote for or against renewal today? * Reason to vote for renewal?

## Crosstab



## Crosstab

|  |  |  | FOR Reason to vote for |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 4 Economic conditions | 5 Other |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 32 | 46 | 273 |
|  |  | \% within FOR Reason to vote for renewal? | 97.0\% | 93.9\% | 98.2\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 1 | 3 | 5 |
|  |  | \% within FOR Reason to vote for renewal? | 3.0\% | 6.1\% | 1.8\% |
| Total |  | Count | 33 | 49 | 278 |
|  |  | \% within FOR Reason to vote for renewal? | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $7.347^{a}$ | 3 | .062 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 6.233 | 3 | .101 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 6.554 | 1 | .010 |
| N of Valid Cases | 278 |  |  |

a. 4 cells $(50.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .38 .

## Would $\mathbf{R}$ vote for or against renewal today? * Reason to vote against?

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | AGAINS | Reason to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Skipped | 2 Raising taxes |
|  |  | Count | 27 | 23 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within AGAINST <br> Reason to vote against? | 23.7\% | 100.0\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 87 |  |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within AGAINST <br> Reason to vote against? | 76.3\% |  |
|  |  | Count | 114 | 23 |
| Total |  | \% within AGAINST <br> Reason to vote against? | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

```
*r
```

* 


## Crosstab

|  |  |  | AGAINST | ason to vote |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 Money being misused | 4 Tax purpose being misrepresented |
|  |  | Count | 34 | 2 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within AGAINST <br> Reason to vote against? | 94.4\% | 100.0\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 2 |  |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within AGAINST <br> Reason to vote against? | 5.6\% |  |
|  |  | Count | 36 | 2 |
| Total |  | \% within AGAINST <br> Reason to vote against? | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  | AGAINST | Reason to vote |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 5 Other | 6 Don't know |
|  |  | Count | 21 | 171 |
| VOTE Would R vote for | 1 For | \% within AGAINST <br> Reason to vote against? | 91.3\% | 96.6\% |
| or against renewal today? |  | Count | 2 | 6 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within AGAINST <br> Reason to vote against? | 8.7\% | 3.4\% |
|  |  | Count | 23 | 177 |
| Total |  | \% within AGAINST <br> Reason to vote against? | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Crosstab

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| VOTE Would R vote for <br> or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | Total |
|  | \% within AGAINST <br> Reason to vote against? | $74.1 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| \% within AGAINST <br> Reason to vote against? | 278 |  |  |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. <br> (2-sided) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $217.944^{a}$ | 5 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 222.488 | 5 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear <br> Association | 155.258 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 375 |  |  |

a. 2 cells $(16.7 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is .52 .

Oakland Community College Office of Institutional Research

QUESTIONNAIRE
Farmington Hills, MI 48834

Interviewer: Fill in the following information after the survey has been completed.

1. Today's date: (Date completed)


DATE (6)
2. Respondent's Identification Number:


## Introduction:

Hello, this is $\qquad$ calling from Oakland Community College. We are calling randomly selected registered voters to ask their views about higher education in the Oakland County. My questions are brief and should only take a few minutes to complete.
l'd like you to know that this interview is confidential and completely voluntary. If we should come to any question that you don't want to answer, let me know and we'll go on to the next question.

1. First, I would like to ask you about where you get information before you decide to vote about education issues on the ballot. Can you tell me. "yes" or "no" if each of following is a source that you obtain information from before making your decision to vote?

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a. Newspapers (editorials/articles): paper (1) | 1 | 0 |
| b. Radio (editorials/newscasts/talk shows) radlo (1) | 1 | 0 |
| c. Television (editorials/newscasts) Tele (1) | 1 | 0 |
| d. Advertising (public media: TV, radio, newspapers) Ad(1) | 1 | 0 |
| e. Community Meetings Commet (1) | 1 | 0 |
| f. Personal contacts w/candidates or representatives perjonh(i) | 0 |  |
| g. Direct mail sent to your home mall (1) | 1 | 0 |

2. Are there other sources that I did not ask you? OiH22 (1)

1 $\qquad$ Yes
$\square$ No (Skip to question \#4)
3. Can you identify what other sources of information assist you with your sour info (1) voting choices (probe)?

4. Still thinking about sources of information you reference for your voting decision, can you tell me if you think each of following is a Very reliable, Somewhat reliable, Somewhat unreliable, or Very unreliable source?


Now ld like to ask you some more specific questions about taxes related to higher education in Oakland County...
5. Often when a tax issue in on the ballot, it's to RENEW a current millage, NOT to increase taxes. In June of 2001, Oakland Community College will ask voters for a property tax renewal of eight-tenths of a mill. Do you plan to vote "for" or "against" it?

1 $\qquad$ For
2 $\square$ Against (Skip to question \#7)
$\square$ Don't know/Undecided (Skip to question \#8)
6. Can you tell me any reasons why you would vote "for" this issue? for (1)

7. Can you tell me any reasons why you would vote "against" this issue?
against (1)


Next, I would like you to focus on how Oakland Community College might allocate monies if millage renewal was supported.
8. Please tell me how much you approve tax money being used for each of the following expenses. Tell me if you would Strongly Approve, Somewhat Approve, Somewhat Disapprove, or Strongly Disapprove money being spent on...

| new lour (1) | a. New courses of study |
| :--- | :--- |
| new prog (1) | b. New programs of study |
| R AI: (i) | b. Training students to meet <br> critical labor shortages |
| en hance (1) | c. Enhancing skills of <br> employees currently in the <br> workforce |

scholar (1) tech (1) opsys (1)
d. Student scholarships
e. Acquiring state of the art technology for the classroom
f. Improvement of college operating systems
impfac (1) g. Improvement of facilities


4

43
4

4

4
43

4
3
2
$2 \cdot 1$

4
4

| Somewhat <br> Approve | Somewhat <br> Disapprove | Strongly <br> Disapprove | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |

11. Have any of your family members or close friends attended Oakland Community College?

1 $\qquad$ Yes

0 No

9 $\square$ Don't know/No answer provided
$\operatorname{edvc}$ (1) 12. Please tell me the highest level of education you have completed:

1 Grades 1-8 or less
2 ___ Some high school
3 ___ High school graduate
4 ___ Vocational or technical school
5 ____College graduate
6 ___ Post-graduate
g___ Don't know/ No answer provided

Those are all of the questions I have. Thank you for sharing your opinions and have a nice day!

Record Gender:
${ }^{1}$ ____Mamie

Whestion \#3

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1- Skipped (Not applicabie) } \\
& \text { 2- Eamily/Relatimes } \\
& \text { 3- Coworkers/Friends } \\
& 4 \text { - No } \\
& 5 \text { - OTHer } \\
& 6 \text { - Dowt knaw } \\
& 7 \text { - Enternet }
\end{aligned}
$$

Questron $\# 6$
1- Skipped (Not applicabi)
2 - Value edration (assist college
3 - College is estaslisud
4- Economic condifiow

$$
5-\text { OTHER }
$$

6 - Duit know/No
Question \#7
1- Skipped (Not appluculk)
$z$ - Rosing taves
3- Monei being misuoed
4- Tpx parpare berng mis acpresented

$$
5-0 \text { TBeR }
$$

6 - Dan't know
(1) estion $\# 9$
i-No
$2-1 / e^{j}$
$9-B / \mathrm{m} k$ /missing/DCu' know

2001 millage: Public Opinion Doll

Vaniable Name
(1) Date
(3) 10
(3) paper
(4) radio
(5) tele
(6) $\Delta d$
(2) commeet
(8) person
(9) mall
(10) other
(1) Sourinfo
(42) relnews
(B) re/ rad
(17) re/tele
(15) $r e / f a m$
(16) rel comm
(7) relphone
(is) rel mall
(13) vate
(20) for
(21) against
(22) new cour
(23) new prog
(24) Train
(5) enhance
(26) scholar
(27) tech
(30) opsijs
(69) impfac
(30) recelve
(31) atocc
(32) ffocc
(3) educ
(34) gender
$\qquad$ .
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
\#of key strokes
6
4
1
1
1
1
1
1

1 $\qquad$

1
i
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
 $\qquad$
............. .-.. - - - - .... - - .-....
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ 1 $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
1
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad-\quad 1$ $\qquad$

- ...... . .. - .-... .-..........
1
$\qquad$
- … ....-. - - - - - -1 $\qquad$
1
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ --...-........
$\qquad$
1
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
1
$\qquad$


## NEWPROG View of money for new programs of study

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 Strongly disapprove | 11 | Percent | Valid Percent | Frency |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 14 | 3.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 125 | 31.7 | 4.1 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 189 | 48.0 | 36.9 | 44.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 339 | 86.0 | 100.8 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 55 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |



ENHANCE View of money for enhancing skills of current workforce


## SCHOLAR View of money for student scholarships

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Strongly disapprove | 2 Somewhat disapprove |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 19 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 85 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 245 | 62.2 | 23.0 | 33.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 Don't know | 370 | 93.9 | 100.2 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tota! |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 6.1 |  |  |

TECH View of money for getting technology for classrooms

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 3.0 |  |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 11 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 6.1 |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 106 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 35.3 |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 235 | 59.6 | 29.2 | 64.7 |
|  | Total | 363 | 92.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 30 | 7.6 |  |  |
|  | System | 1 | .3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 31 | 7.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

OPSYS View of money for improving college operating systems

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 Strongly disapprove | 15 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 18 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 10.0 |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 138 | 35.0 | 41.8 | 51.8 |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 159 | 40.4 | 48.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 330 | 83.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 61 | 15.5 |  |  |
|  | System | 3 | . 8 |  |  |
|  | Total | 64 | 16.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

IMPFAC View of money for improving facilities

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | F Strongly disapprove | 21 | Percent | Valid Percent | 6.3 |
|  | 6.0 | 12.3 |  |  |  |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 22 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 55.4 |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 151 | 38.3 | 43.1 | 100.0 |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 156 | 39.6 | 44.6 |  |
|  | Total | 350 | 88.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 43 | 10.9 |  |  |
|  | System | 1 | .3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 44 | 11.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |



## Crosstabs

## Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELNEWS How reliable is newspaper as a source of info? | 381 | 96.7\% | 13 | 3.3\% | 394 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELRAD How reliable is radio as a source of info? | 320 | 81.2\% | 74 | 18.8\% | 394 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today?* RELTELE How reliable is television as a source of info? | 359 | 91.1\% | 35 | 8.9\% | 394 | $100.0 \%$ |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELFAM How reliable are family members as a source of info? | 338 | 85.8\% | 56 | 14.2\% | 394 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELCOM How reliable are community leaders as a source of info? | 342 | 86.8\% | 52 | 13.2\% | 394 | $100.0 \%$ |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELPHONE How reliable are telephone campaigners as a source of info? | 356 | 90.4\% | 38 | 9.6\% | 394 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ \hdashline \end{gathered}$ |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELMAIL How reliable is mailed information? | 366 | 92.9\% | 28 | 7.1\% | 394 | $100.0 \%$ |

VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELNEWS How reliable is newspaper as a source of info? Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | RELNEWS ITow reliable is newspaper as a source of info? |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Very unreliable | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 10 | 36 | 186 | 41 | 273 |
|  |  | \% within RELNEWS How reliable is newspaper as a source of info? | 50.0\% | 75.0\% | 72.7\% | 71.9\% | 71.7\% |
|  | 2 Against | Count | 4 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 15 |
|  |  | \% within RELNEWS How reliable is newspaper as a source of info? | 20.0\% | 8.3\% | 2.3\% | 1.8\% | 3.9\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 6 | 8 | 64 | 15. | 93 |
|  |  | \% within RELNEWS How reliable is newspaper as a source of info? | 30.0\% | 16.7\% | 25.0\% | 26.3\% | 24.4\% |
| Total |  | Count | 20 | 48 | 256 | 57 | 381 |
|  |  | \% within RELNEWS How reliable is newspaper as a source of info? | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELRAD How reliable is radio as a source of info? Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | RELRAD How reliable is radio as a source of info? |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Very unreliable | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 12 | 40 | 155 | 21 | 228 |
|  |  | \% within RELRAD How reliable is radio as a source of info? | 50.0\% | 74.1\% | 73.1\% | 70.0\% | 71.3\% |
|  | 2 Against | Count | 3 | 5 | 5 |  | 13 |
|  |  | \% within RELRAD How reliable is radio as a source of info? | 12.5\% | 9.3\% | 2.4\% | . | 4.1\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 9 | 9 | 52 | 9 | 79 |
|  |  | \% within RELRAD How reliable is radio as a source of info? | 37.5\% | 16.7\% | 24.5\% | 30.0\% | 24.7\% |
| Total |  | Count | 24 | 54 | 212 | 30 | 320 |
|  |  | \% within RELRAD How reliable is radio as a source of info? | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELTELE How reliable is television as a source of info? Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | REL,TELE How reliable is television as a source of info? |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Very unreliable | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 20 | 54 | 161 | 24 | 259 |
|  |  | \% within RELTELE How reliable is television as a source of info? | 51.3\% | 81.8\% | 72.9\% | 72.7\% | 72.1\% |
|  |  | Count | 4 | 4 | 8 |  | 16 |
|  | 2 Against | \% within RELTELE How reliable is television as a source of info? | 10.3\% | 6.1\% | 3.6\% |  | 4.5\% |
|  |  | Count | 15 | 8 | 52 | 9 | 84 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within RELTELE How reliable is television as a source of info? | 38.5\% | $12.1 \%$ | 23.5\% | 27.3\% | 23.4\% |
|  |  | Count | 39 | 66 | 221 | 33 | 359 |
| Total |  | \% within RELTELE How reliable is television as a source of info? | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELFAM How reliable are family members as a source of info? Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | RELFAM How reliable are family members as a source of info? |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Very unreliable | 2 Somewhat unreliable | Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 21 | 23 | 111 | 87 | 242 |
|  |  | \% within RELFAM How reliable are family members as a source of info? | 70.0\% | 85.2\% | 73.0\% | 67.4\% | 71.6\% |
|  |  | Count | 1 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 13 |
|  | 2 Against | \% within RELFAM How reliable are family members as a source of info? | 3.3\% | 3.7\% | 4.6\% | 3.1\% | 3.8\% |
|  |  | Count | 8 | 3 | 34 | 38 | 83 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within RELFAM How reliable are family members as a source of info? | 26.7\% | 11.1\% | 22.4\% | 29.5\% | 24.6\% |
|  |  | Count | 30 | 27 | 152 | 129 | 338 |
| Total |  | \% within RELFAM How reliable are family members as a source of info? | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

VOTE Would $\mathbf{R}$ vote for or against renewal today? * RELCOM How reliable are community leaders as a source of info? Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | RELCOM How reliable are community leaders as a source of info? |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 Very unreliable | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 18 | 32 | 160 | 43 | 253 |
|  |  | \% within RELCOM How reliable are community leaders as a source of info? | 56.3\% | 65.3\% | 78.8\% | 74.1\% | 74.0\% |
|  | 2 Against | Count | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 12 |
|  |  | \% within RELCOM How reliable are community leaders as a source of info? | 9.4\% | 10.2\% | 1.0\% | 3.4\% | 3.5\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 11 | 12 | 41 | 13 | 77 |
|  |  | \% within RELCOM How reliable are community leaders as a source of info? | 34.4\% | 24.5\% | 20.2\% | 22.4\% | 22.5\% |
| Total |  | Count | 32 | 49 | 203 | 58 | 342 |
|  |  | \% within RELCOM How reliable are community leaders as a source of info? | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELPHONE How reliable are telephone campaigners as a source of info? Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | RELPIIONE How reliable are telephone campaigners as a source of info? |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { Very } \\ \text { unreliable } \end{gathered}$ | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 136 | 65 | 52 | 7 | 260 |
|  |  | \% within RELPHONE How reliable are telephone campaigners as a source of info? | 69.4\% | 75.6\% | 77.6\% | 100.0\% | 73.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 8 | 5 | I | . | 14 |
|  | 2 Against | \% within RELPHONE How reliable are telephone campaigners as a source of info? | 4.1\% | 5.8\% | 1.5\% | ! | 3.9\% |
|  |  | Count | 52 | 16 | 14 |  | 82 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within RELPHONE How reliable are telephone campaigners as a source of info? | 26.5\% | 18.6\% | 20.9\% | . | 23.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 196 | 86 | 67 | 7 | 356 |
| Total |  | \% within RELPHONE How reliable are telephone campaigners as a source of info? | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |



VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * RELMAIL How reliable is mailed information? Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | RELMAIL How reliable is mailed information? |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { Very } \\ \text { unreliable } \end{gathered}$ | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 3 Somewhat reliable | 4 Very reliable |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 39 | 48 | 140 | 40 | 267 |
|  |  | \% within RELMAIL How reliable is mailed information? | 65.0\% | 77.4\% | 73.3\% | 75.5\% | 73.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 3 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 15 |
|  | 2 Against | \% within RELMAIL How reliable is mailed information? | 5.0\% | 6.5\% | 3.7\% | 1.9\% | 4.1\% |
|  |  | Count | 18 | 10 | 44 | 12 | 84 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | \% within RELMAIL How reliable is mailed information? | 30.0\% | 16.1\% | 23.0\% | 22.6\% | 23.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 60 | -62 | 191 | 53 | 366 |
| Total |  | \% within RELMAIL How reliable is mailed information? | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Crosstabs

## Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? <br> *EDUC R's level of education | 381 | 96.7\% | 13 | 3.3\% | 394 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * GENDER Gender of respondent | 392 | 99.5\% | 2 | . $5 \%$ | 394 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? <br> * ETHNIC | 393 | 99.7\% | 1 | . $3 \%$ | 394 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? <br> * NEWVALUE <br> Recoded Income Values | 361 | 91.6\% | 33 | 8.4\% | 394 | 100.0\% |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? <br> * RENT | 393 | 99.7\% | 1 | . $3 \%$ | 394 | 100.0\% |



## VOTE Would $\mathbf{R}$ vote for or against renewal today? * EDUC R's level of education Crosstabulation

|  |  | EDUC R's level of education |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Grades } \\ & 1-8 \text { or less } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 Some high school | 3 High school graduate | 4 Vocational or technical school | 5 College graduate |
| 1 For | Count |  | 7 | 86 | 10 | 96 |
|  | \% within EDUC R's level of education |  | 58.3\% | - $67.2 \%$ | 58.8\% | 78.0\% |
| 2 Against | Count |  | 3 | 7 | 1 | 3 |
|  | \% within EDUC R's level of education |  | 25.0\% | 5.5\% | 5.9\% | 2.4\% |
| 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 3 | 2 | 35 | 6 | 24 |
|  | \% within EDUC R's level of education | 100.0\% | 16.7\% | 27.3\% | 35.3\% | 19.5\% |
| Total | Count | 3 | 12 | 128 | 17 | 123 |
|  | \% within EDUC R's level of education | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * EDUC R's level of education Crosstabulation

| - |  |  | EDUC R's6Post-graduate | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 75 | 274 |
|  |  | \% within EDUC R's level of education | 76.5\% | 71.9\% |
|  |  | Count | 1 | 15 |
|  | 2 Against | \% within EDUC R's level of education | 1.0\% | 3.9\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 22 | 92 |
|  |  | \% within EDUC R's level of education | 22.4\% | 24.1\% |
| Total |  | Count | 98 | 381 |
|  |  | \% within EDUC R's level of education | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * GENDER Gender of respondent Crosstabulation


## VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * ETHNIC Crosstabulation



VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? * NEWVALUE Recoded Income Values Crosstabulation


- call Greborer? Horsing

VOTE Would $\mathbf{R}$ vote for or against renewal today? * RENT Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | RENT |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 | R |  |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | Count | 263 | 16 | 279 |
|  |  | \% within RENT | 72.1\% | 57.1\% | 71.0\% |
|  | 2 Against | Count | 14 | 3 | 17 |
|  |  | \% within RENT | 3.8\% | 10.7\% | 4.3\% |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | Count | 88 | 9 | 97 |
|  |  | \% within RENT | 24.1\% | 32.1\% | 24.7\% |
| Total |  | Count | 365 | 28 | 393 |
|  |  | \% within RENT | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Statistics

|  |  | PAPER Does <br> R get info from newspapers? | RADIO Does R get info from radio? | TELE Does R get info from television? | AD Does $R$ get info from advertising? | COMMEET <br> Does R get info from community meetings? | PERSON <br> Does R get info from canidates or reps? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | Valid | 393 | 394 | 393 | 394 | 392 | 394 |
|  | Missing | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Mean |  | . 87 | . 51 | . 69 | . 49 | . 27 | . 39 |
| Median |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 00 | . 00 | . 00 |
| Mode |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Statistics

|  |  | MAIL Does R get info from mail? | OTHER Are there other sources of info the $\mathbf{R}$ uses? | SOURINFO <br> What is source of other info? | RELNEWS How reliable is newspaper as a source of info? | RELRAD How reliable is radio as a source of info? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | Valid | 393 | 392 | 141 | 381 | 320 |
|  | Missing | 1 | 2 | 253 | 13 | 74 |
| Mean |  | . 66 | . 33 | 4.19 | 2.92 | 2.78 |
| Median |  | 1.00 | . 00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Mode |  | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 3 |

