THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN-DEARBORN COMPARISON: UM-D STUDENTS FROM OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE AND OTHER UM-D STUDENTS * ENROLLED FALL 1993

CONCENTRATION		# OC STUDENTS ENROLLED FALL 1993	CUM GPA OC STUDENTS FALL 1993	# OTHER STUDENTS ENROLLED FALL 1993	CUM GPA OTHER STUDENTS FALL 1993
CASL	r r	261	2.65	2628	2.73
BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE	*	61	2.92	373	2.87
HUMANITIES	*	21	2.99	249	2.93
NATURAL SCIENCE	*	21	2.66	350	2.76
MATH	*	15	3.17	87	2.78
SOCIAL SCIENCE	*	31	2.45	312	2.78
CAMPUS WIDE	*	25	2.70	179	2.72
BGS	*	23	2.71	38	2.86
PREBUSINESS	*	33	2.23	368	2.71
UNDECIDED	*	28	2.19	645	2.52
PRENURSING	*	3	2.25	27	2.58
ENGINEERING	*	54	2.99	924	2.80
ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING	*	20	3.09	222	2.83
INDUSTRIAL & SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	*	2	2.37	28	2.78
MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	*	. 18	2.82	335	2.81
UNDECIDED ENGINEERING	*	2	2.58	159	2.66
COMPUTER & INFORMATION SCIENCE	*	12	3.26	180	2.88
MANAGEMENT	*	37	2.95	258	3.23
BSA	*	12	3.01	73	3.09
BBA	•	25	2.92	185	3.28

^{*} This table compares UM-D students from Oakland Community College with all other UM-D students from schools other than the eight community colleges included in this study - Henry Ford CC, Highland Park CC, Macomb CC, Monroe County CC, Oakland CC, Schoolcraft CC, Washtenaw County CC, or Wayne County CC.

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN-DEARBORN COMPARISON: UM-D STUDENTS FROM OAKLAND COMMUNITY COLLEGE AND OTHER UM-D STUDENTS * ENROLLED FALL 1993

CONCENTRATION	# OC STUDENTS ENROLLED FALL 1993	CUM GPA OC STUDENTS FALL 1993	# OTHER STUDENTS ENROLLED FALL 1993	CUM GPA OTHER STUDENTS FALL 1993
EDUCATION *	47	3.20	300	3.18
EARLY * CHILDHOOD *	4	3.35	40	3.04
EDUCATION * ELEMENTARY/COE *	0	0.00	37	3.40
GENERAL * STUDIES *	14	3.16	15	3.03
LANGUAGE * STUDIES *	4	2.97	20	3.15
MATH * STUDIES *	6	3.48	24	3.15
SCIENCE * STUDIES *	5	3.55	7	3.46
SOCIAL * STUDIES *	3	2.99	25	3.10
EDUCATION ** UNDECIDED **	9	3.04	60	2.81
SECONDARY * CERTIFICATION *	1	3.68	38	3.69
EDUCATION * FINE ARTS *	0	0.00	1	3.21
PUBLIC * ADMINISTRATION *	1	2.31	7	2.66
EDUCATION * PROFESSIONAL * DEVELOPMENT *	0	0.00	26	3.52

^{*} This table compares UM-D students from Oakland Community College with all other UM-D students from schools other than the eight community colleges included in this study - Henry Ford CC, Highland Park CC, Macomb CC, Monroe County CC, Oakland CC, Schoolcraft CC, Washtenaw County CC, or Wayne County CC.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN-DEARBORN COMPOSITION PLACEMENTS OF NEW STUDENTS

FALL 1996

COLLEGE	ESC & Developentel. COMP 099/095		ENG-151 COMP 105		ENG 15-2 COMP 106		adv. Wr. COMP 227		REQUIREN SATISFI	TOTAL	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Α	3	2.6%	14	12.1%	19	16.4%	7	6.0%	74	63.7%	116
В	0	0.0%	3	17.6%	2	11.7%	2	11.7%	10	58.8%	17
c c c c d A	/ 0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4
C OCC - Student Dat	× 0	0.0%	4	8.7%	9	19.5%	6	13.0%	27	58.7%	46
E	0	0.0%	3	5.4%	11	20.0%	4	7.2%	38	69.0%	55
F A.i.	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	8
G - wayne Cty-	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	6	50.0%	12
Total Local Community College	3	1.2%	28	10.8%	44	16.9%	23	8.8%	162	62.3%	260
Other Transfers	2	1.5%	33	24.2%	49	36.0%	4	2.9%	48	35.3%	136
FTIACS(First-time in any college)	65	8.6%	641	85.4%	43	5.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	750
GRAND TOTAL	70	6.1%	702	61.3%	136	11.9%	25	2.2%	211	18.4%	1144

