NOTIS Consortium/Network SIG

1990 Enhancements Balloting Process

The goal of the NOTIS Consortium/Network SIG is to arrive at our "top ten" enhancements for input to the NOTIS 1990 enhancements ballot by June 1990.

1. Round One of voting by consortium/network sites, with each consortium site contributing one ballot, due by the end of February.

2. Round Two sent out in March and due in early May. Ideas contributed in Round One will be added to the Round Two ballot.

3. Results of Round Two distributed in June to the SIG and NOTIS as our input this year.

The items listed on the attached Round One ballot were compiled from the SIG meeting discussion held on September 28, 1989, at NUGM. The items judged by those in attendance as most important are preceded by 1 or 2 asterisks.

Please return the Round One ballot to:

> Louise Bugg
> 242 Purdy Library
> 5265 Cass
> Wayne State University
> Detroit, Michigan 48202

L. Bugg
1/15/90

LB90-90

NOTIS CONSORTIUM/NETWORK SIG 1990 ENHANCEMENTS BALLOT
ROUND ONE

I. PRIORITIES BY MODULE

A. OPAC (Assign no more than 25 points)

_____ ** Location-based searching

_____ * K/B across multiple processing units with separate files

_____ * Public MHI - addressing concerns of libraries with multiple processing units

_____ * OPAC screens - addressing concerns of consortia libraries, e.g. help screens for multiple subject heading systems, etc.

_____ * Serials - Display of holdings

_____ Other (please specify) _____

_____ Other (please specify) _____

TOTAL 25

B. CATALOGING/AUTHORITY (Assign no more than 45 points)

_____ * new/dropped list - listing new headings ONLY for first processing unit

_____ * GTO - from CD-ROM and from LAN

_____ * field level security, e.g. 1xx and 5xx on authority records

_____ * multiple authority file support

_____ * MHI Update from tape loads

_____ call number improvement/shelflisting capability

_____ one step delete

_____ global changes - update MHI

_____ GTO enhancements, including transfer of authority records for multiple processing units

_____ Other (please specify) _____

_____ Other (please specify) _____

TOTAL 45

C. CIRCULATION (Assign no more than 30 points total)

_____ * Bill and Fine Improvements -- billing threshholds, processing fees due to amount owed

_____ * Course reserve index - to display items for more than one processing unit

_____ * Improved "has" - limit to processing unit

_____ * Improved batch - more parameters by Service Unit

_____ * Micro backup

_____ Patron group codes displaying in patron name index

_____ Other (please specify) _____

_____ Other (please specify) _____

TOTAL 30

D. ACQUISITIONS/SERIALS (Assign no more than 30 points total)

_____ ** Serials control design - address cosortium needs

_____ * Security for vendor file by processing unit

_____ * Variations on P.O., vouchers, etc. by processing unit

_____ EARL: separate acquisitions EARL from circulation EARL

_____ Different parameters by processing unit for report writer

_____ Increase number of possible lines in OPR

_____ Other (please specify) _____

_____ Other (please specify) _____

TOTAL 60

Consortium Ballot
Page three

E.   MDAS   (Assign no more than 15 points total)

_____   * Support for multiple institution groups

_____   * Restrict access by separate processing units and
          locations

_____   * Location-based default

_____   Other (please specify) _____

_____   Other (please specify) _____

TOTAL   <u>15</u>

F.   OTHER (Assign no more than 40 points total)

_____   * Distributed printing of batch

_____   * Different time zone problems

_____   * ILL module

_____   * Report writer - consortium relevant

_____   * On-line report request form

_____   * File purge, specific by processing unit

_____   Better handling of multiple copies - ordering, OPAC,
          etc.

_____   Tape output: bibliographic record <u>and</u> copy holdings

_____   Other (please specify)_____

_____   Other (please specify)_____

TOTAL   <u>40</u>

Consortium Ballot
Page four

II. OVERALL PRIORITIES (Assign no more than 50 points total)

List and assign point values for up to ten of the above proposed
enhancements that you consider most important overall. You
may list fewer than ten.

Enhancement _____ Points ____

Enhancement _____ Points ____

Enhancement _____ Points ____

Enhancement _____ Points ____

Enhancement _____ Points ____

Enhancement _____ Points ____

Enhancement _____ Points ____

Enhancement _____ Points ____

Enhancement _____ Points ____

Enhancement _____ Points ____

TOTAL 50

12/12/89