## Statistics

|  |  | RELTELE How reliable is television as a source of info? | RELFAM <br> How reliable are family members as a source of info? | RELCOM <br> How reliable are community leaders as a source of info? | RELPHONE <br> How reliable are telephone campaigners as a source of info? | RELMAIL How reliable is mailed information? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | Valid | 359 | 338 | 342 | 356 | 366 |
|  | Missing | 35 | 56 | 52 | 38 | 28 |
| Mean |  | 2.69 | 3.12 | 2.84 | 1.68 | 2.65 |
| Median |  | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| Mode |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 |

Statistics

|  |  | VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | FOR Reason to vote for renewal? | AGAINST Reason to vote against? | NEWCOUR <br> View of money for new courses of study | NEWPROG <br> View of money for new programs of study |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | Valid | 393 | 278 | 393 | 336 | 339 |
|  | Missing | 1 | 116 | 1 | 58 | 55 |
| Mean |  | 3.02 | 2.84 | 3.87 | 3.40 | 3.45 |
| Median |  | 1.00 | 2.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Mode |  | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 |

## Statistics



Statistics


## Statistics

|  |  | GENDER Gender of respondent | ETHNIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | Valid | 393 | 394 |
|  | Missing | 1 | 0 |
| Mean |  | . 33 |  |
| Median |  | . 00 |  |
| Mode |  | 0 |  |

## Frequency Table

PAPER Does $\mathbf{R}$ get info from newspapers?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 0 No | 52 | 13.2 | 13.2 | 13.2 |
|  | 1 Yes | 341 | 86.5 | 86.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 393 | 99.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 1 | .3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

## RADIO Does R get info from radio?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 0 No | 194 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 49.2 |
|  | 1 Yes | 200 | 50.8 | 50.8 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 394 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

TELE Does R get info from television?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 No | 121 | 30.7 | 30.8 | 30.8 |
|  | 1 Yes | 272. | 69.0 | 69.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 393 | 99.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 1 | . 3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

AD Does $R$ get info from advertising?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 0 No | 199 | 50.5 | 50.5 | 50.5 |
|  | 1 Yes | 195 | 49.5 | 49.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 394 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

COMMEET Does $\mathbf{R}$ get info from community meetings?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 0 No | 285 | 72.3 | 72.7 | 72.7 |
|  | 1 Yes | 107 | 27.2 | 27.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 392 | 99.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 2 | .5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

PERSON Does R get info from canidates or reps?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 0 No | 239 | 60.7 | 60.7 | 60.7 |
|  | 1 Yes | 155 | 39.3 | 39.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 394 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

MAIL Does R get info from mail?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 No | 132 | 33.5 | 33.6 | 33.6 |
|  | 1 Yes | 261 | 66.2 | 66.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 393 | 99.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 1 | . 3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

OTHER Are there other sources of info the $\mathbf{R}$ uses?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 0 No | 262 | 66.5 | 66.8 | 66.8 |
|  | F Yes | 130 | 33.0 | 33.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 392 | 99.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing |  | System | 2 | .5 |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

## SOURINFO What is source of other info?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 2 Family/relatives | 16) | 4.1 | 11.3 | 11.3 |
|  | 3 Coworkers/friends | 45 | 11.4 | 31.9 | 43.3 |
|  | 4 No | 10 | 2.5 | 7.1 | 50.4 |
|  | 5 Other | (53) | 13.5 | 37.6 | 87.9 |
|  | 7 Internet | (17) | 4.3 | 12.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 141 | 35.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 1 Skipped | 251 | 63.7 |  |  |
|  | 6 Don't know | 1 | . 3 |  |  |
|  | System | 1 | . 3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 253 | 64.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

RELNEWS How reliable is newspaper as a source of info?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 5.2 |  |
|  | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 20 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 17.8 |
|  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 48 | 12.2 | 12.6 | 85.0 |
|  | 4 Very reliable | 256 | 65.0 | 67.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 57 | 14.5 | 15.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 381 | 96.7 | 100.0 |  |
|  | System | 10 | 2.5 |  |  |
|  | Total | 3 | .8 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 13 | 3.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

RELRAD How reliable is radio as a source of info?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 1 Very unreliable | 24 | 6.1 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
|  | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 54 | 13.7 | 16.9 | 24.4 |
|  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 212 | 53.8 | 66.3 | 90.6 |
|  | 4 Very reliable | 30 | 7.6 | 9.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 320 | 81.2 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 71 | 18.0 |  |  |
|  | System | 3 | .8 |  |  |
|  | Total | 74 | 18.8 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

RELTELE How reliable is television as a source of info?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 1 Very unreliable | 39 | 9.9 | 10.9 | 10.9 |
|  | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 66 | 16.8 | 18.4 | 29.2 |
|  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 221 | 56.1 | 61.6 | 90.8 |
|  | 4 Very reliable | 33 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 359 | 91.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 32 | 8.1 |  |  |
|  | System | 3 | .8 |  |  |
|  | Total | 35 | 8.9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

RELFAM How reliable are family members as a source of info?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 1 Very unreliable | 30 | 7.6 | 8.9 | 8.9 |
|  | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 27 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 16.9 |
|  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 152 | 38.6 | 45.0 | 61.8 |
|  | 4 Very reliable | 129 | 32.7 | 38.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 338 | 85.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 54 | 13.7 |  |  |
|  | System | 2 | .5 |  |  |
|  | Total | 56 | 14.2 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |

RELCOM How reliable are community leaders as a source of info?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 Very unreliable | 32 | 8.1 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
|  | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 49 | 12.4 | 14.3 | 23.7 |
|  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 203 | 51.5 | 59.4 | 83.0 |
|  | 4 Very reliable | 58 | 14.7 | 17.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 342 | 86.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 49 | 12.4 |  |  |
|  | System | 3 | . 8 |  |  |
|  | Total | 52 | 13.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

## RELPHONE How reliable are telephone campaigners as a source of info?

|  |  |  |  | Fumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 1 Very unreliable | 196 | 49.7 | 55.1 | 55.1 |
|  | 2 Somewhat unreliable | 86 | 21.8 | 24.2 | 79.2 |
|  | 3 Somewhat reliable | 67 | 17.0 | 18.8 | 98.0 |
|  | 4 Very reliable | 7 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 356 | 90.4 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 37 | 9.4 |  |  |
|  | System | 1 | .3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 38 | 9.6 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

RELMAIL How reliable is mailed information?

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 16.4 |  |
|  | 2 Sery unreliable | 60 | 15.2 | 16.4 | 33.3 |
|  | 3 Somewhat unreliable | 62 | 15.7 | 16.9 | 85.5 |
|  | 4 Very reliable | 191 | 48.5 | 52.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 53 | 13.5 | 14.5 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 366 | 92.9 | 100.0 |  |
|  | System | 26 | 6.6 |  |  |
|  | Total | 2 | .5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 28 | 7.1 |  |  |

VOTE Would $\mathbf{R}$ vote for or against renewal today?

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 1 For | 279 | 70.8 | 71.0 |  |
|  | 2 Against | 17 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 75.3 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | 97 | 24.6 | 24.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 393 | 99.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 1 | .3 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |

FOR Reason to vote for renewal?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 2 Value education/assist <br> college | 175 | 44.4 | 62.9 | 62.9 |
|  | 3 College is established | 21 | 5.3 | 7.6 | 70.5 |
|  | 4 Economic conditions | 33 | 8.4 | 11.9 | 82.4 |
|  | 5 Other | 49 | 12.4 | 17.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 278 | 70.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 1 Skipped | 102 | 25.9 |  |  |
|  | 6 Don't know | 14 | 3.6 |  |  |
|  | Total | 116 | 29.4 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |

AGAINST Reason to vote against?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 Skipped | 115 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 29.3 |
|  | 2 Raising taxes | 28 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 36.4 |
|  | 3 Money being misused | (39) | 9.9 | 9.9 | 46.3 |
|  | 4 Tax purpose being misrepresented | (2) | . 5 | . 5 | 46.8 |
|  | 5 Other | (31) | 7.9 | 7.9 | 54.7 |
|  | 6 Don't know | 178 | 45.2 | 45.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 393 | 99.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 1 | . 3 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

NEWCOUR View of money for new courses of study

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 1 Strongly disapprove | 13 | Percent | Valid Percent | 3.3 |
|  | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 16 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 8.6 |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 130 | 33.0 | 38.7 | 47.3 |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 177 | 44.9 | 52.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 336 | 85.3 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 57 | 14.5 |  |  |
|  | System | 1 | .3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 58 | 14.7 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

NEWPROG View of money for new programs of study

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 11 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 125 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 44.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 189 | 48.0 | 36.9 | 55.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 339 | 86.0 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 55 | 14.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

TRAIN View of money for training students to meet critical labor shortages

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 5.1 |  |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 19 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 7.8 |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 10 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 28.4 |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 76 | 19.3 | 20.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 265 | 67.3 | 71.6 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | System | 270 | 93.9 | 100.0 |

ENHANCE View of money for enhancing skills of current workforce

|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 Strongly disapprove | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 11.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 21 | 10.4 | 11.0 | 17.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 89 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 41.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 219 | 55.6 | 23.9 | 58.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 373 | 94.7 | 100.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 21 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

SCHOLAR View of money for student scholarships

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 Strongly disapprove | 19 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 21 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 10.8 |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 85 | 21.6 | 23.0 | 33.8 |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 245 | 62.2 | 66.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 370 | 93.9 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 24 | 6.1 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Percent | Valid Percent | 3.0 |  |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 11 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 6.1 |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 11 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 35.3 |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 235 | 26.9 | 29.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 363 | 99.6 | 64.7 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 30 | 7.6 | 100.0 |  |
|  | System | 1 | .3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 31 | 7.9 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |

OPSYS View of money for improving college operating systems

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 Strongly disapprove | 15 | 3.8 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 18 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 10.0 |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 138 | 35.0 | 41.8 | 51.8 |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 159 | 40.4 | 48.2 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 330 | 83.8 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 61 | 15.5 |  |  |
|  | System | 3 | . 8 |  |  |
|  | Total | 64 | 16.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

IMPFAC View of money for improving facilities

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | 6.0 |  |
|  | 2 Somewhat disapprove | 21 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 12.3 |
|  | 3 Somewhat approve | 151 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 55.4 |
|  | 4 Strongly approve | 156 | 39.3 | 43.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 350 | 88.8 | 44.6 | 100.0 |
| Missing | 9 Don't know | 43 | 10.9 |  |  |
|  | System | 1 | .3 |  |  |
|  | Total | 44 | 11.2 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

RECEIVE Do they want additional information about the renewal?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 No | 235 | 59.6 | 60.3 | 60.3 |
|  | 2 Yes | 155 | 39.3 | 39.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 390 | 99.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Blank/Missing/Don't know | 2 | . 5 |  |  |
|  | System | 2 | . 5 |  |  |
|  | Total | 4 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

## ATOCC Has R ever attended OCC?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 No | 275 | 69.8 | 71.6 | 71.6 |
|  | 1 Yes | 109 | 27.7 | 28.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 384 | 97.5 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know/NAP | 10 | 2.5 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

FFOCC Has R's family or friends attended OCC?

