

The College Academic Master Plan committee met for its May meeting and discussed the following:

1. STRENGTH: Work continues on campus initiatives for "student success". A summary of these activities will be given in the June 1 report to senate.

2. Review of the CBT report (in regards to the CAMP document)

A. STRENGTH: The report's suggestion of starting out small and focusing on a limited number of objectives to start was supported by the group.

B. AREA OF CONCERN: Concerns about the accuracy and completion dates of phase one and phase two completion dates.

C. AREA OF CONCERN: References to advisory members and/or committees such as the "Academic Leadership Team" and "stakeholders" as if they already existed. No clarity as to how faculty would participate, only that faculty had identified that they wanted to participate.

3. Review of the EMP/ "Changes @ OCC document"

A. AREA OF CONCERN: Is an incomplete document for the following reasons:

i. Lacks documented evidence for the chosen priorities (i.e. KPI's to be used?)

ii. The Academic leadership Team does not include anyone for the teaching/academic branch of the college only the administrative branch

iii. No communication plan as CBT indicated should exist

iiii. Lacks informational and communicative transparency. The document indicates that "Implementation for some priorities has already commenced," yet there are no implementation teams identified or timelines specified.

More feedback from the CAMP committees meeting will be found in the May minutes on Infomart.

Respectfully submitted,

Michelle Pergeau