## Competitive/Labor Market Analysis Employer Survey

Medical Assisting Program<br>Winter 2001<br>Researcher: Nancy Showers, x4867

## Survey Overview



Purpose: to gather opinions from healthcare providers about issues related to Medical Assistants (MDA).

Information such as duties of MDAs, perceptions of other MDA programs, income and job market issues will provide valuable information for this project.

## Population

- A random sample of all healthcare providers with 10 or more employees in Oakland County will be called for their opinions.
- We need approximately 300 completed surveys.


## The Survey

- The survey is long - nine pages.
- There are several skip patterns, so take care when reading the survey to respondents.
- Please don't hesitate to contact Nancy x4867 with any questions!


## Please remember. . .

- Read the survey just as it is written.
- Italicized items are for your information, please do not read them to respondents.
- Please write neatly and make sure options selected by respondents are marked clearly.


## Thanks very much!



Your hard work and commitment to quality are appreciated!

Means


Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Included |  | Excluded |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| number of credits <br> registered for * ZONE | 1105 | $99.0 \%$ | 11 | $1.0 \%$ | 1116 | $100.0 \%$ |

Report
number of credits registered for

| ZONE | Mean | N | Std. Deviation |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NW Oakland | 5.64 | 11 | 2.73 |
| NC Oakland | 6.53 | 49 | 4.51 |
| NE Oakland | 6.00 | 36 | 4.32 |
| CW Oakland | 6.36 | 159 | 4.30 |
| C Oakland | 5.92 | 226 | 3.95 |
| CE Oakland | 6.60 | 111 | 4.05 |
| SW Oakland | 6.29 | 70 | 3.76 |
| SC Oakland | 7.22 | 99 | 4.57 |
| SE Oakland | 6.92 | 177 | 4.34 |
| Genesse Co | 8.63 | 8 | 6.52 |
| Washtenaw Co | 1.50 | 2 | 2.12 |
| Lapeer Co | 4.00 | 2 | .00 |
| Livingston Co | 5.60 | 5 | 2.41 |
| Macomb Co | 7.94 | 34 | 4.58 |
| Wayne co | 7.87 | 116 | 4.64 |
| Total | 6.65 | 1105 | 4.29 |

## Crosstabs

## Case Processing Summary

|  | Cases |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Valid |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
|  | N | Percent | N | Percent | N | Percent |
| ZONE *SEX | 688 | $99.1 \%$ | 6 | $.9 \%$ | 694 | $100.0 \%$ |
| ZONE * Age Group | 688 | $99.1 \%$ | 6 | $.9 \%$ | 694 | $100.0 \%$ |

ZONE * SEX Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | SEX |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | F | M |  |
| ZONE | NW Oakland | Count | 7 | 1 | 8 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE | 87.5\% | 12.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% within SEX | 1.1\% | 1.3\% | 1.2\% |
|  |  | \% of Total | 1.0\% | .1\% | 1.2\% |
|  | NC Oakland | Count | 27 | 3 | 30 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE | 90.0\% | 10.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% within SEX | 4.4\% | 4.0\% | 4.4\% |
|  |  | \% of Total | 3.9\% | .4\% | 4.4\% |
|  | NE Oakland | Count | 21 | 1 | 22 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE | 95.5\% | 4.5\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% within SEX | 3.4\% | 1.3\% | 3.2\% |
|  |  | \% of Total | 3.1\% | .1\% | 3.2\% |
|  | CW Oakland | Count | 86 | 4 | 90 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE | 95.6\% | 4.4\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% within SEX | 14.0\% | 5.3\% | 13.1\% |
|  |  | \% of Total | 12.5\% | .6\% | 13.1\% |
|  | C Oakland | Count | 118 | 9 | 127 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE | 92.9\% | 7.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% within SEX | 19.2\% | 12.0\% | 18.5\% |
|  |  | \% of Total | 17.2\% | 1.3\% | 18.5\% |
|  | CE Oakland | Count | 68 | 9 | 77 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE | 88.3\% | 11.7\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% within SEX | 11.1\% | 12.0\% | 11.2\% |
|  |  | \% of Total | 9.9\% | 1.3\% | 11.2\% |
|  | SW Oakland | Count | 34 | 3 | 37 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE | 91.9\% | 8.1\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% within SEX | 5.5\% | 4.0\% | 5.4\% |
|  |  | \% of Total | 4.9\% | .4\% | 5.4\% |
|  | SC Oakland | Count | 50 | 8 | 58 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE | 86.2\% | 13.8\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% within SEX | 8.2\% | 10.7\% | 8.4\% |
|  |  | \% of Total | 7.3\% | 1.2\% | 8.4\% |
|  | SE Oakland | Count | 95 | 20 | 115 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE | 82.6\% | 17.4\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% within SEX | 15.5\% | 26.7\% | 16.7\% |
|  |  | \% of Total | 13.8\% | 2.9\% | 16.7\% |