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 0 No | 113 | 28.7 | 29.6 | 29.6 |
|  | 1 Yes | 269 | 68.3 | 70.4 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 382 | 97.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know/NAP | 12 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

EDUC R's level of education

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 Grades 1-8 or less | 3 | . 8 | . 8 | . 8 |
|  | 2 Some high school | 12 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.9 |
|  | 3 High school graduate | 128 | 32.5 | 33.5 | 37.4 |
|  | 4 Vocational or technical school | 17 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 41.9 |
|  | 5 College graduate | 124 | 31.5 | 32.5 | 74.3 |
|  | 6 Post-graduate | 98 | 24.9 | 25.7 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 382 | 97.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 Don't know/NAP | 10 | 2.5 |  |  |
|  | System | 2 | . 5 |  |  |
|  | Total | 12 | 3.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

GENDER Gender of respondent

|  |  |  |  |  | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | 0 Female | 262 | 66.5 | Palid Percent | 66.7 |
|  | 1 Male | 131 | 33.2 | 33.3 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 393 | 99.7 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing |  | System | 1 | .3 |  |
| Total |  | 394 | 100.0 |  |  |

## ETHNIC

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Valid | B | 18 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
|  | H | 2 | .5 | .5 | 5.1 |
|  | W | 374 | 94.9 | 94.9 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 394 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Likelihood Ratio Tests

| Effect | -2 Log <br> Likelihood of <br> Reduced <br> Model | Chi-Square | df | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | 46.800 | .000 | 0 | . mor |
| GENDER | 52.495 | 5.694 | 2 | .058 |
| RACE | 50.975 | 4.174 | 2 | .124 |
| GRAD | 57.397 | 10.597 | 4 | .031 |

The chi-square statistic is the difference in $\mathbf{- 2}$ log-likelihoods between the final model and a reduced model. The reduced model is formed by omitting an effect from the final model. The null hypothesis is that all parameters of that effect are 0 .

Parameter Estimates

| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? |  | B | Std. Error | Wald | df | Sig. | $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathrm{B})$ | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ Confidence Interval for $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathbf{B})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower Bound |  |  |  |  |  | Upper Bound |
| 1 For ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Intercept |  | . 457 | . 561 | . 664 | $I$ | . 415 |  |  |  |
|  | [GENDER=0] | -. 116 | . 260 | . 199 | 1 | . 656 | . 890 | . 535 | 1.483 |
|  | [GENDER=1] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . | . | . |
|  | [RACE=1] | . 976 | . 776 | 1.580 | 1 | . 209 | 2.654 | . 579 | 12.156 |
|  | [RACE=2] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . | . | . |
|  | [GRAD=1] | . 455 | . 561 | . 657 | 1 | .418 | 1.576 | . 525 | 4.734 |
|  | [GRAD=2] | . 884 | . 552 | 2.566 | $I$ | . 109 | 2.421 | . 821 | 7.145 |
|  | [GRAD=4] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . | . | . |
|  | Intercept | -3.830 | 1.524 | 6.314 | 1 | . 012 |  |  |  |
|  | [GENDER=0] | 1.807 | 1.063 | 2.891 | 1 | . 089 | 6.091 | . 759 | 48.893 |
|  | [GENDER=1] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . | . | . |
|  | [RACE=1] | 2.218 | 1.097 | 4.092 | 1 | . 043 | 9.192 | 1.071 | 78.873 |
|  | [RACE=2] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . | . | . |
|  | [GRAD=1] | . 841 | 1.211 | . 482 | 1 | . 487 | 2.319 | . 216 | 24.907 |
|  | [GRAD $=2$ ] | -. 255 | 1.254 | . 041 | 1 | . 839 | . 775 | $6.636 E-02$ | 9.042 |
|  | [GRAD=4] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . | . | . |

a. This parameter is set to zero because it is redundant.


## Nominal Regression

## Warnings

Unexpected singularities in the
Hessian matrix are encountered.
There may be a quasi-complete
separation in the data. Some
parameter estimates will tend to
The NOMREG procedure continues
despite the above warning(s).
Subsequent results shown are based
on the last iteration. Validity of the
model fit is uncertain.
Case Processing Summary

|  |  | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| VOTE Would R vote <br> for or against renewal <br> today? | 1 For | 273 |
|  | 2 Against | 15 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | 92 |
| GENDER Gender of <br> respondent | 0 Female | 255 |
|  | 1 Male | 125 |
| GRAD Recoded educ <br> (college grad, nongrad, <br> votech) | 1 HS or less | 143 |
|  | 2 College Grad | 220 |
|  | 4 Votech school | 17 |
| Valid | 380 |  |
| Missing | 14 |  |
| Total | 394 |  |

Model Fitting Information

| Model | -2 Log <br> Likelihood | Chi-Square | df | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept Only | 52.620 |  |  |  |
| Final | 34.202 | 18.418 | 10 | .048 |

## Pseudo R-Square

| Cox and Snell | .047 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nagelkerke | .062 |
| McFadden | .034 |

## Likelihood Ratio Tests

| Effect | -2 Log <br> Likelihood of <br> Reduced <br> Model | Chi-Square | df | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | $34.202^{a}$ | .000 | 0 | . |
| GENDER | $34.202^{a}$ | .000 | 0 | . |
| GRAD | $34.202^{a}$ | .000 | 0 | - |
| GENDER *GRAD | 35.881 | 1.679 | 4 | .795 |

The chi-square statistic is the difference in $\mathbf{- 2} \log$-likelihoods between the final model and a reduced model. The reduced model is formed by omitting an effect from the final model. The null hypothesis is that all parameters of that effect are 0 .
a. Unexpected singularities in the Hessian matrix are encountered. There may be a quasi-complete separation in the data. Some parameter estimates will tend to infinity.

Parameter Estimates

| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? |  | B | Std. Error | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 For | Intercept | . 405 | . 913 | . 197 | 1 | . 657 |  |
|  | [GENDER=0] | . 154 | 1.107 | . 019 | 1 | . 889 | 1.167 |
|  | [GENDER=1] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . |
|  | [GRAD=1] | . 362 | . 973 | . 138 | 1 | . 710 | 1.436 |
|  | [GRAD $=2$ ] | 1.114 | . 959 | 1.349 | 1 | . 245 | 3.048 |
|  | [GRAD=4] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . |
|  | [GENDER=0] * [GRAD=1] | -4.286E-02 | 1.180 | . 001 | 1 | . 971 | . 958 |
|  | [GENDER=0] * [GRAD=2] | -. 476 | 1.164 | . 168 | 1 | . 682 | . 621 |
|  | [GENDER=0] * [GRAD=4] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . |
|  | [GENDER=1] * [GRAD=1] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . |
|  | [GENDER=1] * [GRAD=2] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . |
|  | [GENDER=1] * [GRAD=4] | $0^{a}$ | - | . | 0 | . | . |
| 2 Against | Intercept | -18.411 | 1680.888 | . 000 | 1 | . 991 |  |
|  | [GENDER=0] | 17.024 | 1680.888 | . 000 | 1 | . 992 | 24752077 |
|  | [GENDER=1] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . |
|  | [GRAD=1] | 15.846 | 1680.889 | . 000 | 1 | . 992 | 7616023.8 |
|  | [GRAD $=2$ ] | . 859 | 1.237 | . 482 | 1 | . 488 | 2.360 |
|  | [GRAD=4] | $0^{a}$ |  | . | 0 | . | . |
|  | [GENDER=0] * [GRAD=1] | -15.558 | 1680.888 | . 000 | 1 | . 993 | 1.751E-07 |
|  | [GENDER=0] * [GRAD=2] | -1.552 | . 000 | . | 1 | . | . 212 |
|  | [GENDER=0] * [GRAD=4] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . |
|  | [GENDER=1] * [GRAD=1] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . |
|  | [GENDER=1] * [GRAD=2] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . |
|  | [GENDER $=1$ ] * [GRAD=4] | $0^{a}$ |  |  | 0 | . |  |

## Parameter Estimates

| VOTE Would $R$ vote for or against renewal today? |  | 95\% Confidence Interval for $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathbf{B})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| 1 For | Intercept |  |  |
|  | [GENDER=0] | . 133 | 10.221 |
|  | [GENDER=1] | . |  |
|  | [GRAD=1] | . 213 | 9.661 |
|  | [GRAD=2] | . 465 | 19.979 |
|  | [GRAD=4] | . | . |
|  | [GENDER $=0$ ] * [GRAD $=1$ ] | 9.493E-02 | 9.669 |
|  | [GENDER=0] * [GRAD=2] | 6.349E-02 | 6.076 |
|  | [GENDER $=0$ ] * [GRAD=4] | . |  |
|  | [GENDER=1] * [GRAD=1] |  |  |
|  | [GENDER=1] * [GRAD=2] | . |  |
|  | [GENDER=1] * [GRAD=4] |  |  |
| 2 Against | Intercept |  |  |
|  | [GENDER=0] | . 000 | .$^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | [GENDER=1] | . | . |
|  | [GRAD=1] | . 000 | . ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
|  | [GRAD=2] | . 209 | 26.684 |
|  | [GRAD=4] | . |  |
|  | [GENDER=0] * [GRAD=1] | . 000 | .$^{b}$ |
|  | [GENDER=0] * [GRAD=2] | . 212 | . 212 |
|  | [GENDER=0] * [GRAD=4] | . | . |
|  | [GENDER=1] * [GRAD=1] | . | . |
|  | [GENDER=1] * [GRAD=2] | . | . |
|  | [GENDER=1] * [GRAD=4] |  |  |

a. This parameter is set to zero because it is redundant.
b. Floating point overflow occurred while computing this statistic. Its value is therefore set to system missing.