SOURCES:96COMPPE.MCH (COMBINED COMP AND F96TWOFF), COMP2.BAT, COMP3.BAT, COMP4.BAT, COMP5.BAT, AND COMP.BAT

IR/04-08-97/COMMUNITY COLLEGE 1997: COMP97.WQ2

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN-DEARBORN MATHEMATICS PLACEMENTS OF NEW STUDENTS FALL 1995

COLLEGE	NO A	ALGEBRA	MAT	TH 080	MAT	H 090	MAT	H 105	MATH	1113	MAT	H 115	MA	TH 116	TOTAL
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
A	1	2.1%	16	34.0%	18	38.3%	11	23.4%	0	0.0%	1	2.1%	0	0.0%	47
В	0	0.0%	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10
(D) OCC	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0
(D) (D)	0	0.0%	10	37.0%	14	51.9%	2	7.4%	0	0.0%	1	3.7%	0	0.0%	27
E	0	0.0%	3	13.6%	14	63.6%	3	13.6%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	0	0.0%	22
F	0	0.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3
G	2	25.0%	5	62.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8
Total Local Community Colleges	3	2.6%	41	35.0%	53	45.3%	16	13.7%	0	0.0%	4	3.4%	0	0.0%	117
Other Transfers	3	7.7%	9	23.1%	14	35.9%	10	25.6%	0	0.0%	3	7.7%	0	0.0%	39
FTIACS(First-time in any college)	0	0.0%	79	11.1%	327	45.9%	169	23.7%	1	0.1%	116	16.3%	21	2.9%	713
GRAND TOTAL	6	0.7%	129	14.8%	394	45.3%	195	22.4%	1	0.1%	123	14.2%	21	2.4%	869

SOURCES: 95MATHPE.MCH (Mathematics Placement Exam records for all students who took exam in 1995), match with TWF95IR.SYS)
MATHPLAC.BAT

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN-DEARBORN COMPOSITION PLACEMENTS OF NEW STUDENTS

FALL 1996

COLLEGE	ESC & Developments. COMP 099/095		ENG-151 COMP 105		ENG 15-2 COMP 106		adv. Wr. COMP 227		REQUIREM SATISFI	TOTAL	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
A	3	2.6%	14	12.1%	19	16.4%	7	6.0%	74	63.7%	116
В	0	0.0%	3	17.6%	2	11.7%	2	11.7%	10	58.8%	17
c children	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4
o OCC - Stude to Date	× 0	0.0%	4	8.7%	9	19.5%	6	13.0%	27	58.7%	46
E	0	0.0%	3	5.4%	11	20.0%	4	7.2%	38	69.0%	55
F	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	0.0%	3	37.5%	8
F G - wayne (ty-	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	4	33.3%	6	50.0%	12
Total Local Community College	3	1.2%	28	10.8%	44	16.9%	23	8.8%	162	62.3%	260
Other Transfers	2	1.5%	33	24.2%	49	36.0%	4	2.9%	48	35.3%	136
FTIACS(First-time in any college)	65	8.6%	641	85.4%	43	5.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	750
GRAND TOTAL	70	6.1%	702	61.3%	136	11.9%	25	2.2%	211	18.4%	1144

SOURCES:96COMPPE.MCH (COMBINED COMP AND F96TWOFF), COMP2.BAT, COMP3.BAT, COMP4.BAT, COMP5.BAT, AND COMP.BAT

IR/04-08-97/COMMUNITY COLLEGE 1997:COMP97.WQ2





30 East Broad Street 36th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43266-0417

Administration: (614) 466-6000 FAX: (614) 466-5866

February 12, 1997

Mr. Jim Folkening Department of Education Community College Service Unit PO Box 30008 Lansing, Michigan 48909

Dear Mr. Folkening:

In response to your inquiry of February 10, I am enclosing two reports on performance funding for community colleges in the State of Ohio. The first report of the Regents' Advisory Committee was adopted by the Board of Trustees in 1993. The second report is the workbook we used last year in evaluating the performance of the two-year campuses on the nine state wide service expectations. We plan to extend performance funding to the universities in the next biennium.

If you require any additional information, please contact me by phone (614) 752-9476 or by e-mail (gauthier@summit.bor.ohio.gov).

Sincerely yours,

Howard L. Gauthier

Executive Associate to the Chancellor

REACTION TO MONITORING REPORT

(A summary of reactions will be attached to the back of each final report.)

1) What did you learn from this report?	
*	
2) How will you use the findings from this report?	

3) What would you like to see changed about this report?