ZONE * Age Group Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | Age Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| ZONE | NW Oakland | Count |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  | 25.0\% | 25.0\% | 25.0\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  | 1.3\% | 1.0\% | 1.1\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  | . $3 \%$ | . $3 \%$ | . $3 \%$ |
|  | NC Oakland | Count |  | 5 | 8 | 10 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  | 16.7\% | 26.7\% | 33.3\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  | 3.1\% | 3.8\% | 5.7\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  | .7\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% |
|  | NE Oakland | Count |  | 3 | 9 | 7 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  | 13.6\% | 40.9\% | 31.8\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  | 1.9\% | 4.3\% | 4.0\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  | .4\% | 1.3\% | 1.0\% |
|  | CW Oakland | Count | 1 | 21 | 23 | 19 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE | 1.1\% | 23.3\% | 25.6\% | 21.1\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group | 100.0\% | 13.2\% | 11.1\% | 10.9\% |
|  |  | \% of Total | .1\% | 3.1\% | 3.3\% | 2.8\% |
|  | C Oakland | Count |  | 29 | 32 | 38 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  | 22.8\% | 25.2\% | 29.9\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  | 18.2\% | 15.4\% | 21.7\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  | 4.2\% | 4.7\% | 5.5\% |
|  | CE Oakland | Count |  | 16 | 22 | 16 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  | 20.8\% | 28.6\% | 20.8\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  | 10.1\% | 10.6\% | 9.1\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  | 2.3\% | 3.2\% | 2.3\% |
|  | SW Oakland | Count |  | 11 | 10 | 12 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  | 29.7\% | 27.0\% | 32.4\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  | 6.9\% | 4.8\% | 6.9\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  | 1.6\% | 1.5\% | 1.7\% |
|  | SC Oakland | Count |  | 19 | 20 | 6 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  | 32.8\% | 34.5\% | 10.3\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  | 11.9\% | 9.6\% | 3.4\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  | 2.8\% | 2.9\% | .9\% |
|  | SE Oakland | Count |  | 32 | 37 | 31 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  | 27.8\% | 32.2\% | 27.0\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  | 20.1\% | 17.8\% | 17.7\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  | 4.7\% | 5.4\% | 4.5\% |
|  | Genesse Co | Count |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  | 16.7\% | 50.0\% | 16.7\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  | .6\% | 1.4\% | .6\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  | .1\% | .4\% | .1\% |
|  | Washtenaw Co | Count |  |  |  | 2 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  |  |  | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  |  |  | 1.1\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  |  |  | .3\% |
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ZONE * Age Group Crosstabulation

|  |  |  | Age Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| ZONE | Lapeer Co | Count |  |  | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  |  | .5\% | .6\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  |  | .1\% | .1\% |
|  | Livingston Co | Count |  |  | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  |  | 1.0\% | 1.1\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  |  | . $3 \%$ | . $3 \%$ |
|  | Macomb Co | Count |  | 2 | 14 | 4 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  | 7.4\% | 51.9\% | 14.8\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  | 1.3\% | 6.7\% | 2.3\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  | . $3 \%$ | 2.0\% | .6\% |
|  | Wayne co | Count |  | 18 | 25 | 24 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE |  | 21.7\% | 30.1\% | 28.9\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group |  | 11.3\% | 12.0\% | 13.7\% |
|  |  | \% of Total |  | 2.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.5\% |
| Total |  | Count | 1 | 159 | 208 | 175 |
|  |  | \% within ZONE | .1\% | 23.1\% | 30.2\% | 25.4\% |
|  |  | \% within Age Group | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | \% of Total | .1\% | 23.1\% | 30.2\% | 25.4\% |



ZONE * Age Group Crosstabulation


## Frequencies

## Statistics

ZONE

| $N$ | Valid | 688 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Missing | 6 |

ZONE

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valid | NW Oakland | 8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
|  | NC Oakland | 30 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 5.5 |
|  | NE Oakland | 22 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 8.7 |
|  | CW Oakland | 90 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 21.8 |
|  | C Oakland | 127 | 18.3 | 18.5 | 40.3 |
|  | CE Oakland | 77 | 11.1 | 11.2 | 51.5 |
|  | SW Oakland | 37 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 56.8 |
|  | SC Oakland | 58 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 65.3 |
|  | SE Oakland | 115 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 82.0 |
|  | Genesse Co | 6 | . 9 | . 9 | 82.8 |
|  | Washtenaw Co | 2 | . 3 | . 3 | 83.1 |
|  | Lapeer Co | 2 | . 3 | . 3 | 83.4 |
|  | Livingston Co | 4 | . 6 | . 6 | 84.0 |
|  | Macomb Co | 27 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 87.9 |
|  | Wayne co | 83 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 100.0 |
|  | Total | 688 | 99.1 | 100.0 |  |
| Missing | System | 6 | . 9 |  |  |
| Total |  | 694 | 100.0 |  |  |

Case Processing Summary


Report
number of credits registered for

| ZONE | Mean | N | Std. Deviation |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NW Oakland | 5.63 | 8 | 3.25 |
| NC Oakland | 6.77 | 30 | 4.76 |
| NE Oakland | 6.21 | 19 | 4.78 |
| CW Oakland | 5.62 | 90 | 3.97 |
| C Oakland | 5.76 | 127 | 3.94 |
| CE Oakland | 6.35 | 77 | 4.14 |
| SW Oakland | 5.81 | 37 | 3.60 |
| SC Oakland | 6.71 | 58 | 4.38 |
| SE Oakland | 7.21 | 115 | 4.37 |
| Genesse Co | 7.17 | 6 | 7.03 |
| Washtenaw Co | 1.50 | 2 | 2.12 |
| Lapeer Co | 4.00 | 2 | .00 |
| Livingston Co | 5.75 | 4 | 2.75 |
| Macomb Co | 7.44 | 27 | 4.74 |
| Wayne co | 7.86 | 83 | 4.75 |
| Total | 6.50 | 685 | 4.31 |



2000 Calendar year
CURRIC

|  |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Cumulative <br>

Percent\end{array}\right]\)
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