## Nominal Regression

Case Processing Summary

|  |  | N |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| VOTE Would R <br> vote for or against <br> renewal today? | 1 For | 278 |
|  | 2 Against | 17 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | 97 |
| reterence cat | 1 Male | 1302 |
|  | 392 |  |
|  | 2 |  |
| Total |  |  |

## Model Fitting Information



## Pseudo R-Square

| Cox and Snell | .012 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nagelkerke | .015 |
| McFadden | .008 |$\quad$ Notson'\%

Likelihood Ratio Tests

|  | 2 Log <br> Likelihood of <br> Reduced <br> Model | Chi-Square | df | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | 17.816 | .000 | 0 | . |
| GENDER | 22.439 | 4.623 | 2 | .099 |

The chi-square statistic is the difference in -2 log-likelihoods between the final model and a reduced model. The reduced model is formed by omitting an effect from the final model. The null hypothesis is that all parameters of that effect are 0 .


## Parameter Estimates

N

a. This parameter is set to zero because it is redundant.

## Nominal Regression

Case Processing Summary


$$
\operatorname{morg} .5 i g
$$

$$
\text { Female are mar. } 6 \text { (sig) mare likely }
$$

to rote against mR. than be undecided.

$$
3.5 x^{\prime} \text { ' (than males) }
$$

## Model Fitting Information

| Model | -2 Log <br> Likelihood | Chi-Square | di | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept Only | 36.485 |  |  |  |
| Final | 25.852 | 10.633 |  | 4 |

## Pseudo R-Square

| Cox and Snell |  | .027 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nagelkerke |  | .035 |
| McFadden |  | .019 |



Likelihood Ratio Tests

|  | -2 Log <br> Likelihood of <br> Reduced <br> Model | Chi-Square | df | Sig. |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intercept | 25.852 | .000 | 0 |  |
| GENDER | 30.594 | 4.742 | 2 | .093 |
| RACE | 31.862 | 6.010 | 2 | .050 |

The chi-square statistic is the difference in $\mathbf{- 2}$ log-likelihoods between the final model and a reduced model. The reduced model is formed by omitting an effect from the final model. The null hypothesis is that all parameters of that effect are 0 .


## Parameter Estimates

| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? |  | B | Std. Error | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp(B) | $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ Confidence Interval for $\operatorname{Exp}(\mathbf{B})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |
| 1 For | Intercept | 1.102 | . 208 | 28.092 | 1 | . 000 |  |  |  |
|  | [GENDER=0] | -. 125 | . 252 | . 247 | 1 | . 619 | . 882 | . 538 | 1.446 |
|  | [GENDER=1] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . | . | . |
|  | [RACE=1] | . 991 | . 762 | 1.690 | 1 | . 194 | 2.694 | . 605 | 12.006 |
|  | [RACE=2] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 | . | . | . |  |
| 2 Against $\begin{array}{lc}\omega \\ & \\ \\ & \omega \\ \end{array}$ | Intercept | -2.943 | . 745 | 15.597 | 1 | . 000 |  |  |  |
|  | [GENDER=0] | 1.291 | . 789 | 2.681 | 1 | . 102 | 3.638 | . 775 | 17.067 |
|  | [GENDER=1] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 |  | . | . | . |
|  | [RACE $=1]$ | 2.362 | . 964 | 6.008 | 1 | . 014 | 10.612 | 1.605 | 70.150 |
|  | [RACE=2] | $0^{a}$ | . | . | 0 |  | . |  |  |

a. This parameter is set to zero because it is redundant.

## Nominal Regression



Case Processing Summary

|  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOTE Would R vote for or against renewal today? | 1 For | 273 |
|  | 2 Against | 15 |
|  | 9 Don't know/Undecided | 92 |
| GENDER Gender of respondent | 0 Female | 255 |
|  | 1 Male | 125 |
| RACE White/Non-white Race | 1 Non-white | 19 |
|  | 2 White | 361 |
| GRAD Recoded educ (college grad, nongrad, votech) | 1 HS or less | 143 |
|  | 2 College Grad | 220 |
|  | 4 Votech school | 17 |
| Valid |  | 380 |
| Missing |  | 14 |
| Total |  | 394 |

## Model Fitting Information

| Model | -2 Log <br> Likelihood | Chi-Square | df | Sig. |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept Only | 67.714 |  |  | 0 |
| Final | 46.800 | 20.913 |  | .007 |



## Pseudo R-Square



## Statistics

|  |  | REFER1 <br> newspaper <br> as reliable | REFER2 radio <br> as reliable | REFER3 tv <br> as reliable | REFER4 <br> family as <br> reliable | REFER5 <br> community <br> leaders as <br> reliable |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 285 | 253 | 273 | 262 | 262 |
|  | Missing | 3 | 35 | 15 | 26 | 26 |
| Mean |  | 3.08 | 2.86 | 2.72 | 3.21 | 3.02 |

## Statistics

|  |  | REFER6 <br> telephone <br> campaigners as <br> reliable | REFER7 <br> mailed info <br> pamphlets <br> as reliable |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 262 | 276 |
|  | Missing | 26 | 12 |
| Mean |  | 1.97 | 2.63 |

## Frequencies

## Statistics

|  |  | REFER1 <br> newspaper <br> as reliable | REFER2 radio <br> as reliable | REFER3 tv <br> as reliable | REFER4 <br> family as <br> reliable | REFER5 <br> community <br> leaders as <br> reliable |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 88 | 76 | 83 | 74 | 78 |
|  | Missing | 2 | 14 | 7 | 16 | 12 |
| Mean |  | 3.02 | 2.93 | 2.83 | 3.20 | 2.92 |

## Statistics

|  |  | REFER6 <br> telephone <br> campaigners as <br> reliable | REFER7 <br> mailed info <br> pamphlets <br> as reliable |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 82 | 86 |
|  | Missing | 8 | 4 |
| Mean |  | 2.06 | 2.62 |

## Frequencies

## Statistics

|  |  | EXPENSE1 new course of study | EXPENSE2 new programs of study | EXPENSE3 <br> training students for labor shortage | EXPENSE4 enhancing skills in current workforce | EXPENSE5 <br> student <br> scholoarships | EXPENSE6 <br> acquiring state of art technology | EXPENSE7 <br> improvement of college operating systems | EXPENSE8 improvement of facilities | EXPENSE9 <br> prepare students to transfer to 4 -year institution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | Valid | 265 | 264 | 280 | 283 | 280 | 280 | 245 | 266 | 278 |
|  | Missing | 23 | 24 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 43 | 22 | 10 |
| Mean |  | 3.51 | 3.55 | 3.76 | 3.62 | 3.69 | 3.67 | 3.44 | 3.42 | 3.77 |

## Frequencies

Statistics

|  |  | EXPENSE1 <br> new course of study | EXPENSE2 new programs of study | EXPENSE3 training students for labor shortage | EXPENSE4 enhancing skills in current workforce | EXPENSE5 <br> student scholoarships | EXPENSE6 <br> acquiring <br> state of art <br> technology | EXPENSE7 <br> improvement of college operating systems | EXPENSE8 improvement of facilities | EXPENSE9 <br> prepare students to transfer to 4-year institution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | Valid | 66 | 64 | 81 | 83 | 85 | 83 | 68 | 75 | 84 |
|  | Missing | 24 | 26 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 22 | 15 | 6 |
| Mean |  | 3.23 | 3.25 | 3.58 | 3.30 | 3.48 | 3.53 | 3.16 | 3.13 | 3.44 |

## Frequency Table

Frequencies
Statistics
RENEW voting preference

| N | Valid | 400 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Missing | 0 |

RENEW voting preference

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 for | 288 | 72.0 | 72.0 | 72.0 |
|  | 2 against | 22 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 77.5 |
|  | 9 undecided | 90 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 400 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

## Frequencies

## Statistics

## RENEWY reasons for

| N | Valid | 282 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Missing | 96 |

RENEWY reasons for

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 education | 120 | 31.7 | 42.6 | 42.6 |
|  | 2 attend OCC | 36 | 9.5 | 12.8 | 55.3 |
|  | 3 community enhancement | 25 | 6.6 | 8.9 | 64.2 |
|  | 4 location | 3 | . 8 | 1.1 | 65.2 |
|  | 5 for younger people | 12 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 69.5 |
|  | 6 affordable | 4 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 70.9 |
|  | 7 no increase of taxes | 24 | 6.3 | 8.5 | 79.4 |
|  | 8 other | 58 | 15.3 | 20.6 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 282 | 74.6 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 | 96 | 25.4 |  |  |
| Total |  | 378 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Frequencies

## Statistics

## RENEW2 anything that might help you decide

| N | Valid | 87 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Missing | 291 |

## RENEW2 anything that might help you decide

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1 more information | 39 | 10.3 | 44.8 | 44.8 |
|  | 2 no reason | 14 | 3.7 | 16.1 | 60.9 |
|  | 3 how tax money/ budget is used | 10 | 2.6 | 11.5 | 72.4 |
|  | 4 personal finances | 2 | . 5 | 2.3 | 74.7 |
|  | 5 how others intend to vote | 6 | 1.6 | 6.9 | 81.6 |
|  | 6 don't know | 5 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 87.4 |
|  | 7 past issues | 1 | . 3 | 1.1 | 88.5 |
|  | 8 other | 10 | 2.6 | 11.5 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 87 | 23.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | 9 | 291 | 77.0 |  |  |
| Total |  | 378 | 100.0 |  |  |

## Crosstabs

## Warnings

| The crosstabulation of RENEW voting |
| :--- |
| preference * RENEWN reasons |
| against is empty. |

## Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| RENEW voting preference * ATTEND ever attend OCC? | 377 | 99.7\% | 1 | .3\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * COMMEET community meetings | 378 | 100.0\% | 0 | .0\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * DATE date | 378 | 100.0\% | 0 | . $0 \%$ | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * EDUCATE highest level of completed education | 374 | 98.9\% | 4 | 1.1\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE1 new course of study | 331 | 87.6\% | 47 | 12.4\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE2 new programs of study | 328 | 86.8\% | 50 | 13.2\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE3 training students for labor shortage | 361 | 95.5\% | 17 | 4.5\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE4 enhancing skills in current workforce | 366 | 96.8\% | 12 | 3.2\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE5 student scholoarships | 365 | 96.6\% | 13 | 3.4\% | 378 | 100.0\% |

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE6 acquiring state of art technology | 363 | 96.0\% | 15 | 4.0\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE7 improvement of college operating systems | 313 | 82.8\% | 65 | 17.2\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE8 improvement of facilities | 341 | 90.2\% | 37 | 9.8\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE9 prepare students to transfer to 4-year institution | 362 | 95.8\% | 16 | 4.2\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * FAMILY family or friend attend OCC? | 373 | 98.7\% | 5 | 1.3\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * GENDER gender | 364 | 96.3\% | 14 | 3.7\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * INT identification number | 378 | 100.0\% | 0 | . $0 \%$ | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * MAIL direct mail | 378 | 100.0\% | 0 | . $0 \%$ | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * PAPER newspapers | 378 | 100.0\% | 0 | . $0 \%$ | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * PERSON personla contacts with reps | 378 | 100.0\% | 0 | .0\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * RADIO radio | 377 | 99.7\% | 1 | . $3 \%$ | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * REFER1 newspaper as reliable | 373 | 98.7\% | 5 | 1.3\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW vóting preference * REFER2 radio as reliable | 329 | 87.0\% | 49 | 13.0\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * REFER3 tv as reliable | 356 | 94.2\% | 22 | 5.8\% | 378 | 100.0\% |

Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| RENEW voting preference * REFER4 family as reliable | 336 | 88.9\% | 42 | 11.1\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * REFER5 community leaders as reliable | 340 | 89.9\% | 38 | 10.1\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * REFER6 telephone campaigners as reliable | 344 | 91.0\% | 34 | 9.0\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * REFER7 mailed info pamphlets as reliable | 362 | 95.8\% | 16 | 4.2\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * RENEW2 anything that might help you decide | 87 | 23.0\% | 291 | 77.0\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * RENEWN reasons against |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RENEW voting preference * RENEWY reasons for | 282 | 74.6\% | 96 | 25.4\% | 378 | 100.0\% |
| RENEW voting preference * TV tv | 378 | 100.0\% | 0 | . $0 \%$ | 378 | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * ATTEND ever attend OCC? Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | ATTEND ever attendOCC? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 no | 1 yes |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 185 | 102 | 287 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 64.5\% | 35.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 64 | 26 | 90 |
|  | 9 undecided | \% within RENEW voting preference | 71.1\% | 28.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 249 | 128 | 377 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 66.0\% | 34.0\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * COMMEET community meetings Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | COMMEET community meetings |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 no | 1 yes |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 210 | 78 | 288 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 72.9\% | 27.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 65 | 25 | 90 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 72.2\% | 27.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 275 | 103 | 378 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 72.8\% | 27.2\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * DATE date Crosstabulation


RENEW voting preference * DATE date Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | DATE date | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 20801 |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 2 | 288 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | .7\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 1 | 90 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 1.1\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 3 | 378 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | .8\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * EDUCATE highest level of completed education Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | EDUCATE highest level of completed education |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \text { grades } \\ 1-8 \text { or less } \end{gathered}$ | 2 some high school | 3 high school graduate | 4 vocational/ technical | 5 college graduate | 6 post graduate |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 2 | 6 | 85 | 10 | 102 | 80 | 285 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | . $7 \%$ | 2.1\% | 29.8\% | 3.5\% | 35.8\% | 28.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count |  | 2 | 35 | 4 | 33 | 15 | 89 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference |  | 2.2\% | 39.3\% | 4.5\% | 37.1\% | 16.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 2 | 8 | 120 | 14 | 135 | 95 | 374 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | . $5 \%$ | 2.1\% | 32.1\% | 3.7\% | 36.1\% | 25.4\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE1 new course of study Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | EXPENSE1 new course of study |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 strongly disapprove | 2 somewhat disapprove | 3 somewhat approve | 4 strongly approve |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 5 | 10 | 94 | 156 | 265 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 1.9\% | 3.8\% | 35.5\% | 58.9\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count |  | 5 | 41 | 20 | 66 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference |  | 7.6\% | 62.1\% | 30.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 5 | 15 | 135 | 176 | 331 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 1.5\% | 4.5\% | 40.8\% | 53.2\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE2 new programs of study Crosstabulation

|  |  |  |  | PENSE2 new | rograms of stu |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 strongly disapprove | 2 somewhat disapprove | 3 somewhat approve | 4 strongly approve | Total |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 2 | 8 | 98 | 156 | 264 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | . $8 \%$ | 3.0\% | 37.1\% | 59.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count |  | 4 | 40 | 20 | 64 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference |  | 6.3\% | 62.5\% | 31.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 2 | 12 | 138 | 176 | 328 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | .6\% | 3.7\% | 42.1\% | 53.7\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE3 training students for labor shortage Crosstabulation


## RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE4 enhancing skills in current workforce Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | EXPENS | 4 enhancing s | ills in current | rkforce |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 strongly disapprove | 2 somewhat disapprove | 3 somewhat approve | 4 strongly approve | Total |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 3 | 13 | 73 | 194 | 283 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 1.1\% | 4.6\% | 25.8\% | 68.6\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 4 | 8 | 30 | 41 | 83 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 4.8\% | 9.6\% | 36.1\% | 49.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 7 | 21 | 103 | 235 | 366 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 1.9\% | 5.7\% | 28.1\% | 64.2\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE5 student scholoarships Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | EXPENSE5 student scholoarships |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 strongly disapprove | 2 somewhat disapprove | 3 somewhat approve | 4 strongly approve |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 2 | 3 | 74 | 201 | 280 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | .7\% | 1.1\% | 26.4\% | 71.8\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 2 | 3 | 32 | 48 | 85 |
|  | 9 undecided | \% within RENEW voting preference | 2.4\% | 3.5\% | 37.6\% | 56.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 4 | 6 | 106 | 249 | 365 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 1.1\% | 1.6\% | 29.0\% | 68.2\% | 100.0\% |

## RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE6 acquiring state of art technology Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | EXPENSE6 acquiring state of art technology |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 strongly disapprove | 2 somewhat disapprove | 3 somewhat approve | 4 strongly approve |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 1 | 6 | 78 | 195 | 280 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | .4\% | 2.1\% | 27.9\% | 69.6\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count |  | 4 | 31 | 48 | 83 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference |  | 4.8\% | 37.3\% | 57.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 1 | 10 | 109 | 243 | 363 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | . $3 \%$ | 2.8\% | 30.0\% | 66.9\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE7 improvement of college operating systems Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | EXPENSE7 improvement of college operating systems |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 strongly disapprove | 2 somewhat disapprove | 3 somewhat approve | 4 strongly approve |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 5 | 5 | 111 | 124 | 245 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 2.0\% | 2.0\% | 45.3\% | 50.6\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 4 | 7 | 31 | 26 | 68 |
|  | 9 undecided | \% within RENEW voting preference | 5.9\% | 10.3\% | 45.6\% | 38.2\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 9 | 12 | 142 | 150 | 313 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 2.9\% | 3.8\% | 45.4\% | 47.9\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE8 improvement of facilities Crosstabulation

|  |  |  |  | PENSE8 impro | ement of facil |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 strongly disapprove | 2 somewhat disapprove | 3 somewhat approve | 4 strongly approve | Total |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 1 | 14 | 124 | 127 | 266 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | .4\% | 5.3\% | 46.6\% | 47.7\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 3 | 6 | 44 | 22 | 75 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 4.0\% | 8.0\% | 58.7\% | 29.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 4 | 20 | 168 | 149 | 341 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 1.2\% | 5.9\% | 49.3\% | 43.7\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * EXPENSE9 prepare students to transfer to 4-year institution Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | EXPEN | 9 prepare stu $\qquad$ | nts to transf ion | 4-year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 strongly disapprove | 2 somewhat disapprove | 3 somewhat approve | 4 strongly approve | Total |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count |  | 6 | 52 | 220 | 278 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference |  | 2.2\% | 18.7\% | 79.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 5 | 2 | 28 | 49 | 84 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 6.0\% | 2.4\% | 33.3\% | 58.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 5 | 8 | 80 | 269 | 362 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 1.4\% | 2.2\% | 22.1\% | 74.3\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * FAMILY family or friend attend OCC? Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | FAMILY family or friend attend OCC? |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 no | 1 yes |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 76 | 207 | 283 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 26.9\% | 73.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 29 | 61 | 90 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | $32.2 \%$ | 67.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 105 | 268 | 373 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 28.2\% | 71.8\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * GENDER gender Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | GENDE | gender |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 female | 1 male | Total |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 183 | 96 | 279 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 65.6\% | 34.4\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 59 | 26 | 85 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 69.4\% | 30.6\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 242 | 122 | 364 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 66.5\% | 33.5\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * MAIL direct mail Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | MAIL direct mail |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 no | 1 yes |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 82 | 206 | 288 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 28.5\% | 71.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 32 | 58 | 90 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 35.6\% | 64.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 114 | 264 | 378 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 30.2\% | 69.8\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * PAPER newspapers Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | PAPER newspapers |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 no | 1 yes |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 25 | 263 | 288 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 8.7\% | 91.3\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 14 | 76 | 90 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 15.6\% | 84.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 39 | 339 | 378 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 10.3\% | 89.7\% | 100.0\% |

## RENEW voting preference * PERSON personla contacts with reps Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | PERSON personla contacts with reps |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 no | 1 yes |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 190 | 98 | 288 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 66.0\% | 34.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 60 | 30 | 90 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 66.7\% | 33.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 250 | 128 | 378 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 66.1\% | 33.9\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * RADIO radio Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | RADI |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 no | 1 yes | Total |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 117 | 171 | 288 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 40.6\% | 59.4\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 32 | 57 | 89 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 36.0\% | 64.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 149 | 228 | 377 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 39.5\% | 60.5\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * REFER1 newspaper as reliable Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | REFER1 newspaper as reliable |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 very unreliable | 2 somewhat unreliable | 3 somewhat reliable | 4 very reliable |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 7 | 21 | 198 | 59 | 285 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 2.5\% | 7.4\% | 69.5\% | 20.7\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 2 | 12 | 56 | 18 | 88 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 2.3\% | 13.6\% | 63.6\% | 20.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 9 | 33 | 254 | 77 | 373 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 2.4\% | 8.8\% | 68.1\% | 20.6\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * REFER2 radio as reliable Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | REFER2 radio as reliable |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 very unreliable | 2 somewhat unreliable | 3 somewhat reliable | 4 very reliable |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 12 | 39 | 175 | 27 | 253 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 4.7\% | 15.4\% | 69.2\% | 10.7\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 2 | 10 | 55 | 9 | 76 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 2.6\% | 13.2\% | 72.4\% | 11.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 14 | 49 | 230 | 36 | 329 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 4.3\% | 14.9\% | 69.9\% | 10.9\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * REFER3 tv as reliable Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | REFER3 tv as reliable |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 very unreliable | 2 somewhat unreliable | 3 somewhat reliable | 4 very reliable |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 26 | 51 | 169 | 27 | 273 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 9.5\% | 18.7\% | 61.9\% | 9.9\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 4 | 15 | 55 | 9 | 83 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 4.8\% | 18.1\% | 66.3\% | 10.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 30 | 66 | 224 | 36 | 356 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 8.4\% | 18.5\% | 62.9\% | 10.1\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * REFER4 family as reliable Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | REFER4 family as reliable |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 very unreliable | 2 somewhat unreliable | 3 somewhat reliable | 4 very reliable |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 13 | 21 | 125 | 103 | 262 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 5.0\% | 8.0\% | 47.7\% | 39.3\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 1 | 9 | 38 | 26 | 74 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 1.4\% | 12.2\% | 51.4\% | 35.1\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 14 | 30 | 163 | 129 | 336 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 4.2\% | 8.9\% | 48.5\% | 38.4\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * REFER5 community leaders as reliable Crosstabulation

|  |  |  |  | FER5 comm | ty leaders as | iable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 very unreliable | 2 somewhat unreliable | 3 somewhat reliable | 4 very reliable | Total |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 12 | 20 | 180 | 50 | 262 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 4.6\% | 7.6\% | 68.7\% | 19.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 4 | 9 | 54 | 11 | 78 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 5.1\% | 11.5\% | 69.2\% | 14.1\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 16 | 29 | 234 | 61 | 340 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 4.7\% | 8.5\% | 68.8\% | 17.9\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * REFER6 telephone campaigners as reliable Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | REFER6 telephone campaigners as reliable |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 very unreliable | 2 somewhat unreliable | 3 somewhat reliable | 4 very reliable |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 95 | 84 | 79 | 4 | 262 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 36.3\% | 32.1\% | 30.2\% | 1.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 29 | 21 | 30 | 2 | 82 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 35.4\% | 25.6\% | 36.6\% | 2.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 124 | 105 | 109 | 6 | 344 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 36.0\% | 30.5\% | 31.7\% | 1.7\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * REFER7 mailed info pamphlets as reliable Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | REFER7 mailed info pamphlets as reliable |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 very unreliable | 2 somewhat unreliable | 3 somewhat reliable | 4 very reliable |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 34 | 62 | 151 | 29 | 276 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 12.3\% | 22.5\% | 54.7\% | 10.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count | 13 | 18 | 44 | 11 | 86 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 15.1\% | 20.9\% | 51.2\% | 12.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 47 | 80 | 195 | 40 | 362 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 13.0\% | 22.1\% | 53.9\% | 11.0\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * RENEW2 anything that might help you decide Crosstabulation


RENEW voting preference * RENEW2 anything that might help you decide Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | RENEW2 | hing that |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 7 past issues | 8 other | Total |
| RENEW voting preference | 9 undecided | Count | 1 | 10 | 87 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 1.1\% | 11.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 1 | 10 | 87 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 1.1\% | 11.5\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * RENEWY reasons for Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | RENEWY reasons for |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 education | 2 attend OCC | 3 community enhancement | 4 location | 5 for younger people | 6 affordable | 7 no increase of taxes |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 120 | 35 | 25 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 24 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 42.7\% | 12.5\% | 8.9\% | 1.1\% | 4.3\% | 1.4\% | 8.5\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference |  | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | Count | 120 | 36 | 25 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 24 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 42.6\% | 12.8\% | 8.9\% | 1.1\% | 4.3\% | 1.4\% | 8.5\% |


|  |  |  | RENEWY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 8 other | Total |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 58 | -281 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 20.6\% | 100.0\% |
|  | 9 undecided | Count |  | 1 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference |  | 100.0\% |
| Total |  | Count | 58 | 282 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 20.6\% | 100.0\% |

RENEW voting preference * TV tv Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | TV tv |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 0 no | 1 yes |  |
| RENEW voting preference | 1 for | Count | 80 | 208 | 288 |
|  |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 27.8\% | 72.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 25 | 65 | 90 |
|  | 9 undecided | \% within RENEW voting preference | 27.8\% | 72.2\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Count | 105 | 273 | 378 |
| Total |  | \% within RENEW voting preference | 27.8\% | 72.2\% | 100.0\% |



## OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Oakland Community College
Office of Institutional Research QUESTIONNAIRE
Farmington Hills, MI 48834

Interviewer: Fill in the following information after the survey has been completed.

1. Today's date: (Date completed)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $M^{2}$ | $M$ | $D$ | $D$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |

2. Respondent's Identification Number:

3. Interviewer: $\qquad$

Likely "For" Voters View of Reliable Information Sources (1995 $\mathrm{n}=253-285,2001 \mathrm{n}=253-285$ )


Likely "Undecided" Voters View of Reliable Sources of Information
(1995 $n=79-93,2001 n=74-88$ )


Likely Voter Behavior (1995 n=389, $2001 \mathrm{n}=400$ )


Likely "For" Voters View of Reliable Information Sources
( $\mathrm{n}=228-273$ )


Likely "Undecided" Voters View of Reliable Sources of Information
( $n=79-93$ )


Likely Voters Reason for Renewing Millage



## Likely Voters Reasons for Not Renewing Millage



Gender
(1995 $n=375,2001 n=364$ )




|  |  | Werater | - P CO | OMPLE | EE SURV | EY TR | ACKIN | G SHEET | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 3xty | $9: 00 \mathrm{am}=1: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5405:00pm-9:00pm |  |  |  |  |  |
| E Date | Day ${ }^{2}$ | Süreys | Hours | Ratió | Surveys | Hours | Ratio | Surveys | Hours | Ratio | Surveys | Hours: | Ratio |
|  | Monday |  |  | Exam |  |  | 20 5k |  |  | - | 0 | 0 | - |
|  | Tuesday |  |  | E |  |  | S |  |  | 53sim | 0 | 0 | + |
| 1/31/01 | Wednesday |  |  | S |  |  | - | 21 | 6.25 | 3.4 | 21 | 6.25 | 3.4 |
| 2/1/01 | Thursday | 38 | 15 | 25.2.5 | 5 | 3 | 17.7\% | 29 | 9.5 | 3.1) | 72 | 27.5 | 52.6 |
| 2/2/01 | Friday | 30 | 17.75 | 241.7. | 24 | 10.75 | 2.2 .8 |  |  | Emereme | 54 | 28.5 | 41.9 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2/3/01 | Saturday | 43 | 13.5 | -35.2 | 27 | 8 | 3.4.4 |  |  |  | 70 | 21.5 | - 3.3 |
| 2/5/01 | Monday | 37 | 13.5 | 2.7 | 30 | 9.5 | 3.2 | 30 | 9 | 3.3\% | 97 | 32 | 3.0 |
| 2/6/01 | Tuesday | 8 | 3 | 27 |  |  | Ememe |  |  | \%-3: | 8 | 3 | 27 |
| 2/7/01 | Wednesday | 18 | 7 | 2.6 \% | 24 | 7 | -3.4 | 33 | 8.75 | -3.8 | 75 | 22.75 | . 3.3 |
| 2/8/01 | Thursday | 3 | 1 | 293.0 |  |  | 5-6 |  |  | - ${ }^{\text {brex }}$ | 3 | 1 | 3.0.0 |
| 2/9/01 | Friday |  |  | Wex |  |  | 3x |  |  | - | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2/10/01 | Saturday |  |  | - |  |  | 5 |  |  | - | 0 | 0 | Exer |
|  | Monday |  |  | 5 |  |  | - |  |  | Erix | 0 | 0 | - |
|  | Tuesday |  |  | W-3x |  |  | - |  |  | 5 max | 0 | 0 | - |
|  | Wednesday |  |  | Wera |  |  | Serat |  |  | 5exix | 0 | 0 | 51. |
|  | Thursday |  |  | 53x ${ }^{\text {che }}$ |  |  | 7ater |  |  | Femeray | 0 | 0 | 54 |
|  | Friday |  |  |  |  |  | Efin |  |  | Exe | 0 | 0 | E- |
|  | Saturday |  |  | 5 |  |  | - |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | Ectay |
|  | Monday |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  | 51. | 0 | 0 | 5-xxy |
|  | Tuesday |  |  | Eatrentix |  |  | 4tris |  |  | 20xama | 0 | 0 | 5atmix |
|  | Wednesday |  |  | \%rame |  |  | 2memex |  |  | Fmeme | 0 | 0 | 2merix |
|  | Thursday |  |  | Ftrex |  |  | 5x-m |  |  | 3x+3 | 0 | 0 | Emer |
|  | Friday |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 28ict | 0 | 0 | \% |
|  | Saturday |  |  |  |  |  | 4-3 |  |  | -3ter | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | Total | 177 | 70.75 | 2.5 | 110 | 38.25 | 2. 2.9 | 113 | 33.5 | 13.430 | 400 | 142.5 | 20.8 |

DAY OF WEEK TRACKING

| 3x mextex | 20x 9, 9:00am-1:00.pm |  |  | crme 1:00pm-5:00pm ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 5:00pm - 9:00pm ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Way ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Surveys | Hours | Ratio | Surveys | Hours | Ratio | Surveys | Hours | Ratiou | Surveys | Hours | Ratio |
| Monday | 37 | 13.5 | 2.7\% | 30 | 9.5 | - 3.2 | 30 | 9 | $\pm 3.3$ | 97 | 32 | 3.0 |
| Tuesday | 8 | 3 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | - | 8 | 3 | 2.7 |
| Wednesday | 18 | 7 | 2.6. | 24 | 7 | 23.4 | 54 | 15 | \% 3.6 | 96 | 29 | 3.3 |
| Thursday | 41 | 16 | 2.6: | 5 | 3 | - 1.78 | 29 | 9.5 | 33.1.0 | 75 | 28.5 | 2.6 |
| Friday | 30 | 17.75 | 5.173 | 24 | 10.75 | 2.2.2 | 0 | 0 | \% 5 | 54 | 28.5 | 1.9 |
| Saturday | 43 | 13.5 | 232\% | 27 | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | Finde | 70 | 21.5 | 53.3 |

FOR
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { - OCC provides good education } \\ \text {-education important }\end{array}\right\}$ EDUCATION $=1$
ATTEND OCR $=2$
community enhancement $=3$
good for young people/ helping kids/affors 5
7 NO INCREASE OF TAXES:
AFFORDABLE 6
8 OTHER

$$
H-L O C A T I O N
$$

Against

1 - mismanagement of funds
2 - NO INFO
3 - HIGH TAXES
4 - COMm. COLL. (UNHELPFUL) DOES NDT PROVIDE
5 MONEY SHOULD COME FROM OTHER SOURCE
$8-$ OTHER
9 BLANK

Don't know

1 - How tax money will be useo/budget
\# - How otters intend to vote

- Personal Finances
- BuDget of college
- PAST ISSUES/HISTRRy
- DON'T KNOW
- MORE INFO
- No Reason
$8 \rightarrow$ OTHER
9-BLANK
things downs turn out as they should after election

Question 4
1 - skipped (not applicable)

2 - seems necessary community function (value
3 - attended OCC (self/ relative/ friend)
4 -support rene
5 - no
6. - other

7 -don't know
Question 5
1 - skipped
2 - not enough information
3 - unwilling to increase taxes
4 - money being misused
5 - no
6 - other
7 - don't know
Question 6


Develop categories that match question

$$
\left(x x^{82}\right.
$$

| TO: | Business Managers |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Director Administrative Services |

FROM: Sandy Walter, Accounting Supervisor
SUBJECT: February - June 2001 Payroll Schedule
DATE: February 9, 2001

The following schedule of due dates is effective for the February - June 2001. Completed payroll reports and all other payroll documents received in the payroll department after the due dates will be held until the following pay period. This schedule is subject to change when payroll goes live on the Datatel system.

## All Employees Except Maintenance

| Pay Period <br> Ending Date | Due in Payroll <br> by 5:00 p.m. | Payroll <br> Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $02 / 12 / 01$ | $02 / 22 / 01$ |
| $02 / 10 / 01$ | $02 / 26 / 01$ | $03 / 08 / 01$ |
| $03 / 10 / 01$ | $03 / 12 / 01$ | $03 / 22 / 01$ |
| $03 / 24 / 01$ | $03 / 26 / 01$ | $04 / 05 / 01$ |
| $04 / 07 / 01$ | $04 / 09 / 01$ | $04 / 19 / 01$ |
| $04 / 21 / 01$ | $04 / 23 / 01$ | $05 / 03 / 01$ |
| $05 / 05 / 01$ | $05 / 07 / 01$ | $05 / 17 / 01$ |
| $05 / 19 / 01$ | $05 / 21 / 01$ | $05 / 31 / 01$ |
| $06 / 02 / 01$ | $06 / 04 / 01$ | $06 / 14 / 01$ |
| $06 / 16 / 01$ | $06 / 18 / 01$ | $06 / 28 / 01$ |

> DATA ENTRYSERVICES CORIP
> 23750 EIMIRA, SUITE 400
> REDFORD, MI 48239 (313) $533-2299$
> FAX (313) $592-4785$

FACSIMILE TRANSMI'I'I'AL SHEFT


Data Entry Services Corp.<br>23750 Elmira, Suite 400<br>Redford, MI 48239<br>(313) 533-2299<br>Fax (313) 592-4785

February 8, 2001
Oakland Community College
Pat Springer
27055 Orchard Lake Road
Farmington Hills, MI 48334

Dear Pat,
Thank you for the opportunity to submit a quotarion on the data entry of your 2001 Millage Public Opinion Poll surveys. Based on your job specifications, our price for this service is as follows:

Job Setup/progranming
Survey enury
Pickup/delivery
\$ 175
$\$ 0.28 /$ cach
$\$ 15 /$ rip

As you know, we have been providing high quality data input services to OCC for many years and are very familiar with your projects. We are excited about this opportunity and look forward to working with you on this project. As always, we will continue to strive to offer Oakland Community College the highest quality service and product. Again, thank you for the opportunity to provide you with these services.

Sincerely,


Gregory W. Lavigne
Lavignc@udacontryservices.com
313.533.2299
313.592.4785 fax

Interviewer: Fill in the following information after the survey has been completed.

1. Today's date: (Date completed)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M | M | D | D | Y | Y |

2. Respondent's Identification Number:

3. Interviewer: $\qquad$

## Introduction:

Hello, this is $\qquad$ calling from Oakland Community College. We are calling randomly selected registered voters to ask their views about higher education in the Oakland County. My questions are brief and should only take a few minutes to complete.

I'd like you to know that this interview is confidential and completely voluntary. If we should come to any question that you don't want to answer, let me know and we'll go on to the next question.

1. First, I would like to ask you about where you get information before you decide to vote about education issues on the ballot. Can you tell me "yes" or "no" if each of following is a source that you obtain information from before making your decision to vote?

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a. Newspapers (editorials/articles) | 1 | 0 |
| b. Radio (editorials/newscasts/talk shows) | 1 | 0 |
| c. Television (editorials/newscasts) | 1 | 0 |
| d. Community Meetings | 1 | 0 |
| e. Personal contacts w/candidates or representatives | 1 | 0 |
| f. Direct mail sent to your home | 1 | 0 |

2. Still thinking about sources of information you reference for your voting decision, can you tell me if you think each of following is a Very reliable, Somewhat reliable, Somewhat unreliable, or Very unreliable source?

|  | Very <br> Reliable | Somewhat <br> Reliable | Somewhat <br> Unreliable | Very <br> Unreliable | Don't <br> know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Newspapers | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| b. Radio | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| c. Television | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| d. Family members | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| e. Community Leaders | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| f. Telephone campaigners | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| g. Mailed information |  |  |  |  | 9 |

Now l'd like to ask you some more specific questions about Oakland Community College...
3. Often when a tax issue is on the ballot, it's to RENEW a current millage, NOT to increase taxes. In June of 2001, Oakland Community College will ask voters for a property tax renewal of eight-tenths of a mill. Do you plan to vote "for" or "against" it?

1
For
2 ___Against (Skip to question \#5)
9 ____Don't know/Undecided (Skip to question \#6)
4. Can you tell me any reasons why you would vote "for" this issue?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(Skip to question \#7)
5. Can you tell me any reasons why you would vote "against" this issue?
(Skip to question \#7)
6. Can you tell me anything that might help you decide?

Next, I would like you to focus on how Oakland Community College might allocate monies if millage renewal was supported.
7. Please tell me how much you approve tax money being used for each of the following expenses. Tell me if you would Strongly Approve, Somewhat Approve, Somewhat Disapprove, or Strongly Disapprove money being spent on...

|  | Strongly <br> Approve | Somewhat <br> Approve | Somewhat <br> Disapprove <br> a. New courses of study | Strongly <br> Disapprove | Don't <br> know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. New programs of study | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| b. Training students to meet <br> critical labor shortages | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| c. Enhancing skills of <br> employees currently in the <br> workforce | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| d. Student scholarships | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| e. Acquiring state of the art <br> technology for the <br> classroom | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| f. Improvement of college <br> operating systems | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| g. Improvement of facilities | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| h. Preparing students to <br> transfer to a 4-year <br> institution | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |

There are a few more questions l'd like to ask for statistical purposes only. Again, all of your responses will be confidential.
8. Have you ever attended Oakland Community College?

9. Have any of your family members or close friends attended Oakland Community College?

|  | Yes |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  |
| 9 | Don |

10. Please tell me the highest level of education you have completed:

1 ____Grades 1-8 or less
2___Some high school
3 ___ High school graduate
4___Vocational or technical school
5___College graduate
6 ___ Post-graduate
9 ___Don't know/No answer provided

Those are all of the questions I have. Thank you for sharing your opinions and have a great day!

Record Gender:
1 ___ Male
$0 \_$___Female

## Introduction:

Hello, this is $\qquad$ calling from Oakland Community College. We are calling randomly selected registered voters to ask their views about higher education in the Oakland County. My questions are brief and should only take a few minutes to complete.

I'd like you to know that this interview is confidential and completely voluntary. If we should come to any question that you don't want to answer, let me know and we'll go on to the next question.

1. First, I would like to ask you about where you get information before you decide to vote about education issues on the ballot. Can you tell me "yes" or "no" if each of following is a source that you obtain information from before making your decision to vote?

|  | $\underline{\text { Yes }}$ | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0 |
| a. Newspapers (editorials/articles) | 1 | 0 |
| b. Radio (editorials/newscasts/talk shows) | 1 | 0 |
| c. Television (editorials/newscasts) | 1 | 0 |
| d. Community Meetings | 1 | 0 |
| e. Personal contacts w/candidates or representatives | 1 | 0 |
| f. Direct mail sent to your home | 1 |  |

2. Still thinking about sources of information you reference for your voting decision, can you tell me if you think each of following is a Very reliable, Somewhat reliable, Somewhat unreliable, or Very unreliable source?

|  | Very <br> Reliable | Somewhat <br> Reliable | Somewhat <br> Unreliable | Very <br> Unreliable | Don't <br> know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Newspapers | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| b. Radio | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| c. Television | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| d. Family members | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| e. Community Leaders | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| f. Telephone campaigners | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| g. Mailed information |  |  |  |  | 9 |

Now l'd like to ask you some more specific questions about Oakland Community College...
3. Often when a tax issue is on the ballot, it's to RENEW a current millage, NOT to increase taxes. In June of 2001, Oakland Community College will ask voters for a property tax renewal of eight-tenths of a mill. Do you plan to vote "for" or "against" it?

1 ____For
2___Against (Skip to question \#5)
9____Don't know/Undecided (Skip to question \#6)
4. Can you tell me any reasons why you would vote "for" this issue?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(Skip to question \#7)
5. Can you tell me any reasons why you would vote "against" this issue?
(Skip to question \#7)
6. Can you tell me anything that might help you decide?

Next, I would like you to focus on how Oakland Community College might allocate monies if millage renewal was supported.
7. Please tell me how much you approve tax money being used for each of the following expenses. Tell me if you would Strongly Approve, Somewhat Approve, Somewhat Disapprove, or Strongly Disapprove money being spent on...

|  | Strongly <br> Approve | Somewhat <br> Approve | Somewhat <br> Disapprove <br> a. New courses of study | Strongly <br> Disapprove | Don't <br> know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. New programs of study | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| b. Training students to meet <br> critical labor shortages | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| c. Enhancing skills of <br> employees currently in the <br> workforce | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| d. Student scholarships | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| e. Acquiring state of the art <br> technology for the <br> classroom | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| f. Improvement of college <br> operating systems | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| g. Improvement of facilities | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| h. Preparing students to <br> transfer to a 4-year <br> institution | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 9 |

There are a few more questions l'd like to ask for statistical purposes only. Again, all of your responses will be confidential.
8. Have you ever attended Oakland Community College?
 Yes
0 No
9 ___ Don't know/No answer provided
9. Have any of your family members or close friends attended Oakland Community College?

| Yes |
| :---: |
| -__No |
| Dont know/No answer provided |

10. Please tell me the highest level of education you have completed:

1 Grades 1-8 or less
2 ___Some high school
3 High school graduate
4___ Vocational or technical school
5 ___College graduate
6 ____Post-graduate
9 Don't know/No answer provided

Those are all of the questions I have. Thank you for sharing your opinions and have a great day!

Record Gender:
$1 \_$Male
$0 \_$___ Female

